		-		3
		1	TABLE OF CO	· ·
		2	WITNESS	PAGE
1	1 CITY OF JERSEY CITY	3	Brian Liebeskind	
2	PLANNING BOARD In the Matter of: :	4	Direct Examination by N	Ar. Harrington 9,22
3		5	Cross-Exam ination by N	1s. Hadjiyannis 14,23
4		6	Rebuttal by Mr. Harring	ton 148
5	5 152 Ogden Avenue : Proceedings Block 5103, Lot 10 :	7	Cross-Exam ination by N	1s. Hadjiyannis 151
6		8	Nejm Jundi	• •
5	Zoom Remote Videoconference	9	Direct Examination by M	Ar. Harrington 26
9		10	Cross-Exam ination by N	· ·
10		11	Jason Tarantino	is. It dujiyan ii is
11		12		4 - 11
12	VIDYA GANGADIN, Commissioner DAVID CRUZ, Commissioner		Direct Exam ination by M	· ·
13	APPEARANCES:	13	Cross-Examination by N	1s. Hadjiyannis 55
14	Attorney for the Board	14	Anthony Vandermark	
15	CONNELL FOLEY, LLP	15	Direct Exam ination by M	· ·
16	Attorneys for the Applicant	16	Cross-Exam ination by N	1s. Hadjiyannis 79
18	CYNTHIA HADJIYANNIS, ESQUIRE	17	Geoffrey Goll	
19	Association	18	Direct Examination by M	Ms. Hadjiyannis 88
20	0	19	Cross-Exam ination by N	1r. Harrington 145
21	1	20	Kern Weissman	
22	MICHAEL LOMBARDOZZI, Certified Shorthand Reporter	21	Direct Examination by M	As. Hadjiyannis 160
23	3	22	Cross-Exam ination by M	1r. Harrington 176
24	CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS	23	Roger Heitmann	
25	5 (908) 642-4299	24	Direct Examination by M	As. Hadjiyannis 179
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE	25		
	(908) 642-4299		PRECISION REPORTING SE	RVICE
			(908) 642-4299	4
	2	_		
1 A L		1	PUBLIC SW	ORN
	S O P R E S E N T:	1 2		O R N P A G E
2 M A				
2 M A S u p	S O P R E S E N T: TT W ARD, A.I.C.P., P.P.	2	N A M E	P A G E
2 MA Sup 3 City	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division	2	NAME Vito Brunetti	P A G E 1 8 4
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner	2 3 4 5	N A M E Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount	P A G E 1 8 4 1 8 8
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P.	2 3 4 5 6	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly	P A G E 1 8 4 1 8 8 1 8 9
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner	P A G E 1 8 4 1 8 8 1 8 9 1 9 1
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P.	2 3 4 5 6 7	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker	PAGE 184 188 189 191 196 198
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City 10 11 12 13 14	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION A-6 Notice for 01/24/24	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City 10 11 12 13 14 15	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION A-6 Notice for 01/24/24 A-7 Cut/Fill Analysis Place	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202 S PAGE Immeeting 6
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City 10 11 12 13 14	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION A-6 Notice for 01/24/24	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202 S PAGE Immeeting 6
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION A-6 Notice for 01/24/24 A-7 Cut/Fill Analysis Plat A-8 Architectural prese 28 slides O-1 Applicant's site plat	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202 S PAGE Immeeting 6 n 11 Intation, 57
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	NAME Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION A-6 Notice for 01/24/24 A-7 Cut/Fill Analysis Plat A-8 Architectural prese	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202 S PAGE Immeeting 6 n 11 Intation, 57
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION A-6 Notice for 01/24/24 A-7 Cut/Fill Analysis Plat A-8 Architectural prese 28 slides O-1 Applicant's site plat annotations by objects	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202 S PAGE Immeeting 6 n 11 ntation, 57 n with tor 117
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION A-6 Notice for 01/24/24 A-7 Cut/Fill Analysis Plata A chitectural prese 28 slides O-1 Applicant's site plata annotations by objectives	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202 S PAGE Immeeting 6 n 11 ntation, 57 n with tor 117
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION A-6 Notice for 01/24/24 A-7 Cut/Fill Analysis Plate A-8 Architectural prese 28 slides O-1 Applicant's site plate annotations by object O-2 Slide presentation 11 slides O-3 Slide presentation	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202 S PAGE Meeting 6 n 11 ntation, 57 n with tor 117 by Mr. Weissman, 169 by Mr. Weissman,
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAT 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION A-6 Notice for 01/24/24 A-7 Cut/Fill Analysis Plat A-8 Architectural prese 28 slides O-1 Applicant's site plat annotations by object	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202 S PAGE Meeting 6 n 11 ntation, 57 n with tor 117 by Mr. Weissman, 169
2 MA Sup 3 City 4 CAI Ser 5 City 6 ELI Urb 7 City 8 MA Ser 9 City 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SO PRESENT: TT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. pervising Planner y Planning Division MERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. nior Planner y Planning Division ZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P. can Designer y Planning Division TTHEW da SILVA nior Planner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Vito Brunetti Caroline Katz Mount Kate Donnelly Dan Blidner Jeffrey Budney Monique Herrera Courtney Walker Jennifer Segal Alicia Rodgers Sofia Wang EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION A-6 Notice for 01/24/24 A-7 Cut/Fill Analysis Plate A-8 Architectural prese 28 slides O-1 Applicant's site plate annotations by object O-2 Slide presentation 11 slides O-3 Slide presentation	PAGE 184 188 189 191 194 196 198 199 200 202 S PAGE Immeeting 6 n 11 ntation, 57 n with tor 117 by Mr. Weissman, 169 by Mr. Weissman, 172

1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So let's get into 1 the board wanted to hear more about. There was also 2 old business. We'll call case P22-140, it's a a question about the driveway curb cut into the --3 preliminary and final major site plan for 152 Ogden 3 into the property, that was raised in the Jersey City 4 Avenue. This was carried from the meeting on --4 Engineering comment letter. 5 5 that's not correct -- the January 9th of '24. Cam, So what we have done is we met with 6 what -- I'm sorry, Counsel, what was the meeting 6 Jersey City Engineering -- Traffic and Engineering 7 where we actually took testimony? Department in the meantime, and we -- they agreed to 8 MR. HARRINGTON: It was on November 28, 8 a relocation of the driveway, and you'll see that as 9 9 2023. part of the plans. It's on -- it's in the plans that 10 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So we had 10 are on the portal, where we moved it slightly to the 11 taken testimony previously on November 28th. 11 south, so that it's not conflicting with the 12 Okay. Counsel, the floor is yours. 12 crosswalk anymore. You'll see that, in the new 13 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Thank you, for 13 engineering comment letter, that comment has been 14 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 14 removed. 15 behalf of the applicant. 15 So I'm going to bring up our engineer, 16 As the chairman just noted, this was 16 civil engineer, to speak to that briefly. And he 17 originally heard on November 28th, 2023; it was 17 also has a further exhibit to show the cut and fill 18 carried to January 9th, 2024. We did re-notice for 18 operations on what is being cut and what is remaining 19 that, notwithstanding that we left that meeting 19 at the property, so I want to introduce that as well 20 saying it was not required. So I'd ask that they be 20 to the board. 21 reviewed and marked into evidence as well. 21 Then, as the board may recall, there was 22 MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Counsel. 22 a discussion about the bedrock, and the depth of the 23 23 bedrock, and the load capacity. So what has happened I am in receipt of an affidavit of 24 publication, proof of mailing, with notice being sent 24 since the last meeting is we've had a brand-new 25 for this evening's meeting. 25 report completed by Mr. Jundi of JZN Engineering, and PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 (908) 642-4299 1 Chairman, I've had the opportunity to 1 Mr. Jundi will come up and speak to that for the 2 review it; it does appear to be in order. I concur 2 board, and any other issues contained within his 3 request counsel that --3 report. 4 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Mic's not working. 4 So I -- you know, beyond that, I will 5 MR. ALAMPI: Chairman, I am in receipt 5 have additional witnesses: 6 of the affidavit of notice, proof of publication, 6 I have a structural engineer that will 7 with respect to the meeting here this evening. I 7 speak to the proposed foundations at the building, 8 concur with counsel that the notice was not required, 8 and how they would work. 9 9 but out of an abundance of caution, counsel has chose And then, my architect, Mr. Vandermark, 10 10 to re-notice the application. It does appear to be will take you through the project. 11 11 in order. We can mark it as A-1 (sic) for purposes And as a reminder, we're -- you know, 12 12 of the record. the project being proposed tonight is as of right, in 13 13 that we are not requesting any variances. (Whereupon, Exhibit A-6 is marked for 14 identification.) 14 So with that said, I'd like to bring up 15 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Counsel. 15 our civil engineer, Brian -- I always kill Brian's --16 16 MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. Liebeskind. 17 17 And just before we proceed, I note for BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having 18 the record that Dr. Gonzalez was not here at the last 18 been duly sworn, testified as follows: 19 19 MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind. hearing, so I'd ask that he represent that he read 20 20 the transcript or viewed the video. L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Indeed I have, 21 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Liebeskind, good 22 22 Counsel. evening. You were qualified at the last hearing. Is 23 23 your license still current tonight? MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. 24 24 Okay. So, yeah, at the last hearing, MR. LIEBESKIND: It is. we -- we adjourned; there were a few open issues that 25 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, 25 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 (908) 642-4299

_	9		11
1	sir. You're qualified again.	1	Q. Sorry, before you go into the details,
2	MR. LIEBESKIND: Thank you.	2	I'd like to mark this I think we were up to A-6.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION	3	MR. ALAMPI: That is we are up to
4	BY MR. HARRINGTON:	4	A-6, but we marked the notice as A-1, the new notice.
5	Q. You can access it.	5	So for purposes of the record, let's
6	A. Good evening, everyone.	6	correct the prior marking this evening, we'll make
	3, 4 4 3		
7	So it's just loaded to since the	7	that as A-6, and now, what is on the screen, showing
8	November hearing, we addressed one of the comments	8	as Exhibit 2, we're going to mark as A-7.
9	in the city engineering memo regarding the driveway	9	(Whereupon, Exhibit A-7 is marked for
10	location and the proximity to the existing	10	identification.)
11	crosswalk across Ogden Avenue. So since the last	11	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Harrington, why don't
12	hearing, we had a conversation with city	12	we identify the date on the plan, the title of the
13	engineering and the division of transportation to	13	plan.
14	discuss the relocation options to facilitate	14	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
15	·	15	Q. Okay. Brian, could you do that,
	pedestrian traffic across the street, and turning	_	
16	movements for vehicles in and out of the driveway.	16	please?
17	So the revised site plan, which is on	17	A. Sure. So the drawing title is
18	the screen, sheet C-301 in the civil set, shows the	18	Cut/Fill Analysis Plan, with a date of December 27,
19	driveway shifted 10 feet to the south, directly	19	2023, a scale of 1 inch equals 10 feet. And,
20	abutting the neighbor's driveway, which both the	20	again, this is this was not part of the original
21	city professionals and the project team felt was a	21	site plan set, and was submitted as an exhibit.
22	wise relocation for traffic reasons, aligning with	22	MR. ALAMPI: You said dated September
23	the intersection, and also providing sufficient	23	27, 2023?
24	clearance with that existing crosswalk.	24	MR. LIEBESKIND: Correct.
		25	
25	So the operations of the drive aisle	25	MR. ALAMPI: That is A-7.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	10		12
1	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same,	1	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you.
1 2		1 2	
l _	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same,		MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you.
2	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of	2	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through
2 3 4	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle.	2 3 4	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit?
2 3 4 5	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the	2 3 4 5	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you
2 3 4 5 6	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know,	2 3 4 5 6	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the
2 3 4 5 6 7	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at the last hearing regarding the components of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades. And, again, the color coding is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at the last hearing regarding the components of the PPOD checklist items. And in addition to all of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades. And, again, the color coding is the the difference between the existing elevations per
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at the last hearing regarding the components of the PPOD checklist items. And in addition to all of the documents that have been prepared to date for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades. And, again, the color coding is the the difference between the existing elevations per the survey and the proposed elevations of both the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at the last hearing regarding the components of the PPOD checklist items. And in addition to all of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades. And, again, the color coding is the the difference between the existing elevations per
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at the last hearing regarding the components of the PPOD checklist items. And in addition to all of the documents that have been prepared to date for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades. And, again, the color coding is the the difference between the existing elevations per the survey and the proposed elevations of both the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at the last hearing regarding the components of the PPOD checklist items. And in addition to all of the documents that have been prepared to date for the site geology, the characteristics of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades. And, again, the color coding is the the difference between the existing elevations per the survey and the proposed elevations of both the site and the building itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at the last hearing regarding the components of the PPOD checklist items. And in addition to all of the documents that have been prepared to date for the site geology, the characteristics of the landform, we have provided this cut/fill exhibit to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades. And, again, the color coding is the the difference between the existing elevations per the survey and the proposed elevations of both the site and the building itself. MR. HARRINGTON: Any questions for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at the last hearing regarding the components of the PPOD checklist items. And in addition to all of the documents that have been prepared to date for the site geology, the characteristics of the landform, we have provided this cut/fill exhibit to supplement what is written out in the engineer's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades. And, again, the color coding is the the difference between the existing elevations per the survey and the proposed elevations of both the site and the building itself. MR. HARRINGTON: Any questions for Brian?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at the last hearing regarding the components of the PPOD checklist items. And in addition to all of the documents that have been prepared to date for the site geology, the characteristics of the landform, we have provided this cut/fill exhibit to supplement what is written out in the engineer's report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades. And, again, the color coding is the the difference between the existing elevations per the survey and the proposed elevations of both the site and the building itself. MR. HARRINGTON: Any questions,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	adjacent to the garage parking is staying the same, the only difference is the the curb cut off of Ogden Avenue and the transition to that drive aisle. The 10-foot separation between the that drive aisle and the property line, you know, is consistent with what we've previously shown, and the the entry access, with pedestrian warning lights, gate, apron, are all, you know, consistent with the original design. Q. And, Brian, nothing has changed with regard to the building or the parking spaces. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then, could you just, maybe, touch upon the exhibit that I referred to? A. Sure. So there were some questions at the last hearing regarding the components of the PPOD checklist items. And in addition to all of the documents that have been prepared to date for the site geology, the characteristics of the landform, we have provided this cut/fill exhibit to supplement what is written out in the engineer's report. And so what you're looking at here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Okay, Brian. Could you walk us through this exhibit? A. Sure. So the color coding that you see represents the a comparison between the existing surface, the site, and the proposed finished grades. So the majority of the site, which is colored in the light green and beige, represents a slight increase in, you know, raising of grade, or remaining the same. The darker brown colors within the building footprint signify the areas of cellar and below-ground parking as part of the tray system. So the elevations in red note the you know, the proposed elevations of those finished grades. And, again, the color coding is the the difference between the existing elevations per the survey and the proposed elevations of both the site and the building itself. MR. HARRINGTON: Any questions for Brian? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Any questions, anybody?

	13		15
1	COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No.	1	even from the existing conditions.
2	COMMISSIONER TORRES: No.	2	Q. But just going back to the memo, dated
3	VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No.	3	February 17th, 2023, from Lichuan Wang in city
4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	4	engineering, did he (sic) what was the rationale
5	Counsel.	5	for the original 30-foot requirement?
6	MR. LIEBESKIND: So the the remainder	6	A. I can't speak to why they requested
7	of the engineering testimony I provided in November	7	that number, but that comment has since been
8	would still be unchanged. So, I mean, if there are	8	removed from their the engineering comments.
9	any questions related to the driveway relocation or	9	And we have confirmation from both engineering and
10	this cut/fill exhibit, I can answer those, but I	10	transportation that they are accept the new
11	believe all the other matters I spoke to at the prior	11	driveway location.
12	hearing.	12	Q. So the city was persuaded to just
13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.	13	dispense with the 30-foot requirement somehow?
14	Ms. Hadjiyannis, do you want to	14	A. Again, it's not a requirement that
15	cross-examine?	15	they were noting; it's merely an you know, an
16	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes.	16	ideal separation for traffic safety, which, you
17	MR. ALAMPI: Chair, let's have	17	know, in conversation, they understood was not
18	Ms. Hadjiyannis put her representation on the record,	18	feasible in many cases in Jersey City.
19	and then we can have her question the witness.	19	Q. Okay. Was was there any
20	Ms. Hadjiyannis, I think that mic you	20	consideration of alternatives, such as not having a
21	just got to hit the button.	21	driveway?
22	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Testing. Okay.	22	A. We reviewed multiple alternatives with
23	Thanks.	23	the city, and deemed that this was the best
24	Good evening, commissioners, I'm here on	24	placement for the project, and for both pedestrians
25	behalf of Riverview Neighborhood Association. And	25	and for vehicles.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	14		16
1	for the record, my name is Cynthia Hadjiyannis.	1	Q. Okay. And when I'm just going to
2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thanks,	2	read to you from his memo, because I do to me, as
3	Ms. Hadjiyannis. The floor is yours.	3	a lawyer, and in legal parlance, we would call this
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION	4	a prior inconsistent statement. Mr. Huang (sic)
5	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:	5	wrote in his memo: This driveway must be relocated
6	Q. Okay, Mr. Liebeskind. Good evening.	6	a minimum of 30 feet away from the pedestrian
7	How are you tonight?	7	crosswalk. And then, that was deleted that
8	A. Good evening.	8	comment was deleted in his subsequent memo, which is
9	Q. So you just testified about the	9	dated today, and just uploaded to the portal shortly
10	relocation of the driveway, and I'm wondering,	10	before the hearing, and there's no explanation as to
11	was was the requirement previously provided in	11	why he deleted that comment.
12	the engineering memo that the driveway be relocated	12	And what what is your what is
13	a minimum of 30 feet away from the pedestrian crosswalk?	13 14	your understanding as to why he dispensed with that
14 15		15	requirement? A. Again, for the reasons I just
16	A. The comment you're referring to, the 30-foot separation, is not actually a city	16	A. Again, for the reasons I just explained, that this is not a code requirement.
17	requirement by code; it was a suggestion. For	17	And in conversations with Ms. Huang, we went over
18	traffic reasons, that does not exist currently; it	18	what was an acceptable separation, and we honored
19	is not feasible in many lots in Jersey City. The	19	their request to keep the existing crosswalk
20	conversation with city engineering and	20	location, with the curb cuts on both sides of the
21	transportation acknowledged that, you know, the	21	street, which we have done, and made the
22	site constraints, they only allow for certain	22	adjustments, you know, with the driveway itself.
23	separation. The 4.1 feet that's currently provided	23	Q. Okay.
24	between the edge of the driveway and the crosswalk	24	A. So I can't speak to why Ms. Huang, you
25	was deemed acceptable, and we feel an improvement	25	know, had the comment originally, but I can tell
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14 Α. That was our belief, and that was also 15 the opinion of the city professionals.

Okay. You had just testified that now the plans are compliant with the PPOD ordinance's technical requirements. Is there any sort of exhibit, or something in your report that summarizes the compliance?

Α. The landform analysis, which was provided as part of the engineer's report, walks through each of the provisions of the PPOD, and the site characteristics that I testified to previously.

> PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

14 yards of materials that will be excavated? 15 That is not something that we

16 quantified, nor was that a requirement in the PPOD 17 regulations. To be truthful, I think it is

18 inappropriate to give, you know, an estimate of

19 that volume, given the -- sort of, the design

20 complexities of the materials that are on-site, the 21 materials that would be removed. The volume of

22

pavement, volume of concrete -- there's a lot of

23 things that go into overall earthwork. A cubic 24 yard of soil taken out of the ground and put back

25 into the ground is not the same volume. So it's, I PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

22

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

1 add?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARRINGTON:

4 Q. Just a few follow-up questions to 5 clarify your discussion with the traffic and 6 engineering department.

7 When you met with them -- it's

Ms. Huang. Right? 8

9 Α. Yes.

10 Q. When you met with Ms. Huang, you met with other professionals from the traffic department 11

12 in Jersey City. Correct?

13 Α. Correct.

Q. 14 So this was not just a decision made by

15 Ms. Huang; it was a decision made by Jersey City

16 Engineering and the traffic professionals that work

17 for Jersey City. Correct?

> Α. Correct. The original review memos that we received from the city did not include any comments from the division of transportation. We took it upon ourselves to include them in this

22 conversation, to identify the best location for the

23 driveway.

18

19

20

21

24 Q. Okay. And I know the updated 25

engineering comment letter was dated today, and was PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

1 sign the memo that was uploaded to the portal today?

24

2 Α. The conversation was with both city engineering and city transportation. All parties in attendance at that meeting confirmed that this

5 was an acceptable location.

6 Okay. Is any -- are any of those 7 people here today to testify?

8 Again, it's reflected in the updated 9 comment letter that this is, you know, no longer a

10 comment from the city.

11 Q. Okay.

12 MS. HADJIYANNIS: I mean, I'm -- I just

13 have a -- I'm not going to bother objecting; the

14 board can weigh that however they would like to. But

15 I do just want to note for the record that the other

16 people are not signatories to this memo, and we don't

17 have any anything -- you know, other than

18 Mr. Liebeskind's characterization, we don't have

19 anything evidencing that they were on board with this

20 decision to relocate the driveway the way that it was

21 done.

22 MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Ms. Hadjiyannis. 23

MR. HARRINGTON: For the board's

24 edification, I have emails from the traffic

25 department confirming that this is an acceptable

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

	25		27
1	location. This letter that they updated was the	1	reviewed the project. Correct?
	- ·		
2	result of us asking them to put in writing, formal	2	A. I wrote the report, yes.
3	writing, that they agreed on the location.	3	Q. And the proposed project that
4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	4	A. Yes.
5	Counsel.	5	Q. is being presented?
6	So the emails aren't for the board's	6	Okay. So can you walk through your
7	privy.	7	report with the board, noting you know, you
8	MR. HARRINGTON: Right.	8	remember, at the last meeting, there were questions
9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Obviously, you know,	9	about the depth of the bedrock and the load
10	we have the engineering report, and that's what we go	10	capacity. Could you address that as part of your
11	by. Okay?	11	overview?
12	All right. Thank you, Mr. Liebeskind.	12	A. Sure. So the first thing we did is we
	<u> </u>		3
13	MR. LIEBESKIND: Thank you.	13	took the borings that were done by Johnson Soil
14	MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. My next witness	14	Company for the I believe they were done for the
15	is Mr. Jundi, who was before this board at the last	15	cellar of the property. We reviewed those borings,
16	meeting. As I noted, he has prepared a new report,	16	and then decided that we will do three additional
17	and he'll walk you through that, and address the	17	borings, two by the slope area which is to the east
18	bedrock issues and the load-bearing capacities and	18	of the site, and one to the west of the site, where
19	other issues.	19	the borings were taken all the way to refusal in
20	NEJM JUNDI, having been duly	20	rock, and then, to confirm it's rock, we cored 15
21	sworn, testified as follows:	21	feet into the rock in the two locations closer to
22	MR. JUNDI: Nejm Jundi, N-E-J-M, last	22	the slope, and then we cored 5 feet into the rock
23	name Jundi, J-U-N-D-I.	23	on the west side of the site, which is closer to
24	MR. HARRINGTON: And Mr. Jundi was	24	Ogden Avenue.
25	qualified at the last meeting, and I ask that he	25	And in both in all three cases, the
23		23	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	26		28
1	continue	1	rock was confirmed to be competent, and it's
2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes.	2	
		_	diabase rock, and it's towards the slope, it's
3	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license	3	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden
3 4	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight?	_	·
_	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license	3	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden
4	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight?	3	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock.
4 5	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes.	3 4 5	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and
4 5 6	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	3 4 5 6	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type.
4 5 6 7	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified.	3 4 5 6 7	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is
4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON:	3 4 5 6 7 8	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site.
4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for the rock slope, to see if adding the building would	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall takedown of the building, and how is it going to be
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for the rock slope, to see if adding the building would cause any harm to the slope.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall takedown of the building, and how is it going to be supported. And, basically, this building will be
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for the rock slope, to see if adding the building would cause any harm to the slope. Q. Okay. And that report that you're	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall takedown of the building, and how is it going to be supported. And, basically, this building will be supported on shallow footings bearing directly on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for the rock slope, to see if adding the building would cause any harm to the slope. Q. Okay. And that report that you're referring to is what's on the screen now, prepared	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall takedown of the building, and how is it going to be supported. And, basically, this building will be supported on shallow footings bearing directly on bedrock. So we're going to excavate down to rock
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for the rock slope, to see if adding the building would cause any harm to the slope. Q. Okay. And that report that you're	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall takedown of the building, and how is it going to be supported. And, basically, this building will be supported on shallow footings bearing directly on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for the rock slope, to see if adding the building would cause any harm to the slope. Q. Okay. And that report that you're referring to is what's on the screen now, prepared	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall takedown of the building, and how is it going to be supported. And, basically, this building will be supported on shallow footings bearing directly on bedrock. So we're going to excavate down to rock
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for the rock slope, to see if adding the building would cause any harm to the slope. Q. Okay. And that report that you're referring to is what's on the screen now, prepared by JZN Engineering, dated December 29, 2023. Is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall takedown of the building, and how is it going to be supported. And, basically, this building will be supported on shallow footings bearing directly on bedrock. So we're going to excavate down to rock and just put the footings to support the building.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for the rock slope, to see if adding the building would cause any harm to the slope. Q. Okay. And that report that you're referring to is what's on the screen now, prepared by JZN Engineering, dated December 29, 2023. Is that correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall takedown of the building, and how is it going to be supported. And, basically, this building will be supported on shallow footings bearing directly on bedrock. So we're going to excavate down to rock and just put the footings to support the building. And in speaking with him, we also took
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for the rock slope, to see if adding the building would cause any harm to the slope. Q. Okay. And that report that you're referring to is what's on the screen now, prepared by JZN Engineering, dated December 29, 2023. Is that correct? A. Correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall takedown of the building, and how is it going to be supported. And, basically, this building will be supported on shallow footings bearing directly on bedrock. So we're going to excavate down to rock and just put the footings to support the building. And in speaking with him, we also took the the weight of the building, converted it to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Jundi, good evening. Your license is current tonight? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. You're qualified. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. So, Mr. Jundi, you prepared a report for the the project. Is that correct? A. Yes, we were we were retained by the developer to take over as the geotech engineer of report for his development. So we we redid the investigation on-site by via drilling soil borings and rock coring, and we prepared a report. In addition, we did a slope stability analysis for the rock slope, to see if adding the building would cause any harm to the slope. Q. Okay. And that report that you're referring to is what's on the screen now, prepared by JZN Engineering, dated December 29, 2023. Is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So could you and you've	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about 8 to 9 feet existing grade, and towards Ogden it's about 11 feet, that's the top of the rock. And then, from there, we cored 5 feet on Ogden and 15 feet, just to qualify the rock type. So it is confirmed that the rock is there at between, let's say, 8 and 11 feet, depending on where you are on the site. Q. Mr. Jundi, I think you just said you referred to diabase rock. Could you just clarify that? Is that is it bedrock you're referring to? A. Yes, it's the type of rock. Q. Okay. A. We have spoken in preparation for this geotech report, we have spoken to the project structural engineer, to understand the wall takedown of the building, and how is it going to be supported. And, basically, this building will be supported on shallow footings bearing directly on bedrock. So we're going to excavate down to rock and just put the footings to support the building. And in speaking with him, we also took the the weight of the building, converted it to bearing capacity I'm sorry, stress on the

21

1

29

32

ground, which came up to be 1,500 kips per square 1 2 foot, which is 15,000 pounds per square foot of

3 load averaged across the whole building, because 4 that's what we needed to do the slope stability

5 analysis.

So what we did -- I'm just trying to go to the figure -- we took our cross-section, kind of, in the central portion of the building, with the slope information that we have from the survey, and we came up with a -- a cross-section, representing the slope, the soil conditions, and

11 12 the rock. And you can see it up on the screen, it's cross-section A.

13 14

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

24

We took that, and run a finite element method, using the Hoek Brown criteria, which, basically, in rock, it talks about the reduction in sheer strength. So, basically, how much are you reducing the capacity of the rock by applying the load?

20 So the first thing we did was assume 21 there's nothing. It's a parking lot. So what is 22 the available sheer strength of the rock, the 23 slope, which is basically a factor of safety. And 24 it was found to be 10.63, and that's called the 25 sheer rock reduction factor.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

30 And then, we took the average weight

of the building -- actually, the -- I'm sorry, the stress applied by the building on the -- on the ground, and we applied the 1.5 kips per-square-foot load of the building, as represented here, and that sheer rock -- sheer reduction factor was found to be 10.49. So it's a reduction of, give or take, 1 percent of the sheer strength of the rock, which is

Q. And when you say it was a reduction, could you maybe explain that? What do you mean? What caused that reduction, or would cause that

13 reduction?

negligible.

14 Α. Well, when you -- the diabase rock is 15 a homogenous rock formation that is very intact. 16 It might be fractured on the top, but it's very 17 intact; the bottom is one whole mass. So if you 18 imagine, it has a certain strength, and that was --19 and to exceed it, you have to apply a lot more 20 load. And the sheer strength of it would -- the 21 factor of safety of -- to reduce that sheer 22 strength would take about 10 times more the load,

23 basically, that we applied. And we are not

changing the load being applied on that slope by

25 much, only by 1 percent. So we still have

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

available 10 times more to actually cause a failure

2 because of the building.

3 Q. And when you say you're not causing any additional change, you're assuming that the project 4 5 is being built. Is that correct?

6 Α. Correct, this load is assuming the building is finished and occupied. 7

8 Q. Okay. And I might have missed it, I 9 might have looked down, but did you state what the 10 depth of the bedrocks are?

11 Α. Yes, it's about 8 to 9 feet towards the slope or the east side of the property, and 12 13 about 11 feet towards the west, which is Ogden 14 Avenue. So it's fairly level.

15 Q. And based upon your analysis and 16 review, and your analysis of the load capacity, do you see any issues with any of the foundation 17 18 proposals to build this project?

19 Α. No. No, we don't.

Q. And is that -- is the reason for that what you just explained, because it's not changing 22 anything?

23 Α. Yes, it's not changing the stress 24 overall on the global stability of the rock -- of 25 the rock slope.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

Q. And, Mr. Jundi, does your report speak

to methods to excavate at the property, and -- with

regard to filling at the property? 4 Yeah, sure. The overburdened soil is 5 basically more sandy and gravelly; can be easily

excavated with an excavator. And the project site

7 already have two below-ground areas for the car

8 pits, and we don't see any need for major 9

excavation for the rock. So everything could be 10 accomplished with just normal excavators.

11 And does your report address methods in 12

which to excavate and -- the site? 13 Well, we would talk about it

14 generally. If we actually tell the contractor how 15 to excavate a site, that would be inversion of 16 means and methods, and we cannot really do that as

17 engineers. There's a fine line between how a

18 contractor actually excavates and how an engineer

19 designs, it's means and methods. We basically

20 advise the contractors that you can excavate it 21

using normal machines, and excavator machine, like 22 any other site.

23 Q. Okay. Anything further you want to 24 touch upon in your report?

25 Α. No.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

	33		35
1	MR. LEGG: Excuse me, I don't know what	1	A. Okay. So when you look at the rock
2	the protocol is. My name is Jim Legg. I live up the	2	mass, you look at the sheer strength of the rock.
3	street at	3	There's two parts of the sheer strength: one is the
4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sir, we'll have	4	intact part of it, and one is the interaction of
5	public comment once all the testimony is given.	5	those fractures. Right? And that's what the sheer
6	MR. LEGG: I had a question for the	6	strength reduction factor is talking about. The
7	engineer.	7	weaker part would be the fracture. Any rock mass,
	5		
8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Are you a member of	8	at the top of it, the few inches would be fracture.
9	the RNA?	9	As you go deeper, it would be more solid, and those
10	MR. LEGG: I am a member of RNA, but I'm	10	fractures become far and apart.
11	speaking on my own behalf.	11	Q. Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So Ms. Hadjiyannis	12	A. Being at the surface, it doesn't
13	can address any testimony given.	13	affect the stability of the soil, because we're
14	MR. LEGG: I was wondering about	14	going to be resting a little bit on that. So it's
15	earthquakes, if he tested	15	not going to be affecting the global stability of
16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. I'm sure,	16	the rock mass.
17	Ms. Hadjiyannis, you can handle that question?	17	Q. Okay. When when the test borings
18	That's your client. Right?	18	were done, did you find fractures in the rock cores
19	MR. HARRINGTON: Well, my the	19	below the surface?
20	organization is my client, yes.	20	A. Yes, we did. And we used I'm going
21	MR. WARD: We need that on the record,	21	to just pull up for you we did RQD value and
22	so for the recording as well. I just want to	22	recovery. And you can see it took us eleven
23	reiterate that for people, now that we're not on	23	minutes, eight minutes, seven minutes, to core
24	Zoom.	24	through the rock. In comparison, for example, if
25	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, did you get	25	you have a sandstone rock, it would take you one
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	34		36
	34		30
4	th a+2	4	minute to go two minutes to go through the real
1	that?	1	minute to go, two minutes to go through the rock,
2	THE REPORTER: Yeah.	2	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11
3	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi,	2	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it.
2 3 4	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony?	2 3 4	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes.	2 3 4 5	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass.
2 3 4 5 6	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from	2 3 4 5 6	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone?	2 3 4 5 6 7	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right. Q. Okay. So you just testified that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat to moderately dipping fracture inclination. Is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right. Q. Okay. So you just testified that the diabase is fractured at the top. Would you explain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat to moderately dipping fracture inclination. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right. Q. Okay. So you just testified that the diabase is fractured at the top. Would you explain what you meant by that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat to moderately dipping fracture inclination. Is that correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right. Q. Okay. So you just testified that the diabase is fractured at the top. Would you explain what you meant by that? A. Yeah, any any rock formation would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat to moderately dipping fracture inclination. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you know if the fracture
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right. Q. Okay. So you just testified that the diabase is fractured at the top. Would you explain what you meant by that? A. Yeah, any any rock formation would have seams in it, or fractures, but it doesn't mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat to moderately dipping fracture inclination. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you know if the fracture inclination dipped downhill?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right. Q. Okay. So you just testified that the diabase is fractured at the top. Would you explain what you meant by that? A. Yeah, any any rock formation would have seams in it, or fractures, but it doesn't mean that the rock is not stable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat to moderately dipping fracture inclination. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you know if the fracture inclination dipped downhill? A. In our analysis, we assume they're all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right. Q. Okay. So you just testified that the diabase is fractured at the top. Would you explain what you meant by that? A. Yeah, any any rock formation would have seams in it, or fractures, but it doesn't mean that the rock is not stable. Q. Okay. And so so what does what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat to moderately dipping fracture inclination. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you know if the fracture inclination dipped downhill? A. In our analysis, we assume they're all doing downhill, because that's the worst case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right. Q. Okay. So you just testified that the diabase is fractured at the top. Would you explain what you meant by that? A. Yeah, any any rock formation would have seams in it, or fractures, but it doesn't mean that the rock is not stable. Q. Okay. And so so what does what do the fractures mean, then?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat to moderately dipping fracture inclination. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you know if the fracture inclination dipped downhill? A. In our analysis, we assume they're all doing downhill, because that's the worst case Q. Okay. So if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right. Q. Okay. So you just testified that the diabase is fractured at the top. Would you explain what you meant by that? A. Yeah, any any rock formation would have seams in it, or fractures, but it doesn't mean that the rock is not stable. Q. Okay. And so so what does what do the fractures mean, then? PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat to moderately dipping fracture inclination. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you know if the fracture inclination dipped downhill? A. In our analysis, we assume they're all doing downhill, because that's the worst case Q. Okay. So if PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THE REPORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Mr. Jundi, that's your testimony? MR. JUNDI: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody from the board? Anyone? VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Good evening, Mr. Jundi. A. Good evening. How are you? Q. I'm good. How are you? A. I'm all right. Q. Okay. So you just testified that the diabase is fractured at the top. Would you explain what you meant by that? A. Yeah, any any rock formation would have seams in it, or fractures, but it doesn't mean that the rock is not stable. Q. Okay. And so so what does what do the fractures mean, then?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	but diabase is so strong, it took, you know, 11 minutes, 12 minutes to go through it. Q. Okay. A. There is fracture in every rock mass. There's no such thing as a rock mass without fracture. All right? Q. Okay. A. We take that into account. Typically, anything about close to 80 percent RQD is considered massive rock, meaning, if you were to come and excavate it, you basically need hammers and dynamite to remove it. Q. What does RQD stand for? A. Rock quality designation. Q. Okay. And I think some of the notes on the test boring logs did say that there was a flat to moderately dipping fracture inclination. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you know if the fracture inclination dipped downhill? A. In our analysis, we assume they're all doing downhill, because that's the worst case Q. Okay. So if PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

21

2

13 Α. 14 go and put a boring every 5 inches. So it -- we 15 look at the geology of the building -- I'm sorry, 16 of the formation. We know how rock is fractured in 17 diabase. We confirm it with the borings. It's 18 impossible to know if they are all connected. The 19 assumption is, with the reduction we used from Hoek 20 Brown, it would reduce a lot, and assume some kind of interlocking between the two. And that's how we 21 22 come up with a mass overall. 23 And this method of analysis, just to 24

let you know, is accepted by the Port Authority, it's accepted by the PATH station, accepted by the PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

22 Q. Okay. Did you -- I mean, I don't --23 did -- and you used some kind of software to 24 generate this --

from 10.63 to 10.49, which is a reduction of less

25 Α. Yes

than 1.1 percent.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

40

MTA, it's accepted by New York City Building Department. This is not something just specific to

3 this job or locality. 4 Q. And let me ask you: Do fractures make

Α.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

borings?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25

1

5

6

10

11

12

25

the rock more susceptible to a landslide? In -- if -- yes, they do, but that's why you calculate what is the factor of safety

7 8 against landsliding. 9

Q. Okay. Is -- and where is that in the report, the factor of safety against landsliding?

Okay. So we looked at the slope stability analysis using the Hoek and Brown method, and it's called stress reduction factor. That method of analysis -- and if you want me more

13 14 15 specific -- sorry. 16 Okay. So we came up with the quality 17 of the rock, the soil parameters, all based on 18 different kind of inputs from different -- Federal 19 Highway Administration, Bowles recommendation, 20 Terzaghi, soil mechanics for the soil. We used the 21 GSI method, Hoek and Brown, failure criterion. So, 22 in rock, it's different analysis than typical soil, 23 because it's impossible to know how the fractures

24 are, so different scientists and engineers

developed different methods to look at how these

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

Q. 1 -- diagram?

Okay. And I'm assuming you put some kind of number -- you know, did -- you put some kind

4 of inputs into the software to generate this?

5 Α. Yes.

6 Q. Did you -- was one of those inputs pound per square foot? 7

8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And what was your assumption about the

10 pounds per square foot for the building?

11 It's not an assumption. I spoke to 12 the structural engineer, and we asked him what is 13 the weight pressure of the building on this rock 14 mass, on the foundation, and the average load of

15 the building, including -- like, because you have

16 columns that have loads; you have slab that doesn't

17 have load. Right? So the columns may have 100

18 kips, and the slab may have 40 kips. But, in

19 totality, the building would be applying about 1.5

20 kips, which is 1,500 pounds per square foot, on the 21 soil -- on the ground.

22 Q.

Did the Johnson Soils report have 23 another number for the load-bearing --

24 Α. You're talking about two different 25 things here.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

46

Α. Diabase rock is impermeable. You cannot infiltrate water through it.

Okay. What about the impact to the stability of the overburden?

5 Again, the building is being supported 6 way below the overburden, directly on the diabase. 7 How the water -- stormwater management is being 8 taken care of is done through the civil

9 engineering; they're probably designing a storage 10 system redirecting the water somehow to meet their

11 regulations. But stormwater is not going to impact 12

the stability of the slope or the building. I am

13 sure they're not recharging the water into the 14 diabase.

15

17

18

1

2

3

4

How -- you --Q.

16 Right now, the existing site is a paved gravel surface. So when it rains, when it snows, the water just travels down and goes on its 19 own merry way on top of the rock. Nothing is happening to the slope. It's been like that for

20 21 ages. Same thing goes for existing properties to

22 the north and south. There is residential

23 buildings to the north and south of this lot, and

24 they've been sitting there for a hundred years,

25 give or take.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

1 groundwater elevation measured in a soil condition

48

(908) 642-4299

or on a site. It's typically determined by looking at the iron pebbles in the soil. When the water

goes up and down, the soil loses the iron in it,

and it leaves orange marks, dots, basically.

6 In this case, we didn't even find water table on the site, and we stated that in our

report. So seasonal high is not going to factor

9 in, because there's no water table that goes up and 10 down. The water only comes from the rain, because

11 it's an open lot, and then it comes down, it hits

12 the diabase, it's not going to go anywhere, it's

13 just going to follow the surface of the diabase; in

14 this case, down the slope.

15 And the slope, as is, right now, it's 16 been stable for the longest time. That has been a 17 parking paved area for the longest time. And by us 18 adding a building to it, that's not going to affect 19 it.

20 Q. So water flowing underground down the 21 slope won't cause erosion?

22 Α. It's not -- erosion is the action of 23

water on top of the ground, not below the ground. 24 Q. Okay. So water that's below the

surface doesn't disturb the soil on top of the PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

	49			51
1	diabase?	1	approach th	_
2	A. Right now, we did not find something	2		Okay. I think that's it for my
3	that could be identified as a groundwater table.	3	questions. TI	
4	There's no sitting water forever on top of the	4		No problem.
5	rock. When it rains, the water just disperses,	5		MS. HADJIYANNIS: Thanks.
6	either coming down, moving down the slope on the	6		CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Counsel.
7	surface, or whatever percolates and stay on top of	7		Mr. Harrington?
8	the surface, percolates down and moves away. So	8		MR. HARRINGTON: I have nothing further
9	there's no if there was a water table constant	9	for Mr. Jundi.	
10	over there, then it could be coming out of the face	10		CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.
11	of that slope.	11		Anybody else? Anybody from the board?
12	Q. Okay.	12		VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No.
13	A. But we don't have that condition here.	13		COMMISSIONER TORRES: No.
14	Q. So where's the water I mean, you	14		MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Then, I'm going
15	said tis' I guess I'm having trouble following	15		vith our structural engineer is Jason
16	you and I'm going to move on in a second. So	16	Tarantino.	with our structural engineer is bason
17	you're testifying the water goes underground	17		JASON TARANTINO, having
18	waterfalls onto the	18		orn, testified as follows:
19	A. Majority of the water	19	-	MR. TARANTINO: Jason Tarantino,
20	Q flat part of the site, and then it	20	T-A-R-A-N-T-	
21	flows down the slope. Doesn't it come out	21		CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening,
22	somewhere?	22		b. We've qualified you in the past.
23	A. It just as the as you move down	23		s current tonight?
24	the slope, right, the water follows the rock. And	24		MR. TARANTINO: Yes.
25	if you move further east, the rock becomes 60 and	25		CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE			PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		·	(908) 642-4299
	50			52
1	70 feet down, and sometimes to 100 feet down,	1	You're qualifi	
2	depending on how far you are, and the water just	2	•	MR. TARANTINO: Okay.
3	goes there. That's where you find the groundwater	3	DIRECT EXAM	
4	table.	4	BY MR. HARR	INGTON:
5	But on a condition like this, where	5	Q.	Okay. And just for the benefit of the
6	you're next to the slope, there's no actual water	6	board, Mr. Ta	arantino, can you just give a little bit
7	table that you could you need to dewater, for	7	of your exper	rience in Jersey City, and some of the
8	example, if you have a basement, or you need to	8	projects you'v	ve worked on, some of which may be
9	dewater during construction. It's not sitting	9	similar to the	project at issue?
10	water.	10	Α. `	Yes. So we've worked on, I don't
11	Q. Okay.	11	know, abou	t two dozen or more projects in the
12	A. Just like in my house, when it rains	12	Jersey City	area. One of the projects currently
13	on the site, in the backyard, I have a basement,	13	completed is	s on Oakland Avenue, Overlook, 15-story
14	the water just flows down, and it either follows	14	building, wh	nich overlooks, kind of, the Palisades
15	the surface topography; whatever is remaining just	15	and the prev	vious railway.
16	percs down, nothing happens to my house.	16	,	We also have another site, which is a
17	Q. Okay. When this is under construction,	17	29-story bu	ilding, two blocks over, again, along
18	do you is there going to be any shoring?	18	that that	same Palisades, kind of, railway,
19	A. For to allow for the construction?	19	right up aga	ninst on diabase rock, and dealing
20	Q. Yeah.	20	with some v	very similar conditions, similar to the
21	A. We're way ahead of that conversation.	21		ealing with here.
22	We haven't even started with the building	22	Q.	Okay. Mr. Tarantino, have you had an
23	foundation design and any of that. But given the	23	opportunity t	o review Mr. Jundi's report?
24	depth of it, probably there will be shoring.	24		Yes.
25	Depending on the contractor, how would he like to	25		And have you had an opportunity to
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		ı	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299			(908) 642-4299
13 of	79 sheets Page 49 to	52 01	206	01/26/2024 12:19:37 PM

	53		55
1	review the project that's being proposed at the	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	site?	2	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Okay. Hi, Mr. Tarantino.
4	Q. And are you familiar with what types of	4	A. How are you?
5	foundation you would be proposing here?	5	Q. Good. How are you?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Good.
7	Q. And could you speak to those types of	7	Q. Okay. So I'm not sure I can read
8	foundations, and if there are any issues you find	8	this question.
9	with proposing them as part of this development?	9	Okay. Are the is will there be
10	A. Yeah, so in speaking with the	10	any excavation into the rock at all?
11	architect, as well as the geotechnical engineer, we	11	A. I believe there'll be excavation down
12	looked at the eight-story building, took we	12	to the rock. And I guess, depending on where on
13	looked at a few different options, as far as	13	the site, I think Nejm said there was 8 to 11 feet,
14	building construction types, and we landed on, at	14	I think they'll have to cut into the rock slightly
15	least for the initial stages, a conservative	15	to get to the appropriate footing elevations for
16	approach, utilizing cast-in-place concrete,	16	the proposed basement.
17	estimated loads, I presented those to Nejm for his	17	Q. Okay. And will the foundation be, I
18	analysis, and, you know, we proposed the use of	18	guess, enclosed in the bedrock?
19	spread-footing foundations for the support of the	19	A. Depending on the depth of the
20	building structure. Those spread footings would be	20	foundation and the thickness of it, we may have to
21	supported directly on the rock, and we've	21	dig out certain elevations of rock deeper than
22	estimated, on a, you know, pounds per square foot	22	other areas.
23	basis, of roughly 1.5 kips per square foot of the	23	Q. Okay. Thank you.
24	building area.	24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Counsel.
25	Q. And is there anything unusual about the	25	Mr. Harrington, anything?
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	54		56
1	development of this project on this site that would	1	MR. HARRINGTON: I have nothing further
2	jump out to you?	2	for Mr. Tarantino.
3	A. No. You know, standard conditions,	3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.
4	you know, having to excavate below grade, bearing	4	MR. TARANTINO: Thank you.
5	the building on rock, is going to give you the	5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you.
6	highest bearing capacity. Hopefully most stable	6	MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Then, my next
7	stable foundation conditions.	7	witness is our architect, it was a large lead-up to
8	Q. That's unless you have anything to	8	this, so we'll take you through the proposed project.
9	add?	9	ANTHONY VANDERMARK,
10	A. No.	10	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
11	MR. HARRINGTON: That's all I have for	11	MR. VANDERMARK: Anthony C. Vandermark
12	Mr. Tarantino.	12	Jr., V-A-N-D-E-R-M-A-R-K, principal of the
13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.	13	architectural firm of MVMK Architecture.
14	Anybody, any questions?	14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Vandermark, good
15	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Just that last	15	evening. Pleasure to see you in person.
16	that last statement you made, that there's some cases	16	MR. VANDERMARK: Good evening, Chair.
17 18	you find it for stable when it's on bedrock, or solid bedrock, in your experience, of foundations?	17 18	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And we've qualified
19	MR. TARANTINO: Yes, rock is always	19	you as well in the past. Your license is current
20	going to be the strongest bearing surface.	20	tonight?
21	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Okay. Thank you.	21	MR. VANDERMARK: It is, Chair.
22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.	22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.
23	Ms. Hadjiyannis, nothing?	23	You're qualified.
24	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry.	24	MR. VANDERMARK: Thank you.
25	,	25	
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
01/26	/2024 12:19:37 PM Page 53	to 56 o	f 206 14 of 79 sheets

1 **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

2 BY MR. HARRINGTON:

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

18

20

21

22

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

3 Okay, Mr. Vandermark. If you could

4 just walk us through the proposed -- well.

Yes, I have two different slide formats, and I would like to mark the presentation format as an exhibit, since we will be showing renderings and different existing condition photographs and aerials. So if we can mark this exhibit prior to beginning here.

11 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: A-8, I believe we're 12 up to, Counsel.

13 VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: That's correct.

MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, I think so.

(Whereupon, Exhibit A-8 is marked for

16 identification.)

17 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Vandermark,

if you could just describe what you're presenting to

19 us as an exhibit, how many pages.

MR. VANDERMARK: Yes, we have a presentation slide deck of 28 slides, which is a series of renderings, photographs, and graphics from

23 the existing filing set that was on the portal, dated

24 12/18/23.

25 Α. So what I'm going to do is I'm going PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

to jump back and forth briefly between the slide deck I've just marked as an exhibit and the preexisting set that is filed on the portal, that the board has in front of them.

Just to talk about the building -and, again, I'm very happy to be here this evening to finally speak of the structure and the architecture of the project, as opposed to maybe the site conditions. We're here tonight to talk

10 about an eight-story building. It's 85 feet in

11 height. We are proposing, as part of the

12 structure, 14 residential units.

> Just going back to the actual filing set that you have before you, the site sits within the R-3 Zone district here in Jersey City. The R-3 Zone district permits multi-family mid rise construction of this type. It is an as-of-right. It permits a residential multi-family format greater than four units. It permits eight stories and 85 feet in total height, and that's what we're

20 21 here this evening to present.

22 The proposed site has 39,484 square 23 feet of site area; that is 0.9 acres. And based on 24

that site area, within the R-3 Zone, you're 25 permitted upwards of 131 units. However, tonight,

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

1 as previously stated, we are proposing 14 units as

2 part of this application. The proposed project has

3 two one-bedrooms, and those sizes are 683 square

4 feet to 800 square feet, and we have 12

5 two-bedrooms here, at 1,245 to 1,619.

6 We are proposing 14 parking spaces, 7 which is compliant with the current R-3 filing 8 regulations; that is at 1 to 1. We are proposing 9 16 bicycle parking spaces on the interior, once

10 again, compliant with two outdoor bicycle parking

11 spaces at the sidewalk.

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As part of this proposal, we have a 13 308-square-foot rooftop amenity. We have a 1,061-square-foot roof deck, which is on the eastern portion of the roof. We have a volunteered large amount of extensive green roof located at the eighth story; that total is 1,214 square feet of extensive green roof, which is equivalent to 38 percent of the overall roof area.

As part of this application, the driveway apron, as we go further into this presentation, we are proposing a permeable paver system at the interior of the site, to the southern portion of the structure.

> Going back to the presentation deck PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

1 that we just marked an exhibit, the overall site --

and I just want to back up and just talk a little

bit about existing conditions, because I know the

board has been looking at a bunch of

5 two-dimensional graphics here, and some different

6 civil engineering plans. Let's, really, just talk

7 about where we are, briefly.

8 The site, again, 0.9 acres, boot

9 shaped, sitting in the R-3 Zone. We have a 70-foot

10 frontage on Ogden Avenue. Ogden Avenue, as we all

11 know, is a one-way street that runs north to south. 12

We have an overall 200-foot depth that runs to the 13 east of the property. And from north to south, we

14 have 492 feet from side to side. So it's a large

15 parcel. A lot of it is on slope, being a gentle

16 slope on the Palisades; however, we're developing

17 only on the preexisting developed area, which is

18 the parking lot.

19 The preexisting condition, if I go to 20 the next slide, the preexisting condition is

21 surface grade asphalt parking lot with 26 parking

22 spaces. The current driveway apron curb cut is mid 23

property, with the pedestrian crosswalk directly to 24 the south, followed by the preexisting curb cut for

25 what is 140 Ogden.

> PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

Going into the existing structures, 2 starting to the south, which is a

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

3 3-and-a-half-story masonry building, we have 140 4 Ogden, which is six residential units. It has a

5 10-foot-wide curb cut with a 10-foot-wide driveway

6 that goes to the rear yard. As you can see, 100

7 percent impervious. That's six parking spaces.

In the foreground here of the slide before you, slide 3 of 28, immediately to the south of page, you have 152 Ogden Avenue, which is a three-story masonry structure that is 20 units. As you can see here in this graphic, that building actually projects further, closer to the slope of the Palisades. And beyond the actual building line, it has an additional rear yard with concrete

That building does have impact. Similar construction, not of the same height, not of similar loads, but what you have to understand is that this construction was done 100 years ago, and what we're proposing this evening is construction of current date, with structural engineering of current time. And, again, the red outline or the red boundary would be our building footprint here, 41 feet 8 inches in width, facing

apron and a swimming pool.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

offset of our property boundary. And, again,

there's an existing parking lot with more cars than

3 what we're presenting today, and the crosswalk is

4 actually being moved to a safer condition. The

current condition, right now, is that crosswalk is

6 in between two preexisting curb cuts, a parking lot

7 and the driveway apron for 140 Ogden. We are

8 marrying those two curb cuts, and then moving to

9 the north the pedestrian crosswalk.

10 Preexisting survey. The red line that 11 runs north/south on this page is the existing 12 utility easement, 12 feet in width. You cannot 13 construct on this easement line within that 12-foot 14 boundary; therefore, the building and the building 15 footprint is located to the north of this easement. 16 And the building line itself runs to the sky, not 17 within the easement boundary itself. 70 feet in 18 width on Ogden Avenue, 100 feet in depth on the 19 parking lot, or the improved area, with an 20 additional 100 feet down slope on the Palisades. 21 Again, 492 feet in width of the property boundary. 22

As you can see, there's a series of existing

23 structures within the survey; the larger one

24 directly to the north is the 152 Ogden Avenue

25 structure.

> PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

62

Ogden, and we have approximately 98 feet 10 inches in depth.

As you can see here, we are developing only on the northern portion of the site. And I'll get into that when I start talking about the actual site characteristics. There's a preexisting easement on the site; that's why the building structure is to the northern portion of the property, and the driveway and the permeable paver system is to the southern portion of the site.

Going back to preexisting conditions, again, three-story masonry building at 152 Ogden. This building has a cellar, so there was excavation involved in the construction of this 100-year-old project.

Building to the south, again, multi-family, six units, a three-and-a-half-story masonry structure, again, with a driveway, and a parking lot behind the structure to the east.

20 Directly across the street, we have 21 Wood Place. It is a one-way street that runs east 22 to west. This is the intersection in question. To 23 the previous questions that Ms. Hadjiyannis was 24 asking Mr. Liebeskind, is that this intersection, 25 as you can see it in this graphic, is slightly PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

1 The overall site plan approach, the easement line, highlighted in red, again, is 3 running within the 22-foot 5-inch driveway drive aisle. The building is constructed on the northern portion of the property. We have an eight-story predominant structure, but the first 18 feet of the 7 structure itself is six stories.

8 When I go into the elevations of this 9 project, it's that this developer has voluntarily 10 given up square footage, and actually set the 11 building back at the upper two floors to lessen the 12 impact on Ogden Avenue, to make it more contextual 13 within the neighborhood.

As part of this proposal, again, all the way to the left, the southern portion of the sidewalk is the 10-foot-wide curb cut. Directly to the north of that is the pedestrian ADA ramp system, with new crosswalk. We are proposing one new street tree that's compliant with the Jersey City forestry standard, and the main residential entry is just to the north of that street tree. We are proposing, within our property boundary, in front of what we are proposing a masonry privacy screen, we are proposing a 3-foot-wide planter that runs from the driveway apron to our building

> PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

01/26/2024 12:19:37 PM

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

65

structure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

9

11

17

18

19

20

So, again, eight-story building, stepping down to six, and we have a 5-foot front yard setback that's compliant with the R-3 Zone.

Rotating the plan now, Ogden Avenue being to the left side, all new street improvements, such as new curbing, new sidewalk, the planter I just previously mentioned, the 10-foot-wide curb cut apron, with the southern entry point for the vehicles. Again, 14 parking spaces within their own garage compartments. Up front here, as you can see in the garage, we

13 have -- it's three cars, which is actually a 14 mechanical system, which will be five cars in

15 total. We are proposing one ADA compliant parking 16 space with van loading, one at-grade-level park

17 being space, and then, again, a tandem parking 18 system with seven parking spaces. So 14 in total.

Going back to the entry point for the vehicles, we are proposing a 6-foot-high masonry wall with a slide gate that opens and closes via key fob for the residents as they enter the driveway apron. On our property, on the ground adjacent to the property line, will be a linear LED strip for pedestrian warning safety. On that wall,

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

1 square feet. Again, we have a small apron behind the actual building footprint for pedestrians 3 sitting, looking back down over the Palisades to 4 the east.

5 This is a three-dimensional rendering 6 prepared by my office, in the foreground showing the permeable pavers. Directly to the right will 8 be the 10-foot-wide landscaped and tree-planted 9 buffer zone. Immediately to the right of that, to 10 the south, is a 6-foot-high masonry wall, which 11 will be skinned in the Roman style brick, which is 12 complementary to the building that we're proposing 13 here.

As previously stated, each one of those garage bays will have its own garage door, and the building has a series of cantilevers that hold the easement lines, and there's a series of setbacks on every other floor, and it's part of the building design.

As you can see here in the foreground, we have a buff colored Roman style brick, which is a pier system, with an ACM horizontal cladding at the building floor materials, and we have an aluminum clad window system above.

Proposed project, within the building PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

68

67

adjacent to that slide gate or the entry point,

will be an audio and a visual strobe at eye level.

So you get two different levels of pedestrian 4 safety: one on the ground should somebody be

5 looking down at their phone, and then one at aye

6 level, should they be walking not looking at their 7

phone. So we have a double level of safety here. We have a compliant drive aisle width.

There will be individual garage doors that enter

10 the individual garage bays. We are proposing a 10-foot-wide landscaped buffer with three trees to

12 the southern portion of the property. We have a

13 centrally located elevator system; we have two 14 means of egress. And the secondary means of egress

15 is set back an additional 4 foot 10, and that's at 16

the northern portion of the property.

The R-3 does permit 100 percent lot coverage within the site boundary, when it's compliant with the PPOD. We are proposing a 0-foot northern setback, which is compliant with the R-3. That is set back, then, to 5 feet on the upper residential floors, compliant with the zoning

21 22

23 ordinance. Again, we are proposing an 8.6 percent

24 building coverage, way less than what is compliant

25 -- or what is required by the R-3 Zone, at 3,415 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

1 footprint, it does have car pit locations, as

previously stated, that we do have multiple

locations where there will be mechanical parking.

These pits will be 7 feet deep. There'll be a

5 foundation below that 7-foot car pit location.

6 We're proposing different utility spaces within the

7 plan at a height of 7 feet. And within the central

8 location here, we have 16 bicycle parking spaces.

9 As you work your way up the building,

10 the second floor, again, to the north, pulls in 5

11 feet to be compliant with the R-3 District

12 regulations. As you can see here in the upper

13 portion of the plan, there is a roof below that is

14 a one-story section that is strictly used for 15

egress.

16 Each one of these floors are very 17 similar, there's two units per floor to the left 18 side, which is the red, which is the western 19 portion of the building, we have a two-bedroom at 20 1,321, and to the eastern portion of the building, 21 we have a two-bedroom, slightly larger, at 1,619.

22 The second floor is the largest of the bunch,

23 because we do have a series of setbacks that are

24 part of the building design. This is at 9 percent

25 building coverage, at 3,550.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

1 Taking you to the third floor, again, 2 two bedrooms east and west, 1,246 and 1,558. 3 Standard two-bedroom/two-bath. The building 4 itself, other than the architecture and the site 5 characteristics we're talking about, is very 6 straightforward with 14 units. We have one outdoor 7 space, which is a roof deck, at 43 square feet. As 8 you can see, that's in the southeastern portion of 9 the plan. The overall building coverage here is

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

8.7 percent, at 3,416.

The eastern portion of the building, as you can see here, has a series of butterflied cantilevers as part of the building design, that rise out of the podium, which is the garage structure. Every other floor, you know, alternates, as far as a roof deck and outdoor space for the residents. The top floor has one continuous outdoor roof deck. And, again, as previously stated, we are proposing an amenity at the roof and a common area roof deck at the roof line.

The materials here are a continuation of the previous rendering exhibit. We have a series of horizontal ACM profile panels, we have aluminum window clad system, and we have a Roman PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

70

69

style buff brick color, which plays off of the existing conditions of both the beige building at 152 Ogden and at 140 Ogden Avenue also. So there's a similar color tone that's within the neighborhood, and we are, you know, trying to

complement that. I'm not saying to mimic, I'm not saying to copy, but it's complementary in color and

8 pallet to the preexisting conditions.

Going back up the building, fourth floor, two two-bedrooms at 1,320, 1,618, 9.0 building coverage, and there's an outdoor roof area of 135 square feet.

Fifth floor, two two-bedrooms at 1,246 and 1,558, 8.7 building coverage, with a 43-square-foot roof deck.

Sixth floor, which is the top floor of the full building footprint, two-bedrooms at 1,320, and 1,618, at 9.coverage. We have a 135-square-foot roof deck at this area.

20 At the seventh floor, now, the 21 building line changes. Right? As the building 22 sets itself back, 18 foot 6 from the front of the 23 building, 23 foot 6 from the property line. This 24 was voluntarily done by this owner, again, to 25 change the scale of the building, make the building PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

1 a little bit more complementary to the

neighborhood. We understand that this building has

3 great mass, it has great impact on this

4 neighborhood; however, we think that this

5 implementation of this setback, of the design,

6 certainly assists in the impact of the overall 7

scale of this building.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That changes the splits here. The western unit at this floor is at 680 square feet, and the eastern unit is at 1,520. The overall building coverage is reduced to 7.1 percent. And, again, there's a very small area of terrace at 35 square feet.

Last floor, eighth floor, we have a roof below now at the front yard setback, an 800-square-foot one-bedroom, and a 1,520-square-foot two-bedroom, 7.4 percent, with one continuous roof deck facing east.

The roof approach, as previously stated, we have a centrally located amenity space, 308 square feet. We have a 1,061-square-foot roof deck. As you can see here, we have a series of green roof areas, both to the north and the eastern portion of the mechanical area. And the mechanical area will be screened with privacy screen at a

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

72

1 48-inch-high privacy screen. I don't think it'll be visible, with the building at this height. And

it's centrally located behind the amenity; I won't

be visible from any direction here.

5 The overall building green extensive 6 roof area, as previously stated in my introduction, 7 is 1,214 square feet. This is volunteered by 8 ownership, to make this a better project, for 9 better stormwater management. And that covers 38

10 percent of the overall roof area. 11

Going back to the exterior elevations 12 now on Ogden Avenue, we have a Roman style sized 13 brick, again, so it's a thinner, wider brick, in a 14 buff color. The windows facing Ogden Avenue have 15 an aluminum clad square liner system, with a medium 16 aluminum gray window inset. Directly to the left 17 side is a profiled rose colored ACM panel for a 18 decorative element that returns back into the 19

window. 20 We're proposing a floating canopy at 21 the sixth floor. The sixth floor setback is at 63 22 feet 4 inches. So, again, building steps itself 23 back 18 foot 6. We have a medium gray colored ACM 24 floating cornice line. 25 The building itself for the top two

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

25

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

first floor, you can see the individual garage bays

that the cars will pull into.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

74

Northern elevation, rear elevation, which the majority of the material at the eastern elevation, which is technically the rear, will be aluminum clad window system with some small ACM, or aluminum composite material, accents.

Daytime view of the Ogden Avenue facade. As you can see, there is a 6-foot-high front wall with the slide gate for the vehicular entry point. Crosswalk is directly to the north. In the foreground is the one street tree, in compliance with the forestry standard. And, again, the side elevation, which is the southern, the masonry begins, and then it slowly dissipates and turns into the majority of glazing to the east.

The overall impact of the building, another requirement from your checklist items, site impact plan, as you can see the massing and scale in the upper site line, number 1. Building is offset to the northern portion of the property. And, again, the three-and-a-half-story masonry building to the south.

Just going back to maybe some of the conversation and questions regarding this site, and as far as excavation, I have done quite a few sites here in Jersey City; this site is unique in its

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

1 June, typically, on the summertime, sun is directly

have impact or cast a shadow in March, midday to

(908) 642-4299

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

later on in the day, directly to the north. In

2 overhead; the shadows will cast pretty much

3 straight down. And as you work both in September

4 and then also in December, again, shadow lines are

5 slightly longer, and the majority of the impact or

6 shadow of this structure will be both to the west,

7 which is the medical facility across Ogden, and

 $oldsymbol{8}$ then to 152 Ogden Avenue to the north later on in

9 the day.

10 This concludes my presentation for

11 tonight.

12

20

CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,

13 Mr. Vandermark.

14 Just a couple of quick questions -- and,

15 Counsel, is that okay? Or do you have a follow-up?

16 MR. HARRINGTON: Oh, no, absolutely, go

17 ahead.

18 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Two

19 questions. Screening on any of the rooftop units?

MR. VANDERMARK: Yes, agreed, and I

21 testified to that. Everything will be centrally

-- tostinod to triat. Everything will be contrally

22 located. We will have some condenser units, which is

23 located behind the amenity space, and we'll probably

24 have an RTU and a generator centrally located.

25 Everything will be screened and out of view.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

	77		79
		4	
1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. How are the	1	easy for a guy like me.
2	parking spaces assigned?	2	MR. VANDERMARK: Okay. Yes.
3	MR. VANDERMARK: One per unit. So,	3	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Okay.
4	again, I think, you know, the ADA space would be	4	MR. VANDERMARK: And just for the
5	obvious, should in the event that any one of these	5	record, we have this system at various locations in
6	14 units I think, potentially, this would be a	6	Jersey City; I have one at 650 Montgomery that's
7	condominium project, so any of the ADA required units	7	approximately 15 years old.
8	would be to the ADA parking space, and then the	8	COMMISSIONER TORRES: And it works?
9	remainder would come on a first come first served	9	MR. VANDERMARK: Oh, yeah, still works.
10	basis. But, again, I don't want to speak for	10	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Thank you very
11	ownership on how they would be delegated or assigned.	11	much.
12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. The reason I	12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody else,
13	ask, with that mechanical system towards west of the	13	any questions?
14	building, I'll call it, so there's five cars that	14	COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No.
15	rotate through there?	15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,
16	MR. VANDERMARK: Correct.	16	sir.
17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So there's actually	17	MR. VANDERMARK: Thank you.
18	six spaces, but five there's one movable?	18	MR. HARRINGTON: I have nothing further
19	MR. VANDERMARK: There's always one	19	for Mr. Vandermark.
20	empty space within the modular. So there'll be five	20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, go
21	trays, and the empty space, you know, rotates,	21	ahead.
22	dictates, you know, where the car is spit out in the	22	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Thank you.
23	garage parking space.	23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	But I think, you know, in this case, we	24	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:
25	will have, you know, probably the ability to even	25	Q. Okay. Good evening, Mr. Vandermark.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	78		80
1	dedicate, you know, whatever garage stall will be	1	A. Good evening.
2	opening to that particular tenant. So I think they	2	Q. I just had a few questions for you.
3	can probably even take it the next further. It won't	3	A. Sure.
4	be a random thing; it'll be something that, kind of,	4	Q. So you mentioned that there's a
5	appears at that location for that resident.	5	swimming pool on an adjacent structure.
6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood.	6	A. Just from what I could see via a
7	Anybody else, questions?	7	Google Earth image, yes.
8	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Yeah, going back	8	Q. Okay. So what, was it the blue color
9	to the five parking spaces, the mechanical device	9	that gave you that idea?
10	that randomly picks one space opens, there's six of	10	A. It was a blue color.
11	them, how how convenient how easy is it to	11	Q. Okay. And then, you had compared this
12	operate, anybody just or is it somebody special	12	proposed structure to 154 Ogden
13	going to be operating it or	13	A. I did not compare them. I said 154
14	MR. VANDERMARK: Oh, no, this will all	14	Ogden is a three-story masonry structure that was
15	be operational by the residents.	15	actually constructed further east, closer to the
16 17	COMMISSIONER TORRES: By the residents.	16 17	crest of the Palisades, as opposed to what we're
1	MR. VANDERMARK: Obviously, there'll be	18	proposing here. Yes, it's three stories; it has a
18 19	a maintenance contract on this, or a service contract that will be done annually; however, it'll be done	19	cellar, which is similar to what our cellar would be on this project.
20	via key fob, okay, the garage door will open, the	20	So from an excavation standpoint, that
21	system will rotate with your key fob, the empty tray	21	building has foundations, that building was
22	will land right in the garage bay, and the person	22	constructed further east, and that building was
23	will pull right in.	23	constructed a hundred years ago. And I don't see
24	So these residents	24	any slipping or any failure on that building today.
25	COMMISSIONER TORRES: You're making it	25	Q. Are you aware it has a retaining wall?
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

	81		83
1	A. I was not privy to being on the	1	regulatory standard for that?
2	backside of that property; however, that retaining	2	A. Not in Jersey City, no.
3	wall was constructed I don't know when it was	3	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with any state
4	constructed, but it exists, and it does have impact	4	regulatory standards for those?
5	on the Palisades.	5	A. I am not, but, you know, this is a
6	Q. Right. And are you aware retaining	6	site approach that, kind of, goes further into,
7	walls are no longer permitted on the Palisades?	7	kind of, stormwater management and green building
8	A. We're not proposing one.	8	technique. So, again, it you know, this is only
9	Q. Okay. Could you describe the paver	9	a plus for the project, not a negative.
10	system that you had shown in one of your renderings?	10	Q. So are the pavers
11	A. Yeah. I mean, we could even stay on	11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Counsel, if I could
12	this slide. This is a paver system that allows	12	jump in real quick: Regulatory by who?
13	water seepage through this paver system, that goes	13	MS. HADJIYANNIS: The State, DEP.
14	directly into the ground. The preexisting	14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.
15	condition on this site is a 100 percent on this	15	A. I mean, ultimately, this project will
16	portion of the site, is 100 percent asphalt paving	16	be approved by NJDEP, as proposed by our civil
17	system, which is a parking lot. So that paving	17	engineer. So if this is something that the state
18	system sheet flows in every direction, whichever	18	regulates against, then this part of the
19	the parking lot is pitched to. So the current	19	application would be changed to a regular paver
20	condition here is that the water is going to fall,	20	system, nonpermeable, but I certainly wouldn't be
21	it is going to dissipate with downwards, into the	21	presenting asphalt here.
22	soil condition, and it will eventually touch the	22	Q. Okay. Is this so this is part of
23	rock.	23	so the permeable pavers are part of the stormwater
24	Q. Okay. And just going back to the size	24	management system?
25	of the that how many square feet is the	25	A. It's part of a green building
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	82		84
1	existing parking lot?	1	technique.
2	A. It's approximately 70 by 100, so it's	2	Q. Okay. And I just wanted to go to your
3	7,000 square feet.	3	rendering, I think it was on slide number 24. Okay.
4	Q. Okay. I'm sorry, 7,000, you said?	4	And did you testify that the height of this building
5	A. Yes.	5	is 85 the proposed structure is 85 feet?
6	Q. And when you're talking about water, I	6	A. To the principal roof level, correct.
7	guess are the pavers themselves permeable, or is	7	Q. And it's eight stories?
8	it there's just spaces in between?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. There's spaces in the paver. The	9	Q. And the building to the north at 154
10	paver itself will probably be some form of concrete	10	Ogden, how many stories is that?
11	material, and there's, like, a small gap in between	11	A. Three stories.
12	these pavers that allows the water to drain into,	12	Q. Okay.
13	as opposed to sheet flowing on the adjacent	13	A. But it's a taller three stories with a
14	properties or, you know, into the slope of the	14	taller parapet line. But, again, I'm not
15	Palisades.	15	testifying to that height as part of this graphic;
16	Q. And but, then, the waters after	16	I'm testifying to strictly the building alone.
17	the water filters down through the initial layers of	17	There is a massing of similar scale to 154 Ogden,
18	sand and gravel, it'll hit impermeable bedrock?	18	but I'm not testifying that that you know,
19	A. And that was previously stated by our	19	there's a parapet line on that building, and it's a
20	geotechnical engineer, yes.	20	very tall three stories above grade.
	Q. Okay. Is so you're calling this a		Q. Do you know how tall 154 Ogden is?

23

24

Α.

Q.

I did not take a measurement.

this rendering reflects that proportional

relationship of an eight-story building to the

To me, would you say this correctly --

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

permeable paver system?

system, correct.

I'm calling this a permeable paver

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

Okay. Is there a -- is there a

	85		87
1	three-story building?	1	defer until after public comment. I'd just note for
2	A. I think, when you look at the	2	the record I have our traffic engineer here tonight,
3	preexisting condition of that structure, you have a	3	in the event the board has any questions regarding
4	tall three stories with a very high parapet line	4	that.
5	and decorative cornice. So this could,	5	And I'm not presenting any planning
6	aesthetically, be three and a half to four stories	6	testimony tonight; as I mentioned earlier, it's an
7	in height. It's of similar scale. I'm not saying	7	as-of-right application. The issue as to whether a
8	it's an exact scale, and I didn't put that as part	8	variance was required or not was adjudicated by the
9	of the record.	9	zoning board, and that's under appeal. So there is
10	Q. Right. Okay.	10	no planning testimony.
11	And when you designed this structure at	11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood.
12	152 Ogden, did you take into consideration the	12	Thank you.
13	design standards in our Land Development Ordinance?	13	MR. HARRINGTON: With that said, that
14	A. I always do, sure.	14	completes our presentation.
15	Q. Okay. Thanks. That's it.	15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you.
16	MR. HARRINGTON: Just one question for	16	Ms. Hadjiyannis, anything else?
17	Mr. Vandermark	17	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure.	18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Please, come on up
19	MR. HARRINGTON: kind of, to clear	19	to the mic and speak.
20	up the record.	20	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, we have an
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	21	engineering expert tonight, and then Kern Weissman's
22	BY MR. HARRINGTON:	22	going to be a fact witness on behalf of Riverview
23	Q. The existing parking lot there is I	23	Neighborhood Association's development committee, and
24	believe it's a gravel parking lot. Is that correct?	24	then Roger Heitmann's going to stand up briefly and
25	A. Well, it is a it's a parking lot	25	talk on behalf of the organization's board.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	00		
	86		88
1	that is in disrepair.	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's
2	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you?
2	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up?	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right.
2	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. G E O F F R E Y G O L L, having been
2 3 4 5 6	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are under an open application; no conversations between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, everybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are under an open application; no conversations between us, no conversations with any members of the public.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, everybody. DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are under an open application; no conversations between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, everybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are under an open application; no conversations between us, no conversations with any members of the public. Okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, everybody. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are under an open application; no conversations between us, no conversations with any members of the public. Okay? (Whereupon, the board hears other agenda	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, everybody. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Okay. Mr. Goll, could you give the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are under an open application; no conversations between us, no conversations with any members of the public. Okay? (Whereupon, the board hears other agenda items at this time.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, everybody. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Okay. Mr. Goll, could you give the board your expert qualifications?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are under an open application; no conversations between us, no conversations with any members of the public. Okay? (Whereupon, the board hears other agenda items at this time.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Could we come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, everybody. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Okay. Mr. Goll, could you give the board your expert qualifications? A. Sure. I graduated from Rutgers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are under an open application; no conversations between us, no conversations with any members of the public. Okay? (Whereupon, the board hears other agenda items at this time.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Could we come to order again, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, everybody. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Okay. Mr. Goll, could you give the board your expert qualifications? A. Sure. I graduated from Rutgers University in 1990 with a bachelor in civil
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are under an open application; no conversations between us, no conversations with any members of the public. Okay? (Whereupon, the board hears other agenda items at this time.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Could we come to order again, please? Mr. Harrington, I'll offer you a closing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, everybody. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Okay. Mr. Goll, could you give the board your expert qualifications? A. Sure. I graduated from Rutgers University in 1990 with a bachelor in civil engineering. I have a master's degree from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that is in disrepair. Q. It's a little bit of both, a little broken up? A. It's a little bit of both, it's a little broken asphalt, and there is some portions of gravel. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Just wanted to clear that up. Thank you. I have nothing further. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, Counsel. Okay. Thank you. So the time is 7:26; we're going to take a 10-minute break, everybody 7:27, I apologize. Be back at 7:37. Just for the board's notice, we are under an open application; no conversations between us, no conversations with any members of the public. Okay? (Whereupon, the board hears other agenda items at this time.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Could we come to order again, please? Mr. Harrington, I'll offer you a closing statement, if you'd like, or after public comment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And that's going to be under direct testimony from you? MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, that's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. GEOFFREY GOLL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. GOLL: My first name is Geoffrey, G-E-O-F-F-R-E-Y, middle initial M, last name Goll, G-O-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mike, can we pause the record for one sec? I just left my iPad in the other room. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, everybody. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. Okay. Mr. Goll, could you give the board your expert qualifications? A. Sure. I graduated from Rutgers University in 1990 with a bachelor in civil engineering. I have a master's degree from the

	00		04
١.	89		91
1	and nine other states, as well as the District of	1	presented.
2	Columbia, as a professional engineer.	2	MR. HARRINGTON: Well, I'd
3	After graduating from Rutgers, I	3	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Harrington, I'll hear
4	worked for a highway engineering firm, which is	4	you.
5	called Dewberry now; it was Goodkind and O'Dea back	5	MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, I'm
6	in the day. I worked for Mellick-Tully, a	6	going to state an objection to them, because they
7	geotechnical engineering firm, for several years.	7	were not provided before tonight.
8	We started Princeton Hydro, my firm. I'm the	8	COMMISSIONER TORRES: I agree.
9	president of the firm. I've been the president for	9	MR. HARRINGTON: Well, they aren't
10	the last seven years, but I'm a founding partner.	10	MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, obviously,
11	But we work in water resources and geotechnical	11	Mr. Harrington has not had the opportunity
12	engineering, water resources consulting in	12	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Can we show it to him
13	science both in science and engineering.	13	right now? We have a big board. We can show you
14	I've testified before a number of	14	right now, actually, without putting it up on the
15	different planning boards. I actually was	15	screen. Can we show it to him now?
16	qualified by the Jersey City Zoning Board of	16	MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, just let
17	Adjustment. I'm actually just I'm also one of	17	me state what I'm going to state, and then we will
18	the engineers of record on the Liberty State Park	18	see how this plays out.
19	project, we're working for the my company is	19	So Chairman, board, obviously, the
20	working for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; we're	20	objector has been known to both the board and to
21	doing all the geotechnical work.	21	Mr. Harrington for some time. They have not provided
22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine, sir.	22	these documents in advance. I have not seen these
23	You're qualified.	23	documents; I don't know that anybody on behalf of the
24		24	
25	MR. GOLL: Thank you. BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:	25	board, any of our professionals, have seen the
25		25	documents. I have no idea what they are.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	90		92
1	Q. Okay. Mr. Goll, you heard all the	1	Mr. Harrington has not seen them. So, obviously
1 2	Q. Okay. Mr. Goll, you heard all the testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you	1 2	Mr. Harrington has not seen them. So, obviously MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a
_	3		
2	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you	2	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a
3	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan?	3	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I
2 3 4	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like	3 4	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can
2 3 4 5	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We	2 3 4 5	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it
2 3 4 5 6	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about	2 3 4 5 6	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to discuss that a little bit. So I have a three,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but you were here in November, this gentleman is here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to discuss that a little bit. So I have a three, actually, different slides I'd like to show, and if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but you were here in November, this gentleman is here tonight with exhibits that he prepared in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to discuss that a little bit. So I have a three,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but you were here in November, this gentleman is here tonight with exhibits that he prepared in anticipation of testifying which is fine, no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to discuss that a little bit. So I have a three, actually, different slides I'd like to show, and if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but you were here in November, this gentleman is here tonight with exhibits that he prepared in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to discuss that a little bit. So I have a three, actually, different slides I'd like to show, and if I can present here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but you were here in November, this gentleman is here tonight with exhibits that he prepared in anticipation of testifying which is fine, no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to discuss that a little bit. So I have a three, actually, different slides I'd like to show, and if I can present here. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, were these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but you were here in November, this gentleman is here tonight with exhibits that he prepared in anticipation of testifying which is fine, no problem with that but you now have a board that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to discuss that a little bit. So I have a three, actually, different slides I'd like to show, and if I can present here. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, were these provided to the board and/or to Mr. Harrington prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but you were here in November, this gentleman is here tonight with exhibits that he prepared in anticipation of testifying which is fine, no problem with that but you now have a board that has not even had the opportunity to review them;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to discuss that a little bit. So I have a three, actually, different slides I'd like to show, and if I can present here. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, were these provided to the board and/or to Mr. Harrington prior to the meeting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but you were here in November, this gentleman is here tonight with exhibits that he prepared in anticipation of testifying which is fine, no problem with that but you now have a board that has not even had the opportunity to review them; Mr. Harrington hasn't had the opportunity to review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	testimony from the applicant's engineers. Did you have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to discuss that a little bit. So I have a three, actually, different slides I'd like to show, and if I can present here. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, were these provided to the board and/or to Mr. Harrington prior to the meeting? MS. HADJIYANNIS: They weren't, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but you were here in November, this gentleman is here tonight with exhibits that he prepared in anticipation of testifying which is fine, no problem with that but you now have a board that has not even had the opportunity to review them; Mr. Harrington hasn't had the opportunity to review them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have any opinion about the site plan? A. Yes. One of the things that I'd like to start off with is some of the regulatory. We heard a lot of really interesting things about approaches in to the proposed development, but I wanted to start out with what is under the purview of the planning board and what the applicant is required to follow, in terms of the stormwater ordinance. Currently, the applicant has testified that they are a considered considered to be a minor development, and that was testified to, I believe, by the engineer in the last meeting. For this, they talk about on-site on-site disturbance in impervious cover, and I want to discuss that a little bit. So I have a three, actually, different slides I'd like to show, and if I can present here. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, were these provided to the board and/or to Mr. Harrington prior to the meeting? MS. HADJIYANNIS: They weren't, but they're based on the site plans that were already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, if I could lay a foundation for what we're about to present, and I think, then, after it's been presented, the board can make a decision about whether they'd like to admit it into evidence. MR. ALAMPI: So I don't know that the board even wants to entertain it, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So that's what we're going to find out. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't think it's incumbent upon any objector to present exhibits in advance. Our exhibits are a response to the presentation given by the applicant, which was only completed tonight, and I don't know of any board in the state that requires an objector to submit exhibits in advance of the hearing. MR. ALAMPI: Okay, Ms. Hadjiyannis, but you were here in November, this gentleman is here tonight with exhibits that he prepared in anticipation of testifying which is fine, no problem with that but you now have a board that has not even had the opportunity to review them; Mr. Harrington hasn't had the opportunity to review them. So, Mr. Harrington, would you like to

	00	1	0.5
1 .	93	1 .	95
1	attempt to review these? I would hate to carry the	1	MR. ALAMPI: Fair enough.
2	matter and delay the proceeding, because they have	2	MS. HADJIYANNIS: certain commentary.
3	not been shared prior.	3	MR. ALAMPI: Fair enough. The that's
4	MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, I would like to	4	one of the exhibits or two of the exhibits?
5	review them. I know a lot of time has been spent	5	MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's one exhibit.
6	tonight and November. You know, my issue is, yeah,	6	MR. ALAMPI: Okay.
7	we were here in November	7	MS. HADJIYANNIS: And then, the second
8	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Can you speak into the	8	exhibit is just showing what's required under our
9	mic?	9	ordinance. It's, like, a screenshot of our own
10	MS. HADJIYANNIS: We received an	10	stormwater control ordinance.
		_	
11	engineering memo this afternoon that was uploaded to	11	MR. ALAMPI: "Our ordinance" being the
12	the website. I mean, you could say the same thing to	12	city's ordinance?
13	the applicant's lawyer	13	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes. Yes.
14	MR. ALAMPI: That was not from the	14	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. So, Mr. Harrington,
15	applicant, Ms. Hadjiyannis. The memo that was	15	I have no issue with the city ordinance. To be
16	received is from the city engineering department. So	16	honest, I don't think I have an issue with comments
17	that is not anybody's doing, other than city	17	added to the site plan, if the gentleman was going to
18	engineering, which this board will take full control	18	testify from those as having been his analysis of the
19	of. So	19	site plan.
20	MR. HARRINGTON: I'd like to review them	20	MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, I don't have an
21	briefly, just to see if they're just a factual	21	objection, either. It sounds like, you know, for the
22	compilation of data, or is it a report or analysis?	22	limited purposes of demonstrative presentation,
23	MR. ALAMPI: So with that,	23	that's my understanding of what is being done here.
24	Ms. Hadjiyannis, can you lay somewhat of a	24	That, I have no objection.
25	foundation, so we know what we're talking about?	25	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just give us one
23	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE	23	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	94		96
1	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course.	1	second, please.
2	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the	2	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in
	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and		second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.)
2	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the	2	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel,
2	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and	2	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.)
3 4	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the	3 4	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel,
2 3 4 5	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the	2 3 4 5	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind
2 3 4 5 6	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable	2 3 4 5 6	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation,
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance,	2 3 4 5 6 7	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair toyou know, the board is the board. We'll take expert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair to you know, the board is the board. We'll take expert testimony, we've qualified your expert, we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry about that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair toyou know, the board is the board. We'll take expert testimony, we've qualified your expert, we've qualified your expert witnesses. So there's expert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry about that. The second one is just showing our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair to you know, the board is the board. We'll take expert testimony, we've qualified your expert, we've qualified your expert witnesses. So there's expert testimony from both sides, but I think your expert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry about that. The second one is just showing our ordinance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair toyou know, the board is the board. We'll take expert testimony, we've qualified your expert, we've qualified your expert witnesses. So there's expert testimony from both sides, but I think your expert witnesses should be able to review the data.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry about that. The second one is just showing our ordinance MR. ALAMPI: Are they edited site	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair to you know, the board is the board. We'll take expert testimony, we've qualified your expert, we've qualified your expert witnesses. So there's expert testimony from both sides, but I think your expert witnesses should be able to review the data. Now, Santo, should that happen before we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry about that. The second one is just showing our ordinance MR. ALAMPI: Are they edited site plan drawings edited by your expert?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair toyou know, the board is the board. We'll take expert testimony, we've qualified your expert, we've qualified your expert witnesses. So there's expert testimony from both sides, but I think your expert witnesses should be able to review the data. Now, Santo, should that happen before we see it or after we see it, or during?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry about that. The second one is just showing our ordinance MR. ALAMPI: Are they edited site plan drawings edited by your expert? MS. HADJIYANNIS: It's not edited; it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair to you know, the board is the board. We'll take expert testimony, we've qualified your expert, we've qualified your expert witnesses. So there's expert testimony from both sides, but I think your expert witnesses should be able to review the data. Now, Santo, should that happen before we see it or after we see it, or during? MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, if we're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry about that. The second one is just showing our ordinance MR. ALAMPI: Are they edited site plan drawings edited by your expert? MS. HADJIYANNIS: It's not edited; it's overlain with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair to you know, the board is the board. We'll take expert testimony, we've qualified your expert, we've qualified your expert witnesses. So there's expert testimony from both sides, but I think your expert witnesses should be able to review the data. Now, Santo, should that happen before we see it or after we see it, or during? MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, if we're not comfortable proceeding, because Mr. Harrington has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry about that. The second one is just showing our ordinance MR. ALAMPI: Are they edited site plan drawings edited by your expert? MS. HADJIYANNIS: It's not edited; it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair to you know, the board is the board. We'll take expert testimony, we've qualified your expert, we've qualified your expert witnesses. So there's expert testimony from both sides, but I think your expert witnesses should be able to review the data. Now, Santo, should that happen before we see it or after we see it, or during? MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, if we're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, of course. So the plan it's just taking the applicant's site plan from its civil engineer and coloring in some of the squares to illustrate the square footage of the building footprint, the permeable portion of the site, the impermeable portion of the site, and the off-site disturbance, which is our math is a little different than theirs, and we show how we come up with different numbers, based upon their site plan MR. GOLL: It's the same. It is the same as theirs. MR. HARRINGTON: I mean, our measurements are the same, but the way we interpret MR. WARD: Let's keep the conversation on the mic, please. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Sorry about that. The second one is just showing our ordinance MR. ALAMPI: Are they edited site plan drawings edited by your expert? MS. HADJIYANNIS: It's not edited; it's overlain with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel, both of you, here's my concern: If there's some kind of mathematical work that's on this presentation, that's on this exhibit, obviously, Mr. Harrington's engineering experts should have access to it. So I'll entertain that. And, Mr. Harrington, I'll go back to you and see if you're comfortable with those numbers, but I don't want to make a rash decision tonight, just because you're in front of us tonight. So if there's numbers on this, I certainly want your engineering team to look at these numbers, and have some kind of qualified response. I don't think it's fair toyou know, the board is the board. We'll take expert testimony, we've qualified your expert, we've qualified your expert witnesses. So there's expert testimony from both sides, but I think your expert witnesses should be able to review the data. Now, Santo, should that happen before we see it or after we see it, or during? MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, if we're not comfortable proceeding, because Mr. Harrington has PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

	97		99
_			
1	not had the full opportunity to review and analyze	1	COMMISSIONER TORRES: You don't
2	it, then the board is absolutely within its purview,	2	understand what I'm saying?
3	if the board is uncomfortable, with carrying the	3	MS. HADJIYANNIS: I said I understand
4	application, to allow the applicant's attorney the	4	what you're saying, Commissioner.
5	time to review these, and confirm or object to	5	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Okay. Thank you.
6	whatever that calculation is. So that's absolutely	6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So, Counsel, this
7	within the board's discretion.	7	is you've had this and I don't mean this with
8	COMMISSIONER TORRES: I don't think it's	8	any disrespect you had Mr. Harrington's exhibits
9		9	
_	funny. We just had a break, and you didn't even		for how long now?
10	bring it up?	10	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Well
11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Eddie, please turn	11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You've reviewed the
12	your mic on.	12	applicant's exhibits.
13	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Sorry, this is	13	MS. HADJIYANNIS: January 11th was the
14	Commissioner Torres. I don't find this funny. I	14	first date his new I mean, we've been here
15	just spent two hours of my time, and you're laughing	15	repeatedly, so that the applicant could be given the
16	there, and what do you call it you should see	16	opportunity to rectify a deficient application. We
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
17	the and the truth of the matter is we just	17	were here, I think, first in October, then in
18	announced that we were going to have a 10-minute	18	November
19	break, and you had the mic in your hand, and you	19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes.
20	didn't announce that there was something that we	20	MS. HADJIYANNIS: after, as Chairman,
21	could look at, that yous could have looked at in	21	you pointed out they didn't have the right
22	those 10 minutes that we were took a break so he	22	geotechnical report.
23	could have rest. I don't find this funny at all.	23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I don't
24	MS. HADJIYANNIS: I didn't I've	24	MS. HADJIYANNIS: This is my I think
25	never said it was funny	25	it does seem a bit imbalanced to me. I should
23	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE	23	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	98		100
1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time,	1	just and I am going to strenuously object to this.
1 2		1 2	
	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time,	_	just and I am going to strenuously object to this.
2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis.	2	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the
2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny.	2	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a	2 3 4 5	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and	2 3 4 5 6	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect.	2 3 4 5 6 7	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down engineering reports from Jersey City, because it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor development.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down engineering reports from Jersey City, because it was given to us when we sat down here before a meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor development. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's exactly right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down engineering reports from Jersey City, because it was given to us when we sat down here before a meeting. Just want to put that in for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor development. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's exactly right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down engineering reports from Jersey City, because it was given to us when we sat down here before a meeting. Just want to put that in for the record. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor development. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's exactly right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not trying to reinvent the wheel here. I don't want to, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down engineering reports from Jersey City, because it was given to us when we sat down here before a meeting. Just want to put that in for the record. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Thank you. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor development. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's exactly right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not trying to reinvent the wheel here. I don't want to, you know, throw this into chaos. I just want to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down engineering reports from Jersey City, because it was given to us when we sat down here before a meeting. Just want to put that in for the record. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor development. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's exactly right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not trying to reinvent the wheel here. I don't want to, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down engineering reports from Jersey City, because it was given to us when we sat down here before a meeting. Just want to put that in for the record. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Thank you. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor development. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's exactly right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not trying to reinvent the wheel here. I don't want to, you know, throw this into chaos. I just want to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down engineering reports from Jersey City, because it was given to us when we sat down here before a meeting. Just want to put that in for the record. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Thank you. I don't find it funny.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor development. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's exactly right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not trying to reinvent the wheel here. I don't want to, you know, throw this into chaos. I just want to make sure that all parties have access to exhibits that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down engineering reports from Jersey City, because it was given to us when we sat down here before a meeting. Just want to put that in for the record. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Thank you. I don't find it funny. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor development. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's exactly right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not trying to reinvent the wheel here. I don't want to, you know, throw this into chaos. I just want to make sure that all parties have access to exhibits that are presented, and have them beforehand. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: One at a time, Ms. Hadjiyannis. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I've never said it was funny. MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we have a commissioner speaking. Please, a little decorum and respect. COMMISSIONER TORRES: And for the record, this board, since I've been on this board, if we walked in here today, and they gave me an engineering report from the City of Jersey City, we'd carry that meeting, because we would not accept a report that was given to us when we walked in. If it was posted on the data portal before we got here, we would we had a chance to look at it. But this board has always, since I been on it and I want to put this down for the record has turned down engineering reports from Jersey City, because it was given to us when we sat down here before a meeting. Just want to put that in for the record. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Thank you. I don't find it funny. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand what you're saying, Commissioner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	just and I am going to strenuously object to this. I do think that, if you all take a look at the exhibit, or if Mr. Harrington's you'll see it's basically his exhibit, it's nothing new. And the math's not new; it's their numbers. So it's just a visual I mean that's going to help illustrate our expert's presentation. MR. HARRINGTON: If I could, because I'd like to move forward CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection with them proceeding, and I could maybe reserve a right to rebuttal, or reserve the objection, if I believe that my experts need more time. My gut is telling me that it's a presentation for demonstrative purposes, and he just has a different opinion as to whether it's a major development or a minor development. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's exactly right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not trying to reinvent the wheel here. I don't want to, you know, throw this into chaos. I just want to make sure that all parties have access to exhibits that are presented, and have them beforehand. If Mr. Harrington is waiving that, I'm comfortable with

	101		103
1	that.	1	outvoted, it sounds like.
2	MS. HADJIYANNIS: What did just to	2	All right. Thank you, Ms. Hadjiyannis.
3	clarify: What is the policy? Because Commissioner	3	Continue, please.
4	Torres referred to is there a time is there a	4	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in
5	formal policy how far in advance exhibits have to be	5	the proceeding.)
6	submitted?	6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You're going to have
7	MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, everything	7	to blow that up for us.
8	has to be submitted and posted to the portal 10 days	8	MR. GOLL: I will do that right now.
9	before the hearing	9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. Perfect.
10	MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's for the	10	A. Okay. So the one thing I wanted to,
			-
11	applicant.	11	really, just first cover on my testimony is the
12	MR. ALAMPI: That's for the	12	definition of major development versus the minor
13	Ms. Hadjiyannis, please don't interrupt me. I let	13	development. And he is correct in
14	you talk, you let me talk. That's how this works.	14	MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, let's lay
15	So the answer is 10 days in advance.	15	the foundation, the chapter and verse for this
16	For you to come in the night of a hearing with	16	exhibit.
17	exhibits that you want to present in opposition to an	17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And where is this
18	application, and not share them with your adversary	18	coming from?
19	before the hearing, is upsetting. It's upsetting.	19	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Sure. This is an
20	So it should have been produced beforehand. You	20	excerpt from our stormwater Jersey City stormwater
21	could have sent a letter two days ago saying we've	21	control ordinance
22	just prepared this in response to what we got 10 days	22	MR. ALAMPI: Let's ask the witness,
23	ago. But none of that took place.	23	please.
24	And to the commissioner's point, for the	24	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:
25	past 10 minutes, while everybody was in recess, you	25	Q. Mr. Goll, could you explain what
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	102		104
1	didn't even say to Mr. Harrington we have these	1	what's being displayed on the screen, please?
2	exhibits that we're going to move.	2	A. Yes, this is this is the language
	So that is the point.		
3	·	3	and the definitions of Subsection 345-74,
4	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to	4	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance,
	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know	_	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major
	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and	4	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and
4 5	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant,	4 5	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major
4 5 6 7 8	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to	4 5 6 7 8	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire
4 5 6 7 8 9	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed.	4 5 6 7 8 9	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74?
4 5 6 7 8 9	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to	4 5 6 7 8 9	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document using the specific terms that you want to discuss
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I agree. Yeah, if you're okay with that, Counsel MR. HARRINGTON: Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document using the specific terms that you want to discuss here this evening. Is that fair?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I agree. Yeah, if you're okay with that, Counsel MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I'm okay	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document using the specific terms that you want to discuss here this evening. Is that fair? MR. GOLL: Correct, the only difference
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I agree. Yeah, if you're okay with that, Counsel MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I'm okay with that, too. If anything, it's just professional	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document using the specific terms that you want to discuss here this evening. Is that fair? MR. GOLL: Correct, the only difference is I bolded certain terms and underlined other terms.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I agree. Yeah, if you're okay with that, Counsel MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I'm okay with that, too. If anything, it's just professional courtesy to have been provided before. I'm okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document using the specific terms that you want to discuss here this evening. Is that fair? MR. GOLL: Correct, the only difference is I bolded certain terms and underlined other terms. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: No. No, it's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I agree. Yeah, if you're okay with that, Counsel MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I'm okay with that, too. If anything, it's just professional courtesy to have been provided before. I'm okay. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, same with	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document using the specific terms that you want to discuss here this evening. Is that fair? MR. GOLL: Correct, the only difference is I bolded certain terms and underlined other terms. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: No. No, it's edited. It's an edited document now.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I agree. Yeah, if you're okay with that, Counsel MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I'm okay with that, too. If anything, it's just professional courtesy to have been provided before. I'm okay. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, same with me, I'm okay with Mr. Harrington is waiving that.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document using the specific terms that you want to discuss here this evening. Is that fair? MR. GOLL: Correct, the only difference is I bolded certain terms and underlined other terms. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: No. No, it's edited. It's an edited document now. MR. GOLL: I don't know what that means.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I agree. Yeah, if you're okay with that, Counsel MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I'm okay with that, too. If anything, it's just professional courtesy to have been provided before. I'm okay. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, same with me, I'm okay with Mr. Harrington is waiving that. And a rebuttal will be happening, if he so wishes to.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document using the specific terms that you want to discuss here this evening. Is that fair? MR. GOLL: Correct, the only difference is I bolded certain terms and underlined other terms. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: No. No, it's edited. It's an edited document now. MR. GOLL: I don't know what that means. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You don't know what
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I agree. Yeah, if you're okay with that, Counsel MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I'm okay with that, too. If anything, it's just professional courtesy to have been provided before. I'm okay. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, same with me, I'm okay with Mr. Harrington is waiving that. And a rebuttal will be happening, if he so wishes to. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. David, you're	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document using the specific terms that you want to discuss here this evening. Is that fair? MR. GOLL: Correct, the only difference is I bolded certain terms and underlined other terms. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: No. No, it's edited. It's an edited document now. MR. GOLL: I don't know what that means. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You don't know what "edited" means?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Chairman, Mr. Harrington wants to reserve. He wants to move forward. I don't know that the board is comfortable moving forward, and Mr. Harrington reserving, but he is the applicant, and it's always in the discretion of the board how to proceed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Anybody have an opinion? COMMISSIONER TORRES: If Mr. Harrington is okay with moving forward, and we have they have a right to rebuttal, then I'm okay with that process. I think that would that'll be fair. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I agree. Yeah, if you're okay with that, Counsel MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I'm okay with that, too. If anything, it's just professional courtesy to have been provided before. I'm okay. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, same with me, I'm okay with Mr. Harrington is waiving that. And a rebuttal will be happening, if he so wishes to.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Stormwater Control, of the Jersey City ordinance, and it's in the definitions that defines major development. And this was literally copied and pasted into this document. MR. ALAMPI: And is it the entire chapter and verse of 345-74? MR. GOLL: No, it is sorry. No, it is just simply the definition, and then I have on the next slide, I have further definitions of impervious and motor vehicle surfaces. MR. ALAMPI: So it is the definitions of specific terms that you've taken out of 345-74 of the Jersey City ordinance, and created this document using the specific terms that you want to discuss here this evening. Is that fair? MR. GOLL: Correct, the only difference is I bolded certain terms and underlined other terms. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: No. No, it's edited. It's an edited document now. MR. GOLL: I don't know what that means. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You don't know what

	105		407
	105	_	107
1	MR. GOLL: No, I don't know how it	1	problem if you gave us the actual ordinance, but with
2	impacts this. Is it is there a concern	2	anything highlighted, with anything emboldened,
3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Because there's	3	underlined, now it's you're creating opinion out
4	certain deference given to certain words that isn't	4	of it, rather than fact, in my eyes.
5	shown in the Jersey City ordinance. What you're	5	MR. GOLL: Isn't it my opinion that
6	showing me right now and we shouldn't even be	6	matters here?
7	looking at this now what you're showing me isn't	7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You're a fact
8	the exact ordinance.	8	witness. Correct? Or you're an expert witness.
9	MR. ALAMPI: Correct.	9	MR. ALAMPI: He's an expert.
10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: There's words	10	MR. GOLL: And my professional opinion
11	emboldened. There's things underlined. Are there	11	matters.
12	quotes added?	12	MR. ALAMPI: Sir, you're going to give
13	MR. GOLL: No.	13	an opinion, but, again, I think it's going to have to
14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So those	14	come in verbal testimony, based on what you've and
15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	quotes are in the ordinance?	15	what you intend to highlight and bring to the board's
16	MR. GOLL: Correct.	16	attention within the ordinance. But if we're going
17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not comfortable	17	to look at the ordinance, we want to see the sentence
18	with reading an altered document as evidence.	18	before and after, and make sure, obviously, that the
19	MR. ALAMPI: That's fair, Chairman.	19	way you're interpreting that ordinance is the same as
20	MR. GOLL: Can I explain why I did it,	20	we want to interpret it, in terms of whether the
21	or is it okay?	21	sentence before or after a sentence matters to the
22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's altered. It's	22	interpretation of that sentence. So I think that's
23	not the ordinance.	23	the actual concern for the board.
24	MR. ALAMPI: I understand why you did	24	But with that, obviously, if you have
25	it. I mean, I assume the reason you did it was to,	25	the ordinance in its full text, you can share that
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	100		400
	106		108
1	obviously, highlight certain things.	1	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it,
1 2		1 2	
	obviously, highlight certain things.	_	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it,
2	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course.	2	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too.
2 3	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand	2	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate
2 3 4	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that.	2 3 4	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it.
2 3 4 5	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah.	2 3 4 5	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is	2 3 4 5 6	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of	2 3 4 5 6 7	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer qualified disturbance as on-site disturbance and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not MR. ALAMPI: I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer qualified disturbance as on-site disturbance and on-site impervious cover.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not MR. ALAMPI: I understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I understand the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer qualified disturbance as on-site disturbance and on-site impervious cover. And, obviously, I think you're aware
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not MR. ALAMPI: I understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I understand the reason for it, and I'm believe me, if I was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer qualified disturbance as on-site disturbance and on-site impervious cover. And, obviously, I think you're aware that there's a major difference between a to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not MR. ALAMPI: I understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I understand the reason for it, and I'm believe me, if I was in your shoes, I would probably do the exact same thing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer qualified disturbance as on-site disturbance and on-site impervious cover. And, obviously, I think you're aware that there's a major difference between a to throw a pun out there there's a big difference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not MR. ALAMPI: I understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I understand the reason for it, and I'm believe me, if I was in your shoes, I would probably do the exact same thing. It's just it's as far as the board is concerned,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer qualified disturbance as on-site disturbance and on-site impervious cover. And, obviously, I think you're aware that there's a major difference between a to throw a pun out there there's a big difference between the major and the minor development
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not MR. ALAMPI: I understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I understand the reason for it, and I'm believe me, if I was in your shoes, I would probably do the exact same thing. It's just it's as far as the board is concerned, it's not the exact ordinance anymore; it's altered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer qualified disturbance as on-site disturbance and on-site impervious cover. And, obviously, I think you're aware that there's a major difference between a to throw a pun out there there's a big difference between the major and the minor development applications, in terms of what's required. A minor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not MR. ALAMPI: I understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I understand the reason for it, and I'm believe me, if I was in your shoes, I would probably do the exact same thing. It's just it's as far as the board is concerned, it's not the exact ordinance anymore; it's altered. And believe me, this board is more than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer qualified disturbance as on-site disturbance and on-site impervious cover. And, obviously, I think you're aware that there's a major difference between a to throw a pun out there there's a big difference between the major and the minor development applications, in terms of what's required. A minor development application requires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not MR. ALAMPI: I understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I understand the reason for it, and I'm believe me, if I was in your shoes, I would probably do the exact same thing. It's just it's as far as the board is concerned, it's not the exact ordinance anymore; it's altered. And believe me, this board is more than familiar with reading ordinances. So I would have no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer qualified disturbance as on-site disturbance and on-site impervious cover. And, obviously, I think you're aware that there's a major difference between a to throw a pun out there there's a big difference between the major and the minor development applications, in terms of what's required. A minor development application requires CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. I love a pun.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	obviously, highlight certain things. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course. MR. ALAMPI: I think we all understand that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: I think that the concern is valid, as to whether or not the emphasizing of certain words in a document is fair or not fair, as opposed to just showing 345-74 in its actually enacted regulation, and then testifying to it. So, Chairman, if MR. GOLL: I'll take it away and explain it, if that's okay. I can read out of the ordinance, and my testimony will be in the transcript and in the on the video, that's it. I apologize, I had no idea. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I'm not MR. ALAMPI: I understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I understand the reason for it, and I'm believe me, if I was in your shoes, I would probably do the exact same thing. It's just it's as far as the board is concerned, it's not the exact ordinance anymore; it's altered. And believe me, this board is more than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and or if you'd rather read and articulate it, that's acceptable too. MR. GOLL: I'll read it and articulate it. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. MR. GOLL: May I proceed? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead. MR. GOLL: Thank you very much, I appreciate it. And I appreciate the consideration. A. So under the definition, under Subsection 345, the on the stormwater development regulations, in the definitions, this is really where the applicant has purported that their application meets the definition of minor development. I don't know if you recall, but in the last hearing, the applicant's engineer qualified disturbance as on-site disturbance and on-site impervious cover. And, obviously, I think you're aware that there's a major difference between a to throw a pun out there there's a big difference between the major and the minor development applications, in terms of what's required. A minor development application requires

109 111 1 MR. GOLL: I'm sorry? 1 talks about development disturbance, any 2 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I love a pun. disturbance. You go off-site to connect utilities, 3 3 you need to -- that's counted as -- you pull up the MR. GOLL: Oh, okay. Well, you don't 4 want to get me into that. pavement to replace it, you know, you dig a trench, 5 Α. But the -- obviously, the minor -- the that's disturbance. So that's important to 6 6 minor development -- the minor development understand. 7 7 application really requires, sort of, like, an Same thing with the impervious 8 approach to green infrastructure, doing what you 8 surfaces and/or the regulated motor vehicle 9 can, green roof, looking at permeable pavement and 9 surfaces -- and I'll explain what those two are in 10 that kind of thing, but there's not really a lot of 10 a minute. So those are as well -- the ordinance 11 standards associated with -- when you get kicked 11 doesn't distinguish between the two. If you're 12 into the major development, then you have to 12 going to be replacing or putting in new impervious 13 actually follow the rest of the ordinance, which is 13 surfaces, or regulated motor vehicle surfaces, it 14 14 the model ordinance for the New Jersey Department counts. 15 of Environmental Protection ordinance: groundwater 15 Now, the one thing I want to -- I 16 16 recharge, water quality, quantity, you know, wanted to show is -- can I pull up the -reducing peak flows, and doing all the things that 17 17 Yeah, let me -- I have to -- I 18 are required under that. It's a much more heavy 18 unplugged it so you wouldn't look at the other 19 exhibit. 19 lift, so to speak, onto this. So it is an 20 20 important distinction to understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. 21 21 And I did read the -- you know, when I (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in 22 read the purpose under the Jersey City stormwater 22 the proceeding.) 23 23 ordinance, the city said they were -- well, at MR. WARD: Just for your knowledge, I am 24 least in the ordinance, it says it recognizes that 24 the one that controls it. I need to wait for you to 25 25 the city has a combined sewer overflow, a CSO, and, put it in, and then I see it. PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 (908) 642-4299 110 112 1 therefore, in a lot of the development that occurs 1 MS. HADJIYANNIS: This might work 2 2 is well under the threshold of DEP's minimum better. standards for putting you into a major development. MR. WARD: I see it on the HDMI. You 4 According to DEP regulations, a major just can't pull it out and in, because I can't see it 5 development would be considered one acre of 5 until it shows up. 6 6 disturbance or a quarter acre of new impervious --MS. HADJIYANNIS: Sorry about that. 7 7 replacing impervious cover, or regulated motor MR. WARD: I'll do it when I see it. vehicle surfaces. And so I'm going to talk a 8 MS. HADJIYANNIS: Do you see anything 9 little bit about the difference between those two 9 now? 10 10 as well. MR. WARD: Yes, I do. Hold on. Don't 11 11 In Jersey City's case, because of pull it out. 12 12 their concern about the smaller developments, and MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'm having 13 the cumulative effect, you took the model ordinance 13 trouble enlarging it. 14 and adopted it and lowered the standards, so 14 (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in 15 instead of an acre of disturbance, it's 10,000 15 the proceeding.) 16 16 square feet of disturbance. Instead of a quarter MS. HADJIYANNIS: I don't know why this 17 17 acre of impervious cover or regulated motor vehicle is doing this. 18 service -- surface, it's now 5,000 square feet. 18 MR. WARD: I can try putting it in my 19 19 So the applicant has proposed -- and computer. 20 20 (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the reason I had talked about that, there's -- you 21 21 really need to understand that difference, because the proceeding.) 22 22 there's really going to make a difference. The one Α. Okay. So what this drawing shows is 23 23 thing I want to clarify: There's nothing in the the applicant's most recent -- it's actually the 24 24 soil erosion and sediment control plan. And it's a Jersey City ordinance that states you are to 25 25 separate on-site versus off-site. Disturbance, it little bit more difficult to see, but all the other PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 (908) 642-4299

	113		115
1	elements of the project are still located on	1	MR. GOLL: Yes, I colored for
2	this the reason I use this sheet is because it	2	example, in the blue, it includes the building and
3	provides the limit of disturbance for all the work.	3	any other impervious surface, such as sidewalks,
4	And so, if you'll see the red line	4	walkways, that are not driven over by a vehicle.
_	-	5	MR. ALAMPI: But did you create the box,
5	that outlines the entire limit of disturbance, so	_	·
6	to speak, around the plan. So if you look here,	6	or was the box or the shape already in existence,
1	this is the LOD line, which matches this	7	like the limit of disturbance line limit of
8	disturbance boundary, and it goes around and then	8	disturbance line?
9	into the street, where they're proposing their	9	MR. GOLL: That, I added.
10	utilities.	10	MR. ALAMPI: That, you added?
11	MR. ALAMPI: So did you create that	11	MR. GOLL: I added that.
12	line?	12	MR. ALAMPI: And the same thing with the
13	MR. GOLL: Yes, I did.	13	regulated motor vehicle surface?
14	MR. ALAMPI: So what you have now deemed	14	MR. GOLL: That is correct.
15	the level of disturbance line, you then placed onto	15	MR. ALAMPI: Okay.
16	their drawing? Is that accurate?	16	MR. WARD: Can I ask a question too,
17	MR. GOLL: Which just traced over their	17	Counsel?
18	own limit of disturbance, I have not changed it.	18	MR. ALAMPI: Absolutely.
19	MR. ALAMPI: So	19	MR. WARD: You said you reviewed the
20	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Can I ask my own	20	stormwater ordinance and its definitions?
21	witness?	21	MR. GOLL: Correct.
22	MR. ALAMPI: Can I ask, since I haven't	22	MR. ALAMPI: Did you review the
23	seen the drawing, and I need to understand the	23	definition of "disturbance"?
24	drawing?	24	MR. GOLL: I did as well. I had the
25	You highlighted their limit of	25	I had it up on my other I can give you the
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	114		440
	114		116
1	disturbance line?	1	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that
1 2		1 2	
_	disturbance line?	_	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that
2	disturbance line? MR. GOLL: That is correct.	2	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of
2	disturbance line? MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously.	2 3 4	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance?
3 4	disturbance line? MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct.	2 3 4	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is
2 3 4 5	disturbance line? MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that	2 3 4 5	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of
2 3 4 5 6	disturbance line? MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table?	2 3 4 5 6	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	disturbance line? MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines. MR. GOLL: I'm not sure what you mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated motor vehicle surface, like the road and the parking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines. MR. GOLL: I'm not sure what you mean, I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated motor vehicle surface, like the road and the parking lot, for example, that is they're going to rip up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines. MR. GOLL: I'm not sure what you mean, I'm sorry. MR. ALAMPI: So the limit of disturbance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated motor vehicle surface, like the road and the parking lot, for example, that is they're going to rip up that pavement and completely replace it, because they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines. MR. GOLL: I'm not sure what you mean, I'm sorry. MR. ALAMPI: So the limit of disturbance line, it appears to me that it was a dashed line that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated motor vehicle surface, like the road and the parking lot, for example, that is they're going to rip up that pavement and completely replace it, because they have to, you know you know, to put in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines. MR. GOLL: I'm not sure what you mean, I'm sorry. MR. ALAMPI: So the limit of disturbance line, it appears to me that it was a dashed line that you, then, for lack of a better term, colored with a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated motor vehicle surface, like the road and the parking lot, for example, that is they're going to rip up that pavement and completely replace it, because they have to, you know you know, to put in the utilities, you have to pull up the pavement, and dig the trench, and then do all that. So all of that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines. MR. GOLL: I'm not sure what you mean, I'm sorry. MR. ALAMPI: So the limit of disturbance line, it appears to me that it was a dashed line that you, then, for lack of a better term, colored with a red marker. That, I follow. MR. GOLL: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated motor vehicle surface, like the road and the parking lot, for example, that is they're going to rip up that pavement and completely replace it, because they have to, you know you know, to put in the utilities, you have to pull up the pavement, and dig the trench, and then do all that. So all of that is considered disturbance, per the definition of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines. MR. GOLL: I'm not sure what you mean, I'm sorry. MR. ALAMPI: So the limit of disturbance line, it appears to me that it was a dashed line that you, then, for lack of a better term, colored with a red marker. That, I follow. MR. GOLL: Correct. MR. ALAMPI: The blue shading, regulated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated motor vehicle surface, like the road and the parking lot, for example, that is they're going to rip up that pavement and completely replace it, because they have to, you know you know, to put in the utilities, you have to pull up the pavement, and dig the trench, and then do all that. So all of that is considered disturbance, per the definition of the ordinance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines. MR. GOLL: I'm not sure what you mean, I'm sorry. MR. ALAMPI: So the limit of disturbance line, it appears to me that it was a dashed line that you, then, for lack of a better term, colored with a red marker. That, I follow. MR. GOLL: Correct. MR. ALAMPI: The blue shading, regulated impervious surface, did you color in the existing box	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated motor vehicle surface, like the road and the parking lot, for example, that is they're going to rip up that pavement and completely replace it, because they have to, you know you know, to put in the utilities, you have to pull up the pavement, and dig the trench, and then do all that. So all of that is considered disturbance, per the definition of the ordinance. MR. ALAMPI: All right. I just wanted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines. MR. GOLL: I'm not sure what you mean, I'm sorry. MR. ALAMPI: So the limit of disturbance line, it appears to me that it was a dashed line that you, then, for lack of a better term, colored with a red marker. That, I follow. MR. GOLL: Correct. MR. ALAMPI: The blue shading, regulated impervious surface, did you color in the existing box or shape, whatever you want to say?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated motor vehicle surface, like the road and the parking lot, for example, that is they're going to rip up that pavement and completely replace it, because they have to, you know you know, to put in the utilities, you have to pull up the pavement, and dig the trench, and then do all that. So all of that is considered disturbance, per the definition of the ordinance. MR. ALAMPI: All right. I just wanted to get the general understanding of what existed and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: With the red, obviously. MR. GOLL: That is correct. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. The other thing that was added was the table, the large table? MR. GOLL: Yes. Well, while we're on the highlighting, you'll see the I guess it's purple or blue highlight, I added that, that was traced on all their impervious surfaces that are not what we'd call regulated motor vehicle surface. And the red or pink light pink would be the regulated motor vehicle surfaces, I added that to the plan as well. MR. ALAMPI: But not the actual lines. MR. GOLL: I'm not sure what you mean, I'm sorry. MR. ALAMPI: So the limit of disturbance line, it appears to me that it was a dashed line that you, then, for lack of a better term, colored with a red marker. That, I follow. MR. GOLL: Correct. MR. ALAMPI: The blue shading, regulated impervious surface, did you color in the existing box	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WARD: Yeah. Did you testify that milling and paving and repaving is part of disturbance? MR. GOLL: No, the milling and paving is actually being done beyond the limit of that limit of disturbance shown. If you go to the one I forgot what sheet it is, but it shows the milling that's going to be done for the entire you know, for a larger area of road. That, I know, is not considered, you know, disturbance. MR. WARD: Okay. MR. GOLL: So everything that I've at least everything, in my professional opinion, and what's been proposed on the plan for engineering that requires excavation, everything that's the regulated motor vehicle surface, like the road and the parking lot, for example, that is they're going to rip up that pavement and completely replace it, because they have to, you know you know, to put in the utilities, you have to pull up the pavement, and dig the trench, and then do all that. So all of that is considered disturbance, per the definition of the ordinance. MR. ALAMPI: All right. I just wanted

therefore, we are over the 10,000-square-foot limit 5 that triggers the major development. 6 That's really all I wanted to cover on 7 8 9 10 11 MR. ALAMPI: Sir, is this --12 MR. GOLL: Yes. 13 14 C-801 sheet? I just can't see the date on it. 15 MR. GOLL: Yes, it is. 16 17 18 MR. GOLL: Yeah, the transfer to an 19 20 21 22

vehicles will drive over, that potential pollutants 4 can drop out onto the driving surface, would be 5 considered regulated motor vehicle surfaces. So if 6 you had a dirt road, that would be considered a 7 regulated motor vehicle surface. 8 So even -- like, as the architect had 9 testified about the pervious pavement, while you 10 can say that it's pervious pavement, maybe, it's 11 still a regulated motor vehicle surface. And the 12 reason that's important is because, when you add it 13 all up, they meet the definition of major 14 development, triggers major development for the 15 total disturbance, and the total -- and what you 16 do, in the ordinance, it also says you add up the 17 two different types of surfaces, regulated 18 impervious and regulated motor vehicle surface, and 19 when -- so by themselves, they don't trigger the 20 major development, but in combination, as per the 21 ordinance, they do.

the definitions of regulated motor vehicle

surfaces, it could be anything. So anything that

1

22

23

24

25

My calculations were based on my measurement off of their plan. Scaled off of the plan, it was 7,680 square feet for regulated impervious plus regulated motor vehicle surface. PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

01/26/2024 12:19:37 PM Page 117 to 120 of 206

120

at the definition of disturbance. And so I measured it off; I confirmed, I agree that the

10,519 is considered -- is the measurement, and,

the regulatory side. And I wanted to just -- well, that's not entirely true. What I'm trying to do is -- that sets the basis for some of the other questions that we have on the project. So --

MR. ALAMPI: Is this their most recent

MR. ALAMPI: Okay. So this is the one

image didn't -- yeah, it's a little blurry. It is

the -- well, let me explain. It is the current plan,

not including the recent modification they made for

the driveway, but by moving the driveway, I think

23 they did add a little bit more green space on it, but

24 it essentially doesn't change the -- necessarily, the

overall magnitude of triggering the major 25

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

	404		100
	121		123
1	development. So this is the latest signed and sealed	1	your experts have any objection to this? Do you have
2	plan of the entire set that was submitted to the	2	any objection to this?
3	planning board.	3	MR. ALAMPI: It would be if
4	MR. ALAMPI: Well, I don't know that	4	Mr. Harrington has an objection.
5	that's accurate, Chairman. If this doesn't include	5	MR. HARRINGTON: Well, it's again, I
6	when there was the move to the driveway, I have no	6	think it's for demonstrative purposes now. It is
7	idea how it impacts the calculations. I have no idea	7	what it is. I don't want to delay this, because
8	if it changes the calculations.	8	I'm I think, you know, my expert, you know, can
9	MR. GOLL: It doesn't change it	9	counter, you know, testify to this, and make it very
10	materially at all.	10	clear to the board what the issues are. So I don't
11	MR. ALAMPI: Well, but you use words	11	want to go down a you know, a rabbit hole, with
12	like "it doesn't change it materially," but you're	12	something that I don't think is in my opinion,
13	telling me that the disturbance is an exact figure of	13	whether it's dated the prior plan or not. We
14	10,519, so	14	disagree with his numbers, I'll tell you right now.
			3 0
15	MR. GOLL: Oh, no, the overall	15	We disagree with his analysis. So whatever he has up
16	disturbance doesn't change. That's the same.	16	there, and whatever he's testifying to, we disagree
17	MR. ALAMPI: I don't	17	to his opinion, and we're going to have that.
18	MR. GOLL: I am allowed to rely on my	18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Obviously, we'll get
19	professional opinion, am I not?	19	to that. I just want to make sure that, you know,
20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course.	20	everybody has seen and qualified the documents that
21	MR. ALAMPI: You are, but I've got a	21	are in front of us. That's all. I'm not biassing
22	drawing that isn't the most recent drawing that's	22	one way or the other, obviously. I just want to make
23	been used.	23	sure everybody has seen these, and has had a chance
24	MR. GOLL: Then the applicant should be	24	to review. That's all.
25	required to submit an entirely new set of plans,	25	MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Understood.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	122		404
	122		124
1		1	
1 2	because this is the only sheet within their entire	1 2	
_	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only	_	A. Okay. So with that said, you know,
2	because this is the only sheet within their entire	2	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They
2 3 4	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use	3 4	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated
2 3 4 5	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this.	2 3 4 5	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required
2 3 4 5 6	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the	2 3 4 5 6	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious
2 3 4 5 6 7	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance. Same thing with the impervious and the motor vehicle surfaces,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover? MR. ALAMPI: Purpose for which this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance with one complete project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover? MR. ALAMPI: Purpose for which this sheet was created by the applicant versus the manner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is and the motor vehicle surfaces, associated with one complete project. The applicant, again, they don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover? MR. ALAMPI: Purpose for which this sheet was created by the applicant versus the manner in which you're using the sheet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the applicant, again, they don't include they talk about pervious pavers on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover? MR. ALAMPI: Purpose for which this sheet was created by the applicant versus the manner in which you're using the sheet. MR. GOLL: No, this was a soil erosion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the applicant, again, they don't include they talk about pervious pavers on this project, except the on the details on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover? MR. ALAMPI: Purpose for which this sheet was created by the applicant versus the manner in which you're using the sheet. MR. GOLL: No, this was a soil erosion and sediment control plan, but it has all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance. Same thing with the impervious and the motor vehicle surfaces, associated with one complete project. The applicant, again, they don't include they talk about pervious pavers on this project, except the on the details on the engineering plans have no there is no provision
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover? MR. ALAMPI: Purpose for which this sheet was created by the applicant versus the manner in which you're using the sheet. MR. GOLL: No, this was a soil erosion and sediment control plan, but it has all the elements for me to overlay all the impervious motor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance. Same thing with the impervious and the motor vehicle surfaces, associated with one complete project. The applicant, again, they don't include they talk about pervious pavers on this project, except the on the details on the engineering plans have no there is no provision for pervious pavement pavers on the engineering
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover? MR. ALAMPI: Purpose for which this sheet was created by the applicant versus the manner in which you're using the sheet. MR. GOLL: No, this was a soil erosion and sediment control plan, but it has all the elements for me to overlay all the impervious motor vehicle surfaces and limits of disturbance, in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the associated with one complete project. The applicant, again, they don't include they talk about pervious pavers on this project, except the on the details on the engineering plans have no there is no provision for pervious pavement pavers on the engineering plans, none. There is no there's a detail for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover? MR. ALAMPI: Purpose for which this sheet was created by the applicant versus the manner in which you're using the sheet. MR. GOLL: No, this was a soil erosion and sediment control plan, but it has all the elements for me to overlay all the impervious motor vehicle surfaces and limits of disturbance, in my professional expertise and experience.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance. Same thing with the impervious and the motor vehicle surfaces, associated with one complete project. The applicant, again, they don't include they talk about pervious pavers on this project, except the on the details on the engineering plans have no there is no provision for pervious pavement pavers on the engineering plans, none. There is no there's a detail for pavers, with concrete below it, with some weed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover? MR. ALAMPI: Purpose for which this sheet was created by the applicant versus the manner in which you're using the sheet. MR. GOLL: No, this was a soil erosion and sediment control plan, but it has all the elements for me to overlay all the impervious motor vehicle surfaces and limits of disturbance, in my professional expertise and experience. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance. Same thing with the impervious and the motor vehicle surfaces, associated with one complete project. The applicant, again, they don't include they talk about pervious pavers on this project, except the on the details on the engineering plans have no there is no provision for pervious pavement pavers on the engineering plans, none. There is no there's a detail for pavers, with concrete below it, with some weed pulls, and dense graded aggregate, which is highly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	because this is the only sheet within their entire set of plans or these are the that's the only thing they have on the record, other than that recent modification to that one sheet. So if I can't use this, they can't use this. MR. ALAMPI: Are we using it for the same purpose? MR. GOLL: It's the official submitted civil engineering set that's before this planning board. MR. ALAMPI: I understand that, but is this particular sheet being used for the same purpose was the question, and I don't know the answer. That's all I'm suggesting. MR. GOLL: The purpose, in terms of the impervious cover? MR. ALAMPI: Purpose for which this sheet was created by the applicant versus the manner in which you're using the sheet. MR. GOLL: No, this was a soil erosion and sediment control plan, but it has all the elements for me to overlay all the impervious motor vehicle surfaces and limits of disturbance, in my professional expertise and experience.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Okay. So with that said, you know, again, it's my opinion that conforming to the major development is required without question. They have 10,519 square feet of disturbance associated with this development. They have the required they have they exceed the minimum impervious covers for combined for totaled regulated impervious and regulated motor vehicle service excuse me, regulated motor vehicle surface. So I think it's pretty clear that this is this is how it's this is how it's calculated. I've worked with DEP and municipalities on many different applications, and the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance is the total disturbance. Same thing with the impervious and the motor vehicle surfaces, associated with one complete project. The applicant, again, they don't include they talk about pervious pavers on this project, except the on the details on the engineering plans have no there is no provision for pervious pavement pavers on the engineering plans, none. There is no there's a detail for pavers, with concrete below it, with some weed

1 compacted and impermeable. So none of that 2 information has been provided. The first testimony 3 I heard about it was that the applicant's engineer 4 has mentioned it -- has stated it, it's in his 5 report that they're doing it, but on plan, it's not 6 there.

In order to provide -- if this were to conform as a major development, they would have to comply, if they want to use that parking lot as a -- as the architect called it, a permeable pavement system, that entire term is an engineered system. It's not just the pavers. When you look at the DEP -- the NJDEP best management practice manual, a pervious pavement system consists of the porous asphalt, choker stone underneath it, and then a layer of at least 12 inches of gravel under the road to store it. You also have to have an outfall for that, because you talk about, like, water that might, you know, go into the ground, but if it exceeds that, it now has to have a place to go, and so -- or to convey to another location. And so that is something that needs to be considered by the board.

The other thing is they're proposing these pervious pavers over a PSE&G easement, and PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

discussed -- you know, the iron depletions and

3 things like that -- and he's very accurate in terms

4 of his description of that -- in the absence of any 5

of that evidence, in accordance with the DEP manual 6 and rules and regulations -- the BMP manual, excuse

the absence of evidence of the modeling that he

7 me, Chapter 12, under -- whoops -- well, we don't

need to see that anymore anyway -- under that rule,

9 under that chapter of the BMP manual, you have to

10 be at least 2 feet above seasonal high water, and

11 you can only determine that seasonal high water

12 table is by doing investigations or test pits from

13 the months of January through April. They did it

14 at the end of December. From a regulatory or a BMP

15 manual standpoint, you can't do that. You can't

16 say that's -- there's no seasonal high, if you

17 haven't seen it.

18 The other issue is seasonal high 19 doesn't necessarily -- is not necessarily depicted 20 by an actual water table that -- you know, a 21 regional water table. The bedrock underneath the 22 site can actually promote a seasonal high water 23 table. The water goes down, as they explained, it

24 hits the rock, it rises up to a certain elevation,

25 it does flow laterally as well, as was stated,

> PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

126

there is a pipeline under it. And so, if they're promoting and proposing a stormwater structure,

regardless of whether you're just saying it's a

4 pervious pavement system -- or pervious pavement or

5 pervious pavement system, whether minor or major,

6 it's still a stormwater management structure, and

7 as I understand, you're not allowed to have

8 structures on top of the -- on top of that PSE&G 9

easement. In addition, you're now promoting water

10 on -- into the easement over the -- you know,

11 you're actually taking more volume and putting it

underground into the stormwater system that sits

13 over this pipeline.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So they need to provide those details. They haven't provided any other details about how they're going to do it. And the pavement -- the paver detail on the plan completely contradicts the testimony and the report -- the stormwater report that they provided.

In addition, it is absolutely important to find seasonal high groundwater. The geotechnical engineer testified before that he didn't see any groundwater, and, therefore, there is no seasonal high groundwater, or any water, for that matter, in this area. The actuality is, in

> PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

1 which was a little disconcerting, about putting that stormwater and then sending it over to the slope, but that -- I'll talk about that in a little 4 bit.

5 So that's one of the big issues 6 associated with this stormwater system. They don't

7 know where seasonal high groundwater is, and they 8 don't have any details on the stormwater management

9 system, and how they're going to manage excess

10 stormwater going off the site. I know, in the

11 engineer's report, he stated -- or it was stated in

12 the engineering letter today, that was submitted,

13 that they need to connect the drainage from the

14 pavers to the stormwater system. I don't -- I

15 wasn't sure if that meant an inlet or a -- under

16 from -- you know, the gravel system that's supposed

17 to be under this site, I don't know.

18 Q. If this project is considered a major 19 development under our stormwater control ordinance, 20 what would have been required to comply with the

21 ordinance?

22 Α. You would go down the ordinance, and 23 you would have to follow, basically, the rest of 24 the -- what's been the model ordinance from the 25 DEP. You have to look at peak flow, so the 2, 10, PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

and 100-year storm events. You have to look at 1 2 groundwater recharge. The architect stated that he 3 agreed there was gravel on this site, and the 4 parking lot as well. So there is some kind of 5 recharge going on here. So they'd have to work on 6 engineering the system to make up for that depleted 7 recharge. And they'd also have to do water quality 8 treatment on the site as well, and that could 9 include recharge, it could be a recharge system, 10 but if you're going to go to groundwater -- well, 11 pervious pavement, actually, is its own water 12 quality treatment, but you have to prove that you 13 can actually recharge that groundwater to the 14 subsurface. So they'd have to go in and do more 15 testing, more excavations, and to prove that they 16 can get that recharge on the site.

Again, it's a structure on top -- also, part of it is on top of the PSE&G easement, and so that would be concerning to me.

I'm trying to go to some of the other -- sorry, I have my notes here. So that was really it on the -- the compliance with the major versus minor development. I apologize it took this long to get to that point, but here we are.

So the next thing that I wanted to PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

130

talk about is, some other things missing from the application is, under the PPOD ordinance, they talk about a description -- physical description of the site, which shall include a technical summary of the site characteristics, such as soils, load-bearing capacity, erosion potential, depth to bedrock, et cetera.

So, so far, only, you know, the soil load -- the soil, the load-bearing capacity, and depth to bedrock has been provided. There isn't any discussion about erodibility of soils. I can expect that the response to that would be, well, we are complying with the soil conservation district, in terms of impacts, but that's not discussing soil erodibility associated with it.

The other thing is the applicant's engineer provided that cut/fill. Just to be clear, it's not an exact cut/fill; it's ranges. So when all those different colors are -- you know, 2 feet, they're basically ranges of 2 feet.

He said it's unfair to ask him how much volume would be excavated. I think you -- I think it would be fair to do that. Engineers have the ability to calculate estimated volumes that would need to be excavated. I don't know, is it 50 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

1 yards? Is it 100 yards? Is it 1,000 yards --

2 cuber yards of material that's going to have to be

3 excavated? Where is it going to go? How many

4 trucks need to drive through the neighborhood to do

5 that? I haven't calculated that, that's not my

6 position on this application, but I think the

7 applicant should provide that information.

8 Tri-axle dump trucks hold 15 cubic yards, so if

9 it's -- I don't know, you could throw out a number

10 there, I'm not going to -- on the record, I'm not

11 going to throw out an exact number, because I

12 haven't calculated -- yes, sir?

13 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So we shouldn't give
14 a number. We shouldn't guess at a number.
15 MR. GOLL: I'm not going to guess at a

16 number. I think the applicant should provide that

17 number.

18

CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.

MR. GOLL: Thank you.

20 A. And they can caveat it by saying,21 well, you know, there's -- things could change.

22 Material may not be adequate. They may need it for23 other areas of the site. You know, they can caveat

24 that. But I have been asked, and provided a number

25 of times, and seen applications that do provide the PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

132

1 cut/fill, and the exact volume that they expect to2 be removed from the site.

2 be removed from the site.3 They do talk about, you know, in the

4 PPOD, the extent and phasing of the construction.5 It is a relatively small site; I wouldn't expect

6 that there would be a significant amount of

7 phasing. But they do have a stockpile there. Is

8 that stockpile going to be adequate to hold all of

9 the excavation material? How are they going to

10 export it? How often? I think that's information

11 that is requested that should be provided to the

12 planning board, because as in the PPOD ordinance,

13 with the information that you're getting, it does

14 provide -- it helps the planning board understand

15 not just compliance with the specific items in the

. .

16 ordinance, but your job is also to -- and I don't

17 want to presume your job, so I'm just -- you know,

18 as I understand, if you look at the ordinance -- I

19 realized I said that, and I shouldn't have, so I

20 apologize -- but the issue is you're also looking

21 at public safety, and so making sure that this

22 application doesn't provide any impact to the

application doesn't provide any impact to the

23 neighborhood and things like that, and I think the

24 truck traffic, and excavation of that material, is

25 something definitely to be considered.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

133 135 1 Okay. So moving to the geotechnical if it -- because, now, it's not -- soil is -- you 2 investigation, I read the JZN report. I don't have know, it can pull apart, but now there's nothing 3 3 any -- I don't have a difference of opinion of there, and there's a surface that could potentially 4 4 whether or not this -- the rock can vertically hold slip and fail. 5 5 this structure in place. That's not what's at The other issue associated with that 6 6 odds. I do have some concerns about some of the is --7 modeling and some of the -- the way it was modeled. 7 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If we can -- just 8 He said he didn't provide any input of groundwater, 8 give me one second. Can you refer to a drawing 9 because he said there is no groundwater, but he 9 number or something so we can follow along? 10 also, at the same time, another -- later on in his 10 MR. GOLL: Yes, it's in the geotechnical 11 testimony tonight, said, well, if the water does go 11 engineering report, which I will -- here it is. It 12 12 down, it's going to hit the bedrock and go got cut off when I printed it. It's -- well, if you 13 sideways, and when -- you know, toward the slope, 13 recall, it was in the geotechnical report. I don't 14 14 and then go down the slope and disappear into know what page it was on in the geotechnical report, 15 15 but they provided -- the building is over here, if nothing. 16 Well, the reality is water is a great 16 you can imagine, and this is that soil overburden 17 lubricator, and it provides, if there's an 17 that I was talking about, that wedge of soil. 18 interface between either bedrock fractures or soil, 18 Yeah, it's also -- at the end of his 19 and more importantly the soil, the overburden 19 report -- excuse me, sorry -- at the end of the 20 20 material, and the bedrock, that could create what's report, he also shows his output. This is the output 21 21 called a slip plane, which is where things would from his model --22 fail. The model that he generated generally looks 22 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I don't know how 23 23 -- looked -- basically assumed a mass of bedrock. good your glasses are; mine aren't that good. 24 So there wasn't really a lot of information on the 24 MR. GOLL: Yeah, the output from the 25 25 model does show that it's -- that he does go below fractures, and how this thing might -- you know, PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

2 provided. 3 More importantly to me, there 4 wasn't -- I didn't see any information -- he had 5 these lines that separated the bedrock from the 6 overburden material. I don't disagree with them. 7 You open up an excavation, you put in -- you know, 8 you take the material away, but we also -- he 9 didn't mention you're putting the building back, 10 and you're putting all that load back on the soil. 11 So you're putting an eight-story structure, an 12 85-foot-high structure, back on the site. That is 13 going to impart more load. 14 He said he's not providing -- because

(908) 642-4299

what could possibly -- there were no surfaces

1

15 it's going below the overburden material and 16 sitting on bedrock, that it's not going to have an 17 effect on the overburden. Well, if you notice, in 18 that one -- on that one cross-section he provided, 19 there was a little wedge of overburden on the 20 bedrock at the slope. Two things: That width, I believe, is about 35 feet -- the building, I'm just -- I think it's

21 22 23 around 35 feet of width, and it's now going to cut 24 off that slope and that overburden, and so could 25 that potentially now have the propensity to slide, PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

1 the overburden, but it still has a slip plane there. 2 MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, can we --

(908) 642-4299

3 MR. GOLL: Yes. 4 MR. ALAMPI: So the report was

5 submitted. Can we not show it on the screen?

6 MR. GOLL: I don't have a digital copy 7 of it.

8

15

17

20

25

CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I do. I want to 9 know the page that we're on, that's all. I can

10 follow along with the page.

11 MS. HADJIYANNIS: I didn't -- I just --12 I'm sorry, I downloaded our exhibits, but not the

13 applicant's exhibits. I don't know if you have a

14 package or anything in --

CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I do, but I don't

16 know where to look. You know, this --

MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah.

18 MR. ALAMPI: We do, so if you tell us

19 the page number in the report --

MR. GOLL: If you could pull up the

21 report --

22 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 46 pages long,

the PDF. So it doesn't have a page number, but --

23 though. I'd like something specific.

24 MR. GOLL: It's page 32, probably, of

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

(908) 642-4299

	137		139
1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. That	1	their first boring was, by the slope, their boring
2	works.	2	B-3, I guess, is what it's calmed here. And this
3	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Matt. Matt's	3	boring here, I agree with, he found some he
4	going to pull it up for everybody. Is that not what	4	found top of rock, and that's where it is. Down
5	you were doing, Matt?	5	here, it's per the survey. Is this actually still
6	MR. WARD: Yeah.	6	accurate? I remember, in the zoning board
7	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Thank you.	7	testimony, I don't know if is that they talked
8	MR. ALAMPI: This is the sheet Analysis	8	about, well, they didn't really find it, so they
9	Cross-Section?	9	just picked a location.
10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Figure 4, page 2 of	10	And so, is there really an outcrop
11	2? Possible?	11	there? Is this steeper? Is this is that cliff
12	MR. GOLL: This is it just says	12	face, which is you know, the contention is that
13	Cross-Section A, it's titled, and it's on sheet I	13	the definition in the zoning ordinance is that it's
14	guess it's 32 of the PDF.	14	an exposed cliff face, that's part of the I may
15	MR. ALAMPI: What's it's a drawing?	15	get quieted down here
16	Are you looking at a drawing?	16	MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we're not going to
17	MR. GOLL: Yeah, it's a cross-sectional	17	talk about cliff faces and
18	drawing.	18	MR. GOLL: All right. What I'm going to
19	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. So the problem is	19	say is, though, that slope is probably that
20	you can't see the title block, because of the size of	20	bedrock slope could very well precipitate off more
21	yours, but it's a drawing	21	quickly than what is shown on that, and that building
22	MR. GOLL: It's Analysis Cross-Section,	22	could potentially be closer. This area was the part
23	is what it's called.	23	of that elevated rail that came up, so there was a
24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. I think we're	24	lot of fill, and retaining walls. And so the area
25	looking at the same thing, I just want to confirm it,	25	was highly modified. So I'm not making the argument
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
1	that's all.	1	about whether there's a cliff face tonight or not.
2	MR. GOLL: Thank you very much. That's	2	So I'm not doing that. What I'm saying is that we
3	it right there.	3	have no idea where that potential if there is a
4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you.	4	buried cliff face somewhere, or a more excuse me,
5	A. So what I was pointing at of concern	5	instead of saying a cliff face, a more precipitous
6	is this wedge of soil here. Now, he took,	6	drop-off of bedrock.
7	basically, the existing conditions of the site, and	7	I can tell you, I've been on that slope,
8	they put the building in. If you look at the	8	I've walked around I've not been on the site, but
9	cut/fill analysis sheet, they're actually going to	9	I walked down the side of the building to the north,
10	be putting placing some fill over there as well.	10	and it's a pretty darn steep embankment there. You'd
11	And so I didn't see that analyzed for putting that	11	get killed walking down that, if you walk any
12	fill or putting up to, I think, a few feet of	12	further.
13	fill in that location. And so what impact does	13	So that is another concern that this
14	that have on the side on the slope stability as	14	building could be closer to the to a potential
15	well?	15	impact associated with having a cliff face.
16	You look at the cross-section that	16	The applicant's geotechnical engineer
17	they developed here, you'll notice that he assumed	17	talked about fractured rock. You can only look to
18	his bedrock outcrop, the surface was from where	18	last December, where up in North Bergen, where a
19	is it extent of exposed rock per survey. So I	19	section of the Palisades failed, and it was due to

 (908) 642-4299
 (908) 642-4299

 35 of 79 sheets
 Page 137 to 140 of 206

 01/26/2024 12: 19: 37 PM

23

24

25

this rock.

20 rainfall, rain got in the ground. So if you want

21 to -- you know, talking about, obviously, I'm trying

22 to make the equivalency between that and putting

stormwater into the ground, and then promoting water

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

running over the surfaces and through the fissures in

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't -- I'm not sure that the applicant's

the bedrock, or the exposed bedrock in that

location, but nonetheless, it looks like they just

used that. And then they interpolated -- by the

geotechnical engineer actually went down and saw

way, that slope was interpolated -- up to top where

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

		1	
	141		143
1	You can't say there'll never be a	1	move O-1 into evidence; it was marked for
2	landslide. There are there have been documented	2	identification.
3	landslides here. And so it is really best to be on	3	MR. ALAMPI: Where'd O-1 go?
4	the side of conservative, in terms of ensuring public	4	MR. HARRINGTON: Is this it?
5	safety.	5	MR. ALAMPI: I think that's O-1.
6	MR. ALAMPI: Sir, there've been	6	MR. HARRINGTON: It is. I mean, I have
7	documented landslides on this property?	7	no objection for the limited purposes of it's an
8	MR. GOLL: No, in the area. In the	8	overlay on my expert's plan
9	area. They're mapped by DEP. No, I did not say	9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Counsel, if you
10	that, sorry.	10	could, right into the microphone.
11	A. Okay. I believe I'm almost done. Do	11	MR. HARRINGTON: I have no objection for
12	you have any more questions for me?	12	the limited purposes in that it's an overlay of my
13	Q. Just based on your review and your	13	expert's plan, with their own calculations and
14	analysis of the application, do you have a	14	nothing more than that.
15	conclusion?	15	MR. ALAMPI: But as to accuracy, you
16	A. Yeah, based on my review, the	16	remain objecting to it, Mr. Harrington?
17	application does qualify, without question, as a	17	MR. HARRINGTON: Well, those are his
18	major development. It must follow the you know,	18	numbers.
19		19	MR. ALAMPI: Yes?
	the more detailed requirements that a major		MS. HADJIYANNIS: Can we let him
20	development application would require. It will	20	
21	help the city meet you know, meet the water	21	cross-examine the
22	quality and MS4 not MS4, the CSO requirements	22	MR. ALAMPI: You're trying to move it
23	that the ordinance was developed for to protect	23	into evidence. He hasn't asked him a question yet,
24	that CSO and reduce stormwater from going to those	24	Ms. Hadjiyannis.
25	locations.	25	MS. HADJIYANNIS: I know, but I've
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	142		144
1	And while they do provide a green	1	okay.
1 2	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP	2	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to
_	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an		okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington
2	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it	2	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what
2	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an	2	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do.
2 3 4	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means.	2 3 4	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have
2 3 4 5	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an	2 3 4 5	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do.
2 3 4 5 6	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a	2 3 4 5 6	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have
2 3 4 5 6 7	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's going to impact the neighborhood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I have no objection to having this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's going to impact the neighborhood. So thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I have no objection to having this CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's opinion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's going to impact the neighborhood. So thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just one second,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I have no objection to having this CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's opinion. MR. ALAMPI: There you go.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's going to impact the neighborhood. So thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just one second, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I have no objection to having this CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's opinion. MR. ALAMPI: There you go. Mr. Harrington wants it in evidence, so great, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's going to impact the neighborhood. So thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just one second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I have no objection to having this CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's opinion. MR. ALAMPI: There you go. Mr. Harrington wants it in evidence, so great, it's moved into evidence. O-1 is in evidence and accepted by the board for its value.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's going to impact the neighborhood. So thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just one second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I have no objection to having this CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's opinion. MR. ALAMPI: There you go. Mr. Harrington wants it in evidence, so great, it's moved into evidence. O-1 is in evidence and accepted by the board for its value. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's going to impact the neighborhood. So thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just one second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis, that's all? Anything else? For	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I have no objection to having this CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's opinion. MR. ALAMPI: There you go. Mr. Harrington wants it in evidence, so great, it's moved into evidence. O-1 is in evidence and accepted by the board for its value. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's going to impact the neighborhood. So thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just one second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis, that's all? Anything else? For this witness, obviously.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I have no objection to having this CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's opinion. MR. ALAMPI: There you go. Mr. Harrington wants it in evidence, so great, it's moved into evidence. O-1 is in evidence and accepted by the board for its value. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's going to impact the neighborhood. So thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just one second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis, that's all? Anything else? For this witness, obviously. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, I would like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	okay. MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I have no objection to having this CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's opinion. MR. ALAMPI: There you go. Mr. Harrington wants it in evidence, so great, it's moved into evidence. O-1 is in evidence and accepted by the board for its value. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, I just have a few
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And while they do provide a green roof, by the way, green roof is not an accepted BMP right now for DEP, you'd have to it's an alternative you'd have to prove. I'm not saying it does reduce peak flows, but that's it's not an approved means. Pervious pavement systems are approved, but they have to be designed in a specific manner in accordance with the New Jersey BMP manual. I'm also concerned about some of the modeling associated with this, and whether the overburden a landslide could be triggered by that overburden as well, and as well as the method of excavation and number of trucks and how that's going to impact the neighborhood. So thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just one second, please. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis, that's all? Anything else? For this witness, obviously.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALAMPI: So do you want to wait to try to move it into evidence, until Mr. Harrington cross-examines him? That's a great idea, that's what we'll do. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'd rather have Mr. Harrington cross-examine him than have you cross-examine him. MR. ALAMPI: I'll consider CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So but we've already we've already heard testimony on the exhibit. We have to enter it. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's why yes, that's right. MR. HARRINGTON: It is what it is. I have no objection to having this CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's opinion. MR. ALAMPI: There you go. Mr. Harrington wants it in evidence, so great, it's moved into evidence. O-1 is in evidence and accepted by the board for its value. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.

	145		147
1	questions for Mr. Goll.	1	know, pretty pictures.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION	2	Q. But you had that report, and you didn't
3	BY MR. HARRINGTON:	3	do any rebuttal report. Correct?
4	Q. To start off, you mentioned a North	4	A. That's correct.
5	Bergen landslide. Do you know what kind of rock	5	Q. Okay.
6	formation that was?	6	MR. HARRINGTON: I have no further
7	A. That was part of the Palisades, the	7	questions for Mr. Goll, but I would like to bring up
8	basalt.	8	my civil engineer to rebut the testimony regarding
9	Q. Are you sure it wasn't sandstone?	9	O-1. I think it would be appropriate now, while it's
10	A. I didn't see that details of the	10	still fresh in everybody's head.
11	report.	11	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Chairman, my only
12	Q. Okay. Am I how many developments	12	concern is Ms. Hadjiyannis has other witnesses. I
13	have you worked on in Jersey City?	13	don't know and I don't want to get into
14	A. Only the currently, the largest	14	back-and-forth. So, obviously, Ms. Hadjiyannis just
15	one, in Liberty State Park.	15	had her expert qualified to provide their testimony;
16	Q. Okay. We don't have any Palisade Cliff	16	it is only fair that Mr. Harrington be provided the
17	issues over at Liberty State Park. Correct?	17	opportunity for a rebuttal witness, he is the
18	A. No.	18	applicant. But, then, Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to
19	Q. Okay. And so your testimony on the	19	come back with her next witness; it has to be in a
20	interpretation of the well, I guess your opinion	20	different field or discipline. Do you have any
21	on the Jersey City stormwater ordinance and the PPOD	21	further experts, or is this your only expert witness,
22	are based exclusively on your interpretation of the	22	Ms. Hadjiyannis?
23	ordinance. Correct?	23	MS. HADJIYANNIS: We don't have any more
24	A. The plain language of the ordinance,	24	experts, we just have fact witnesses who should be
25	it's pretty clear. And my and, actually, the	25	pretty quick. So either way is fine with me.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	146		148
	170		140
1	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have	1	MR. ALAMPI: Okay.
1 2	-	1 2	
_	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have		MR. ALAMPI: Okay.
2	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of	2	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington,
3	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized	2	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then.
3 4	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision	2 3 4	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay.
2 3 4 5	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same.	2 3 4 5	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused?
2 3 4 5 6	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want to ask you: Have you done well, I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name. MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want to ask you: Have you done well, I think the answer is obvious, but you haven't done any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name. MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind, L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want to ask you: Have you done well, I think the answer is obvious, but you haven't done any independent analysis or a report with regard to that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name. MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind, L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D. REBUTTAL
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want to ask you: Have you done well, I think the answer is obvious, but you haven't done any independent analysis or a report with regard to that report or the property. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name. MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind, L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D. REBUTTAL BY MR. HARRINGTON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want to ask you: Have you done well, I think the answer is obvious, but you haven't done any independent analysis or a report with regard to that report or the property. Correct? A. Well, I couldn't do any independent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name. MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind, L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D. REBUTTAL BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Brian, you were here for the testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want to ask you: Have you done well, I think the answer is obvious, but you haven't done any independent analysis or a report with regard to that report or the property. Correct? A. Well, I couldn't do any independent analysis of the report, I don't have any of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name. MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind, L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D. REBUTTAL BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Brian, you were here for the testimony of Mr. Goll. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want to ask you: Have you done well, I think the answer is obvious, but you haven't done any independent analysis or a report with regard to that report or the property. Correct? A. Well, I couldn't do any independent analysis of the report, I don't have any of the the input data, or the data, or the output	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name. MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind, L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D. REBUTTAL BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Brian, you were here for the testimony of Mr. Goll. Correct? A. I was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want to ask you: Have you done well, I think the answer is obvious, but you haven't done any independent analysis or a report with regard to that report or the property. Correct? A. Well, I couldn't do any independent analysis of the report, I don't have any of the the input data, or the data, or the output information that the applicant provided. He	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name. MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind, L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D. REBUTTAL BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Brian, you were here for the testimony of Mr. Goll. Correct? A. I was. Q. And you had a chance to review what was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want to ask you: Have you done well, I think the answer is obvious, but you haven't done any independent analysis or a report with regard to that report or the property. Correct? A. Well, I couldn't do any independent analysis of the report, I don't have any of the the input data, or the data, or the output information that the applicant provided. He basically, in the appendices, just provided, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name. MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind, L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D. REBUTTAL BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Brian, you were here for the testimony of Mr. Goll. Correct? A. I was. Q. And you had a chance to review what was marked as Exhibit O-1?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	language in the ordinance, while the numbers have been reduced, in terms of, like, area of disturbance, and the impervious and motorized surfaces, and the addition of, like, a provision for minor development, everything else is the same. And I've worked on numbers dozens of those types of projects. Q. Okay. But it's based upon just your interpretation, and we understand you haven't done any other projects in Jersey City. Correct? A. On the plain language of the ordinance that has been used, and is was basically taken right from DEP's own model ordinance, which I've worked on before. Q. Okay. And you had a lot of comments on, you know, the geotech report. And I just want to ask you: Have you done well, I think the answer is obvious, but you haven't done any independent analysis or a report with regard to that report or the property. Correct? A. Well, I couldn't do any independent analysis of the report, I don't have any of the the input data, or the data, or the output information that the applicant provided. He	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, let's bring up your witness, then. MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. MR. GOLL: I can be excused? MR. ALAMPI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Don't leave the building, though. But thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And before we start, Mike, if you need a break, just yell. BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having been previously sworn, remained under oath and testified as follows: MR. ALAMPI: Sir, I'm going to remind you that you remain under oath. I just ask, for purposes of the record, that you repeat your name. MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind, L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D. REBUTTAL BY MR. HARRINGTON: Q. Brian, you were here for the testimony of Mr. Goll. Correct? A. I was. Q. And you had a chance to review what was

	149		151
1	A. I did.	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, do
2	Q. Okay. And you testified on in	2	you want to cross-examine?
3	November, on November 28th, that this is a minor	3	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, I do. Thank you,
4	development per the stormwater ordinance. Is that	4	I have a couple of follow-up questions.
5	correct?	5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure.
6	A. Correct.	6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	Q. Okay. And has the testimony of	7	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:
8	Mr. Goll, or his interpretation, changed your mind	8	Q. So was the stormwater management plan
9	at all?	9	that was part of your report, was it submitted to
10	A. It has not.	10	the JCMUA?
11	Q. Okay. And I think you said it, you	11	A. Yes, all of the documents, the signed
12	know, back in November, but is your interpretation	12	and sealed engineering drawings and report, were
13	of this ordinance based upon your experience with	13	provided to the city and the professionals.
14	projects that you've worked on in Jersey City, and	14	Q. And did you receive any written
15	working with the MUA?	15	findings from the JCMUA?
16	A. It is.	16	A. We have not yet received a review
17	Q. Okay. And if let me ask you this:	17	memo. I stated for the record that we would comply
18	If this were or who would tell you that this is	18	with any comments that we get from them.
19	not a minor and a major and, instead, it's a	19	Q. Okay. And I actually would like to ask
20	major development?	20	you if you're familiar with this part of the
21	A. The city professionals; mostly the	21	stormwater control ordinance, which I'm going to
22	JCMUA.	22	read into the record. It's 347 (sic) Section
23	Q. And part of the process of getting	23	347-74.1.C.2 (sic), it says:
24	permitting is to go through the JCMUA for the	24	No major or minor development shall be
25	permitting with their their office. Correct?	25	deemed in compliance with this ordinance, unless a
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	150		152
1	A. Correct.	1	legally authorized body or official of the City of
2	Q. Okay. And what what would happen if	2	Jersey City has issued written findings based on a
	they determined that this was a major and not a	9	technical review by a legally authorized and
3	they determined that this was a major and not a	3	technical review by a legally authorized and
3 4	minor, after their further review?	4	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City
_	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_	minor, after their further review?	4	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City
4 5	minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it	4 5	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with
4 5 6	minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system	4 5 6	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance.
4 5 6 7	minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof	4 5 6 7	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that
4 5 6 7 8	minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean	4 5 6 7 8	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance?
4 5 6 7 8 9	minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it	4 5 6 7 8 9	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am.
4 5 6 7 8 9	minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street.	4 5 6 7 8 9	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major, would that bind the JCMUA? A. No.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings? A. We have an engineering memo from Lichuan Wang that covers the site improvements,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major, would that bind the JCMUA? A. No. Q. Okay. So, in essence, the ultimate	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings? A. We have an engineering memo from Lichuan Wang that covers the site improvements, grading, and stormwater. Typically the JCMUA
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major, would that bind the JCMUA? A. No. Q. Okay. So, in essence, the ultimate determination of whether it's a minor or a major	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings? A. We have an engineering memo from Lichuan Wang that covers the site improvements, grading, and stormwater. Typically the JCMUA comment letter goes into more depth on the what
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major, would that bind the JCMUA? A. No. Q. Okay. So, in essence, the ultimate determination of whether it's a minor or a major development will be made by the JCMUA,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings? A. We have an engineering memo from Lichuan Wang that covers the site improvements, grading, and stormwater. Typically the JCMUA comment letter goes into more depth on the what they would like to see for connections in the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major, would that bind the JCMUA? A. No. Q. Okay. So, in essence, the ultimate determination of whether it's a minor or a major development will be made by the JCMUA, notwithstanding your opinion or Mr. Goll's opinion.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings? A. We have an engineering memo from Lichuan Wang that covers the site improvements, grading, and stormwater. Typically the JCMUA comment letter goes into more depth on the what they would like to see for connections in the street. I will note that there are some
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Minor, after their further review? A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major, would that bind the JCMUA? A. No. Q. Okay. So, in essence, the ultimate determination of whether it's a minor or a major development will be made by the JCMUA, notwithstanding your opinion or Mr. Goll's opinion. Correct?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings? A. We have an engineering memo from Lichuan Wang that covers the site improvements, grading, and stormwater. Typically the JCMUA comment letter goes into more depth on the what they would like to see for connections in the street. I will note that there are some discrepancies between the LDO they cited and the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major, would that bind the JCMUA? A. No. Q. Okay. So, in essence, the ultimate determination of whether it's a minor or a major development will be made by the JCMUA, notwithstanding your opinion or Mr. Goll's opinion. Correct? A. Correct.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings? A. We have an engineering memo from Lichuan Wang that covers the site improvements, grading, and stormwater. Typically the JCMUA comment letter goes into more depth on the what they would like to see for connections in the street. I will note that there are some discrepancies between the LDO they cited and the rules and procedures for JCMUA. The plans as
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major, would that bind the JCMUA? A. No. Q. Okay. So, in essence, the ultimate determination of whether it's a minor or a major development will be made by the JCMUA, notwithstanding your opinion or Mr. Goll's opinion. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings? A. We have an engineering memo from Lichuan Wang that covers the site improvements, grading, and stormwater. Typically the JCMUA comment letter goes into more depth on the what they would like to see for connections in the street. I will note that there are some discrepancies between the LDO they cited and the rules and procedures for JCMUA. The plans as submitted comply with the ordinance; however, we
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major, would that bind the JCMUA? A. No. Q. Okay. So, in essence, the ultimate determination of whether it's a minor or a major development will be made by the JCMUA, notwithstanding your opinion or Mr. Goll's opinion. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. MR. HARRINGTON: That's all I have.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings? A. We have an engineering memo from Lichuan Wang that covers the site improvements, grading, and stormwater. Typically the JCMUA comment letter goes into more depth on the what they would like to see for connections in the street. I will note that there are some discrepancies between the LDO they cited and the rules and procedures for JCMUA. The plans as submitted comply with the ordinance; however, we expect certain changes as part of the in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. So the main difference is that it would introduce an underground detention system below the building. So coupled with the green roof on top of the building, it would take that clean runoff and detain it underground, and then pipe it to the combined sewer in the street. Q. Right. And could that be done at this property? A. It could. Q. Okay. And if there is a determination by the planning board that it was minor or major, would that bind the JCMUA? A. No. Q. Okay. So, in essence, the ultimate determination of whether it's a minor or a major development will be made by the JCMUA, notwithstanding your opinion or Mr. Goll's opinion. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	qualified employee, agent, or official of the City of Jersey City, that such development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. Are you familiar with that that language in the ordinance? A. I am. Q. And are you aware that stormwater compliance is considered a checklist item on the on the application checklist? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so nobody's issued written findings? A. We have an engineering memo from Lichuan Wang that covers the site improvements, grading, and stormwater. Typically the JCMUA comment letter goes into more depth on the what they would like to see for connections in the street. I will note that there are some discrepancies between the LDO they cited and the rules and procedures for JCMUA. The plans as submitted comply with the ordinance; however, we

accordance with the MUA, including removing anyrecharge as part of the parking lot, which is --

153

5

6

8

9

21

22

23

154

is adamantly opposed to any kind of infiltration into the ground.

from our vast experience in Jersey City, the JCMUA

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So it is written into the stormwater ordinance; we include it for the project. We note that it satisfies the volume requirement as a minor development; however, again, in our experience, we expect that the JCMUA will request that it be removed as part of the design.

Q. Okay. So the design may change, you'resaying?

14 Α. We are -- we are complying with the 15 ordinance that is written. Another night, we can 16 get into ironing out some of the language in the ordinance. I will tell you that -- you know, that 17 the JCMUA has different engineering opinions on 18 19 what's required. And so, we are complying with the 20 rules, but I would -- the -- they will determine, 21 you know, whether this is a minor development or 22 major development. And, again, in my experience, 23 this meets the criteria for a minor development, 24 and the -- you know, the comments that we get from 25 them would be limited in nature.

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

1 Q. So you're saying that the JCMUA 2 doesn't -- they're not trying to force applicants to 3 comply with this ordinance the way it's written? 4 To go back to comments that Mr. Goll 5 made, there are departures from the stormwater 6 control ordinance that you read and what the state 7 requirements are. Jersey City -- the vast majority 8 of Jersey City is exempt from any requirements for 9 groundwater recharge, because it is in the 10 metropolitan planning region. That is, sort of, 11 the view of the JCMUA as well, that, for reasons 12 cited by your professionals, that introducing water 13 into the ground is unwise in most areas of the 14 city. Q. 15 Right. So you had said there's a

Q. Right. So you had said there's a possibility a detention system could be constructed underneath the building?

A. The hypothetical is that, in the unlikely event that the JCMUA says no, you -- we do not agree this is not a minor development, the, really, only impact to the project would be that -- introducing a detention basin under the building.

Q. Okay. So we don't know, yet, whether
the JCMUA considers this to be a minor or a major
development under the stormwater control ordinance?

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

A. We cannot say definitively, but,
 again, our vast experience in Jersey City and
 projects of this size, that this qualifies as a
 minor development.

Q. If we received the written findings that are required under our stormwater control ordinance, would we, then, know whether the JCMUA considers this to be a minor or a major development?

Again, I think this is really just a

10 different -- difference of interpretation of that 11 one section of the code for regulated impervious 12 coverage. I have my interpretation that the 13 building itself does not qualify; that the work in 14 the public right-of-way does not count towards that 15 threshold. Your professional has a difference of 16 opinion. You know, we can -- what counsel has said 17 is that, if JCMUA disagrees with us, then we will 18 simply, you know, revise the design to incorporate 19 an underground detention. 20

Q. Okay. And, then, would that alter -- would you then have to excavate more bedrock, at that point, if you were building an underwater -- an underground detention basin?

24 A. Potentially.

Q. Okay. So that would alter the cut and PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

156

1 fill plan that was submitted to this board?

A. Again, if that unlikely event playedout.

3 out.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. I have nothing

6 further of Brian.

7 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We're going8 to take a break for Mike, everybody. He's been

9 typing away for a long time now. We appreciate you,

10 Mike.

MS. DONNELLY: Can I ask a question?CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Are you represented

13 by Ms. Hadjiyannis? Are you a member of RNA?

MS. DONNELLY: No -- I am a member of

15 RNA, but I also live down the block, and I have

16 standing, and I'm a taxpayer.

17 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, obviously.

18 No, actually, you can give your question to

19 Ms. Hadjiyannis; she's speaking on behalf of RNA.

MS. DONNELLY: Sure.

21 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And we're going to

22 take that break, though, but you can come back with

23 the question.

(Whereupon, there is a brief pause inthe proceeding.)

e the proceeding.)

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

20

	457		450
4	157		159
1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis,	1	analysis of compliance with the stormwater control
2	before we continue, obviously	2	ordinance?
3	MR. ALAMPI: Housekeeping.	3	A. Yes, it describes how we've classified
4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: you weren't our	4	it; that it's not subject to NJDEP definitions for
5	only application tonight. It looks like you're going	5	major development. It does not qualify as a major
6	to be our only application tonight, so I want to	6	development for the Jersey City stormwater control
7	entertain any adjournments from any other attorneys	7	ordinance; however, it did the meet the
8	in the room.	8	5,000-square-foot threshold to be considered a
9	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Should I go back to my	9	minor development. And then, walks through the
10	chair?	10	calculations for recharge based on the city's
11	MR. ALAMPI: I think you can stick	11	requirement.
12	there.	12	Q. Okay. But did you break out site
13	(Whereupon, the board hears other agenda	13	disturbance into the categories that we our
14	items at this time.)	14	expert discussed: impervious coverage, motor vehicle
15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, the		coverage, total disturbance?
16	floor is yours.	16	A. The area that was used to compute the
17	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Thank you.	17	volume of storage is based on the stormwater
18	Mr. Liebeskind. We just had a couple of more	18	control ordinance that the city has, and it's
19	questions for you. Thank you.	19	strictly, you know, the that regulated area.
20	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:	20	The total site disturbance is a separate matter;
21	Q. So do you know when our stormwater	21	again, I provided testimony explaining why I feel
22	control or when Jersey City's stormwater control	22	that it's appropriate to call this a minor
23	ordinance was adopted?	23	development.
24	A. You're talking about the last revised	24	Q. Okay. I don't have anything further
25	date?	25	for you.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299
	158		160
			100
1	Q. No, initially, I would say.	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington,
1 2	Q. No, initially, I would say.A. There's a version from, like, 2020,	1 2	
	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of 	_	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing.
2	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. 	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.
2	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised 	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to
2 3 4	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? 	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman.
2 3 4 5	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last 	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like 	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN, having been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN, having been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN AN, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN Sure. KERN WEISSMAN Having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: Sure. MR. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: Sure. MR. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. So 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Always good to see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. So Q. Is it July 2022? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: Sure. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Always good to see you, though.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. So Q. Is it July 2022? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: Sure. MR. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Always good to see you, though. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Mr. Weissman
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. So Q. Is it July 2022? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So you prepared this report 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Always good to see you, though. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Mr. Weissman did have he had a couple of exhibits, I showed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. So Q. Is it July 2022? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So you prepared this report after Jersey City's stormwater control ordinance was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Always good to see you, though. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Mr. Weissman did have he had a couple of exhibits, I showed them to Mr. Harrington on the break, they were also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. So Q. Is it July 2022? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So you prepared this report after Jersey City's stormwater control ordinance was adopted? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Always good to see you, though. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Mr. Weissman did have he had a couple of exhibits, I showed them to Mr. Harrington on the break, they were also emailed to the review planner before the last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. So Q. Is it July 2022? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So you prepared this report after Jersey City's stormwater control ordinance was adopted? A. Correct. It reflects the current 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Always good to see you, though. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Mr. Weissman did have he had a couple of exhibits, I showed them to Mr. Harrington on the break, they were also emailed to the review planner before the last hearing, November 28th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. So Q. Is it July 2022? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So you prepared this report after Jersey City's stormwater control ordinance was adopted? A. Correct. It reflects the current ordinance. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Always good to see you, though. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Mr. Weissman did have he had a couple of exhibits, I showed them to Mr. Harrington on the break, they were also emailed to the review planner before the last hearing, November 28th. Chuck, did you want to confirm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. So Q. Is it July 2022? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So you prepared this report after Jersey City's stormwater control ordinance was adopted? A. Correct. It reflects the current ordinance. Q. Okay. And did your report contain any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Always good to see you, though. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Mr. Weissman did have he had a couple of exhibits, I showed them to Mr. Harrington on the break, they were also emailed to the review planner before the last hearing, November 28th. Chuck, did you want to confirm Mr. Harrington, did you want to confirm that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. No, initially, I would say. A. There's a version from, like, 2020, around that era, which is what, you know, most of our applications are following. Q. Okay. And when was the last revised date? A. No, I'm saying that's it was last revised, like Q. 2020. A yeah, about three or four years ago. Q. Okay. All right. And then, when you prepared the engineer's report on this application, when did you first prepare it? A. I mean, there's a date on the report. So Q. Is it July 2022? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So you prepared this report after Jersey City's stormwater control ordinance was adopted? A. Correct. It reflects the current ordinance. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, anything? MR. HARRINGTON: Nothing. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'd like to call our fact witness, Kern Weissman. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. KERN WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. WEISSMAN: K-E-R-N, last name Weissman, W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the board's edification, Mr. Weissman is testifying as a fact witness; he's not we're not qualifying you as an expert tonight. MR. WEISSMAN: No, definitely not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Always good to see you, though. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Mr. Weissman did have he had a couple of exhibits, I showed them to Mr. Harrington on the break, they were also emailed to the review planner before the last hearing, November 28th. Chuck, did you want to confirm

	161		163
1	don't have a problem with these?	1	are not. It's just a plan review, and a, sort of,
2	MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, I reviewed them,	2	discussion of this landslide as it pertains to the
3	and I'm okay with the exhibits.	3	safety of construction on close you know,
4	MS. HADJIYANNIS: So we have paper	4	within the Palisades Protection Overlay District.
5	copies; I can also pull them up on the screen, if the	5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And,
6	board	6	obviously, Mr. Weissman, any pictures, if you could
7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Why don't we talk	7	identify the address they're taken from
8	about what they are first, before we see them.	8	MR. WEISSMAN: I will, absolutely.
9	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Sure. So the first	9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: The proximity to
10		10	this site
11	exhibit is basically, like, a slideshow that just	11	
12	captures the observations of the Riverview	12	MR. WEISSMAN: And I personally took them.
13	Neighborhood Association development committee as	13	
14	they've reviewed the plans, you know, the various	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Yeah.
	iterations of the plans, you know, over the past year	14 15	Everything is helpful.
15	OF SO.		MS. HADJIYANNIS: Sorry, Matt, but I'm
16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But, obviously, you	16	going to plug my computer in again.
17	know what plan is in front of this board tonight.	17	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in
18	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes. Yeah.	18	the proceeding.)
19	MR. WEISSMAN: Yes. We're speaking	19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Kern, before you
20	about those.	20	start, I do need to Ms. Hadjiyannis,
21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So we're not	21	Mr. Harrington, I do need to advise everyone in the
22	rehashing old plans or	22	room, we don't open new applications after 10
	MR. WEISSMAN: Nope, this is strictly	23	o'clock; we're putting a hard stop at 10:30 tonight.
24 25	ON	24 25	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. What time is it now?
25	MS. HADJIYANNIS: No, not at all. PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE	25	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	162		164
	102		104
1	CHAIDMAN LANGSTON: Okay Liust L	1	
1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. I just I	1	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock.
2	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan	2	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if
_	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago.	2	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I
2 3 4	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope.	2 3 4	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at
2 3 4 5	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe	2 3 4 5	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get
2 3 4 5 6	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight.
2 3 4 5 6 7	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some photographs of the back one of the backyards on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time on this. This just shows all the different plans
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some photographs of the back one of the backyards on Ogden that experienced a landslide, and Mr I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time on this. This just shows all the different plans that were submitted that the committee so the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some photographs of the back one of the backyards on Ogden that experienced a landslide, and Mr I think Mr. Harrington's already even heard exactly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time on this. This just shows all the different plans that were submitted that the committee so the Riverview Neighborhood Association has, you know, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some photographs of the back one of the backyards on Ogden that experienced a landslide, and Mr I think Mr. Harrington's already even heard exactly what Kern's going to say at another hearing, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time on this. This just shows all the different plans that were submitted that the committee so the Riverview Neighborhood Association has, you know, a development committee. I'm the chair of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some photographs of the back one of the backyards on Ogden that experienced a landslide, and Mr I think Mr. Harrington's already even heard exactly what Kern's going to say at another hearing, so CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. But just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time on this. This just shows all the different plans that were submitted that the committee so the Riverview Neighborhood Association has, you know, a development committee. I'm the chair of that committee. We have a group, you know, that is on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some photographs of the back one of the backyards on Ogden that experienced a landslide, and Mr I think Mr. Harrington's already even heard exactly what Kern's going to say at another hearing, so CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. But just so we're not we're obviously not relitigating what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time on this. This just shows all the different plans that were submitted that the committee so the Riverview Neighborhood Association has, you know, a development committee. I'm the chair of that committee. We have a group, you know, that is on that committee, that's been reviewing these plans
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some photographs of the back one of the backyards on Ogden that experienced a landslide, and Mr I think Mr. Harrington's already even heard exactly what Kern's going to say at another hearing, so CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. But just so we're not we're obviously not relitigating what happened at the zoning board. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's not this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time on this. This just shows all the different plans that were submitted that the committee so the Riverview Neighborhood Association has, you know, a development committee. I'm the chair of that committee. We have a group, you know, that is on that committee, that's been reviewing these plans from the beginning, just showing that, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some photographs of the back one of the backyards on Ogden that experienced a landslide, and Mr I think Mr. Harrington's already even heard exactly what Kern's going to say at another hearing, so CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. But just so we're not we're obviously not relitigating what happened at the zoning board. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time on this. This just shows all the different plans that were submitted that the committee so the Riverview Neighborhood Association has, you know, a development committee. I'm the chair of that committee. We have a group, you know, that is on that committee, that's been reviewing these plans from the beginning, just showing that, you know, there's been a lot of revisions. I'm going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some photographs of the back one of the backyards on Ogden that experienced a landslide, and Mr I think Mr. Harrington's already even heard exactly what Kern's going to say at another hearing, so CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. But just so we're not we're obviously not relitigating what happened at the zoning board. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's not this board's purview; we are not allowed to do that. MS. HADJIYANNIS: No, we are not. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time on this. This just shows all the different plans that were submitted that the committee so the Riverview Neighborhood Association has, you know, a development committee. I'm the chair of that committee. We have a group, you know, that is on that committee, that's been reviewing these plans from the beginning, just showing that, you know, there's been a lot of revisions. I'm going to focus on the current what's before you in your current set, that's what I'm going to focus on in these slides.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	don't want to muddy the board's view with any plan from three years ago, five years ago. MR. WEISSMAN: Nope. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Nope. It's believe me, it's just, like, a few quick CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I have to ask these questions before we see anything. MS. HADJIYANNIS: I understand, yes. It's a few quick observations. And then, this it's, kind of, a little slideshow. And the second one, it's a map showing an old historic map showing Ogden and some photographs of the back one of the backyards on Ogden that experienced a landslide, and Mr I think Mr. Harrington's already even heard exactly what Kern's going to say at another hearing, so CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. But just so we're not we're obviously not relitigating what happened at the zoning board. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's not this board's purview; we are not allowed to do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALAMPI: It's 10 o'clock. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's 9:48. So if we're in the middle of public comment, 10:30 hits, I apologize, we need to move on, we have other items at the end, you know, in-house items that need to get done before close of business tonight. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Understood. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So it's I can't believe I'm saying this, but we're a hard stop on this one at 10:30. And it's fascinating, I love it. I love it. MR. WEISSMAN: All right. I'll move through. A. Okay. So I won't spend a lot of time on this. This just shows all the different plans that were submitted that the committee so the Riverview Neighborhood Association has, you know, a development committee. I'm the chair of that committee. We have a group, you know, that is on that committee, that's been reviewing these plans from the beginning, just showing that, you know, there's been a lot of revisions. I'm going to focus on the current what's before you in your current set, that's what I'm going to focus on in

24

2

has the old design. Failure to address inadequate milling and paving. The limits of the -- so this is a direct comment from the engineering, it says the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

addressed.

(908) 642-4299

Palisades Protection Overlay, which RNA was one of the driving forces behind it, was trying to protect

25 the entire Palisades, especially sites that have PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

(908) 642-4299

168

166

milling and paving shall be extended 20 feet southwest of the sewer manhole being connected to. If you look on the right, the revised set shows that not happening yet. So that hasn't been

PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE

The silt fence location, they also wanted that extended to the -- you know, relocated, actually, along the property line. On the latest set of plans, that was not addressed.

Traffic, traffic study, everyone loves traffic. Right? The study actually contradicts itself. It basically says, on page 4 -- which I highlighted -- it basically says that the --

14 there's 12 -- you know, indicates both 12 units and 15 14 units in different places, and it appears that

16 the actual traffic analysis, in terms of trip

17 generation, was based on 12 units. And this is

18 where I'm talking about that. So it does -- it

19 just does matter. Accuracy matters. Right? We

20 want to be -- we want to make sure we're not having

21 errors and emissions in the plans that are

22 submitted by applicants. So, basically, not

23 correcting that -- I'm not sure why that wasn't

24 corrected, it was pointed out -- this was

25 submitted, again, you know, with the first hearing PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

1 been disturbed, from any further damage or --

CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So as I'm looking at that, the structure that the tracks lead up to,

that's on the east side of Ogden or the west side of

5 Ogden?

6 MR. WEISSMAN: That's on the west side

of Ogden ---

8 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that's the 9 corner of Wood --

10 MR. WEISSMAN: It led into the Supremo

11 building. You know the Supremo?

12 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Yep.

13 MR. WEISSMAN: So it would go in and

14 basically --15

CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.

16 Α. Okay. Yeah, this was just some good 17 historical context, I thought it was interesting.

18 That is just a -- that was a photo of

the -- there's a new utility pole that's right

19 20 where the driveway entrance is proposed.

21 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And for --

22 obviously, I know where that is; the rest of the

23 board, can you just describe the location for

24 everybody? 25

MR. WEISSMAN: Absolutely. So that's PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299

	169		171
1	where Ferry Street comes in, and it basically lands	1	MR. WEISSMAN: I'm sorry, my apologies.
2	right there. So that's if you can see.	2	A. So this is they do some historical
3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Wood Street.	3	maps. This is one of their studies that they did
4	MR. WEISSMAN: Ferry and Ogden.	4	about the history of the neighborhood, of the city.
5	PUBLIC SPEAKER: No, Wood Place.	5	So all I'm doing here is just showing
6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: No, that's Wood	6	you that Mountain Road used to go all the way
7	right there.	7	through, behind Ogden. So, obviously, people in
8	MR. WEISSMAN: I'm sorry about that,	8	the Heights, kind of, know this, but what happens
9	wood and Ogden. So what happens is you basically	9	is Mountain Road, as I've highlighted, used to go
10	have that crosswalk that was represented on the	10	all the way down, and you used to be able to drive
11	plan, this is right south of that, so that pole was	11	all the way through, and then connect to Mountain
12	basically right where the plan would I did see a	12	Road to go down. So that's how that was.
13		13	
14	comment in you know, that that was that that would have to be relocated.	14	Historically, it was always designed that. That's,
			obviously, right on that edge of the cliff there.
15	A. Okay. So we can pull up the other	15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And what are those
16	one?	16	cross streets? I'm sorry, my mic was off. What are
17	Q. Yeah.	17	those cross streets?
18	MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, we're	18	MR. WEISSMAN: That's Griffith, and then
19	going to mark the slides as 0-2.	19	that goes down to Franklin.
20	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Thank you.	20	MR. WARD: Let's just mark this as O-3.
21	MR. ALAMPI: I have it as 11 slides.	21	It's a four is this how many pages is this,
22	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. Thank you.	22	154? What am I looking at here?
23	(Whereupon, Exhibit O-2 is marked for	23	MR. WEISSMAN: I have four pages.
24	identification.)	24	MR. WARD: Oh, the screenshot
25	MR. ALAMPI: You said that that had been	25	MR. ALAMPI: It says 116 (sic) of 154.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	170		172
1	submitted prior to	1	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages.
2	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November	2	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for
2	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th.	2	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.)
2	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital	2 3 4	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the
2 3 4 5	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well.	2 3 4 5	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left
2 3 4 5 6	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the	2 3 4 5 6	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen?
2 3 4 5 6 7	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) MR. WEISSMAN: You can see? You're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date? MR. WEISSMAN: So I included the you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) MR. WEISSMAN: You can see? You're good?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date? MR. WEISSMAN: So I included the you know, the link to it in the URL. So it was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) MR. WEISSMAN: You can see? You're good? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date? MR. WEISSMAN: So I included the you know, the link to it in the URL. So it was the Washington Village report, and that was basically by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	submitted prior to MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) MR. WEISSMAN: You can see? You're good? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes. A. So this is a historical there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date? MR. WEISSMAN: So I included the you know, the link to it in the URL. So it was the Washington Village report, and that was basically by the Hudson City Historical Society, and this was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) MR. WEISSMAN: You can see? You're good? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes. A. So this is a historical there was a report called Washington Village. This was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date? MR. WEISSMAN: So I included the you know, the link to it in the URL. So it was the Washington Village report, and that was basically by the Hudson City Historical Society, and this was published on the internet in 2014.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) MR. WEISSMAN: You can see? You're good? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes. A. So this is a historical there was a report called Washington Village. This was called basically, historical society Hudson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date? MR. WEISSMAN: So I included the you know, the link to it in the URL. So it was the Washington Village report, and that was basically by the Hudson City Historical Society, and this was published on the internet in 2014. MR. ALAMPI: And do you have any idea
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) MR. WEISSMAN: You can see? You're good? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes. A. So this is a historical there was a report called Washington Village. This was called basically, historical society Hudson City Historical Society. They basically showed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date? MR. WEISSMAN: So I included the you know, the link to it in the URL. So it was the Washington Village report, and that was basically by the Hudson City Historical Society, and this was published on the internet in 2014. MR. ALAMPI: And do you have any idea when this map is from, any 1910? 1920? 1860? Any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) MR. WEISSMAN: You can see? You're good? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes. A. So this is a historical there was a report called Washington Village. This was called basically, historical society Hudson City Historical Society. They basically showed these maps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date? MR. WEISSMAN: So I included the you know, the link to it in the URL. So it was the Washington Village report, and that was basically by the Hudson City Historical Society, and this was published on the internet in 2014. MR. ALAMPI: And do you have any idea when this map is from, any 1910? 1920? 1860? Any idea?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) MR. WEISSMAN: You can see? You're good? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes. A. So this is a historical there was a report called Washington Village. This was called basically, historical society Hudson City Historical Society. They basically showed these maps COMMISSIONER TORRES: Excuse me, can you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date? MR. WEISSMAN: So I included the you know, the link to it in the URL. So it was the Washington Village report, and that was basically by the Hudson City Historical Society, and this was published on the internet in 2014. MR. ALAMPI: And do you have any idea when this map is from, any 1910? 1920? 1860? Any idea? MR. WEISSMAN: No, I don't know the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Prior to November 28th. MR. ALAMPI: And we will need a digital copy of O-1 as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Matt, in the event we don't reach a decision tonight, and we end up carrying this, can we get all of these exhibits up on the portal? MR. WARD: I will request them and try to get them up. MR. WEISSMAN: Sorry, we're having a little technical difficulty. (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceeding.) MR. WEISSMAN: You can see? You're good? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes. A. So this is a historical there was a report called Washington Village. This was called basically, historical society Hudson City Historical Society. They basically showed these maps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Four pages. (Whereupon, Exhibit O-3 is marked for identification.) COMMISSIONER TORRES: Griffith is on the left side of the screen? Griffith is on the left side of the screen? MR. WEISSMAN: Griffith is as I'm looking at it, it's on the right, and then Franklin's on the left. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Franklin's on the left. Okay. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, as I'm looking at it. MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Weissman, where did this map come from, and do we have a circa date? MR. WEISSMAN: So I included the you know, the link to it in the URL. So it was the Washington Village report, and that was basically by the Hudson City Historical Society, and this was published on the internet in 2014. MR. ALAMPI: And do you have any idea when this map is from, any 1910? 1920? 1860? Any idea?

(908) 642-4299

(908) 642-4299

	173		175
		4	
1	driven even in the '80s, people used to drive	1	backed up a little bit, just to show you that there
2	Mountain Road.	2	is it then where the collapse happened, and
3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: '90s, I even think.	3	then it widens back out again. So the collapse, kind
4	MR. WEISSMAN: What's that?	4	of, took that road with it.
5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That went into the	5	And then, I'll show you what happens
6	'90s, didn't it?	6	when you look south. Now, you get blocked. Right?
7	MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I'm not sure the	7	Because they can't, obviously, allow anyone to go
8	exact date. It was definitely I know people on	8	through there anymore. So it was just blocked off.
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	l _	
9	Ogden used to drive down that in the '80s. But yeah,	9	So, basically, that historic that and this site
10	I'm sorry, I can't give you the exact date of when	10	is about a thousand feet away from, you know, the
11	the map's from.	11	proposed site.
12	Are we good to move on?	12	I'm only representing this to show that
13	MR. ALAMPI: Yes.	13	collapses like this can happen, they can happen
14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead.	14	without warning, and they can happen on previously
15	A. So this shows behind 242 Ogden. You	15	constructed you know, like, this was a Jersey City
16	asked me to be specific, so that's between Griffith	16	road, this was you know, this was an official road
17	and Franklin. So this actually represents where	17	of Jersey City that then suffered a catastrophic
18	that road used to be. You see a car it's	18	collapse.
19	actually nice that a car parked there for me, so I	19	It is important to understand that part
20	can show you that they were driving. You used to	20	of when the PPOD was put in place by you know,
21	be able to drive right through right?	21	by on the advocacy of the RNA, we did ask for a
22	So what happened was, apparently	22	buffer. Right? Because we wanted to make sure that
23	sometime in the '80s, there was a or the early	23	development new development wasn't put right up
24	'90s, there was a collapse there. It took about	24	against the cliff. It's very important. So from a
25	so I went out there, I took this picture. I also,	25	public safety standpoint, it's really important
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	174		176
1	kind of, measured from the existing road, and all	1	that so I'm not even saying zoning, I'm saying
2	of this in this indented fencing that was put in	2	public safety we really want to keep this back
3	by Jersey City. It's about 35 feet of roadway that	3	from the cliff, because we've seen catastrophic
4	was washed away with that collapse. You know,	4	collapses like this.
5	that's all if you look at it, you look over and	5	And I think that'll conclude my
6	you see rock there on either side, this whole	6	testimony.
7	portion just collapsed out.	7	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay.
8	So just showing you just another image	8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, do
9	of that	9	you want to cross-examine? Anything?
10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Before we move on,	10	MR. HARRINGTON: Just real quick.
	that's looking, what, north?	11	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	-		
12	MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, that's looking	12	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
13	north.	13	Q. I mean, Kern, you pointed that there's
14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And that's from?	14	been changes
15	MR. WEISSMAN: So I'm behind 242 Ogden	15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Can you speak into the
16	and I'm looking north; so I'm looking toward	16	mic?
17	Griffith.	17	MR. HARRINGTON: I'm sorry, I got to get
18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.	18	used to that.
19	MR. WEISSMAN: That make sense? So I'm	19	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
20	basically right on the edge there	20	Q. You referenced about the changes to the
21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you're not	21	plans over the years, and it's we can agree,
22	that doesn't go all the way through to Franklin.	22	right, it's common that changes are made after
23		23	meeting with your group, whether good, better,
	Correct?	~ -	1 1100
24	MR. WEISSMAN: Well, I'm going to show	24	indifferent?
24 25	MR. WEISSMAN: Well, I'm going to show you. So what happens is, you're going to see I	24 25	MR. WEISSMAN: That's common. I would
	MR. WEISSMAN: Well, I'm going to show		

	177		179
1	say that this this has come to significantly more	1	Let it out.
2	changes than I've seen in some other plans. But yes,	2	MR. WEISSMAN: We're good?
3	after meeting with our group, it is common for plans	3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you.
4	to change.	4	Appreciate it.
5	Q. Okay. And our you referenced the	5	MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. We have one more
6	milling and paving. Are you aware this that those	6	quick witness, Roger Heitmann, who's on the board of
7	are review agent comments that are typically not	7	Riverview Neighborhood Association, as distinct from
8	typically, but that would be addressed after any	8	its development committee.
9	approval and during construction?	9	ROGER HEITMANN, having been
10	A. I'll to take your word for it.	10	duly sworn, testified as follows:
11	Q. Okay. And the traffic study, there are	11	MR. HEITMANN: Heitmann is
12	a few typos there, but I don't know if you have the	12	H-E-I-T-M-A-N-N.
13	traffic study with you, but I can represent to you	13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And just for the
14	that, in the introduction, it refers to 14 dwelling	14	board, once again, Mr. Heitmann is testifying as a
15	units and 14 cars, and in the traffic table	15	fact witness; we're not qualifying him as an expert.
16	analysis, trip generation summary, that also refers	16	I apologize, that's just the legal term we use.
17	to 14 units and 14 cars. Do you recollect that?	17	MR. HEITMANN: Quite all right.
18	A. I do, but in the summary, they also	18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Go ahead,
19	reference 12 cars.	19	Ms. Hadjiyannis.
20	Q. Yes.	20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	A. And I know you're saying it's a typo,	21	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:
22	but it references 12 cars.	22	Q. Mr. Heitmann, what would you like to
23	Q. Okay. And 240 Ogden Avenue, again, you	23	say to the board?
24	said that's about a thousand square feet away from	24	A. Well, I have a brief statement I'd
25	the subject property?	25	like to read on behalf of RNA.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	178		180
1	A. That's correct.	1	Good evening. I'm speaking tonight on
2	Q. Okay.	2	behalf of the Riverview Neighborhood Association.
3	MR. HARRINGTON: That's all.	3	I'm the treasurer, and since I pay the bills, I
		"	, ,
4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.	4	know the substantial costs of continuing this fight
4 5	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks.	4 5	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members
4 5 6	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you,	4 5 6	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because
7	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman.	4 5 6 7	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to
7 8	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you.	4 5 6 7 8	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition.
7 8 9	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand	4 5 6 7 8 9	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside
7 8 9 10	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet?	4 5 6 7 8 9	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can
7 8 9 10 11	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the
7 8 9 10 11	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that it was a thousand feet away from the site the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day, remains dangerous and unstable. This is why we
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that it was a thousand feet away from the site the proposed site, where the application is.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day, remains dangerous and unstable. This is why we oppose the construction at the edge of the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that it was a thousand feet away from the site the proposed site, where the application is. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's a good	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day, remains dangerous and unstable. This is why we oppose the construction at the edge of the Palisades, which we feel is contrary to the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that it was a thousand feet away from the site the proposed site, where the application is. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's a good clarification.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day, remains dangerous and unstable. This is why we oppose the construction at the edge of the Palisades, which we feel is contrary to the Palisades Protection Overlay Ordinance, and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that it was a thousand feet away from the site the proposed site, where the application is. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's a good clarification. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm sorry, I didn't	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day, remains dangerous and unstable. This is why we oppose the construction at the edge of the Palisades, which we feel is contrary to the Palisades Protection Overlay Ordinance, and potentially a risky construction.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that it was a thousand feet away from the site the proposed site, where the application is. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's a good clarification. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm sorry, I didn't ask the board: Does anybody have anything for Kern?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day, remains dangerous and unstable. This is why we oppose the construction at the edge of the Palisades, which we feel is contrary to the Palisades Protection Overlay Ordinance, and potentially a risky construction. The proposed building is out of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that it was a thousand feet away from the site the proposed site, where the application is. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's a good clarification. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm sorry, I didn't ask the board: Does anybody have anything for Kern? COMMISSIONER TORRES: No, I jumped in.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day, remains dangerous and unstable. This is why we oppose the construction at the edge of the Palisades, which we feel is contrary to the Palisades Protection Overlay Ordinance, and potentially a risky construction. The proposed building is out of character and scale with other buildings in the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that it was a thousand feet away from the site the proposed site, where the application is. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's a good clarification. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm sorry, I didn't ask the board: Does anybody have anything for Kern? COMMISSIONER TORRES: No, I jumped in. I'm sorry.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day, remains dangerous and unstable. This is why we oppose the construction at the edge of the Palisades, which we feel is contrary to the Palisades Protection Overlay Ordinance, and potentially a risky construction. The proposed building is out of character and scale with other buildings in the neighborhood, at over 85 feet. Pullman's Hall,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that it was a thousand feet away from the site the proposed site, where the application is. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's a good clarification. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm sorry, I didn't ask the board: Does anybody have anything for Kern? COMMISSIONER TORRES: No, I jumped in.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day, remains dangerous and unstable. This is why we oppose the construction at the edge of the Palisades, which we feel is contrary to the Palisades Protection Overlay Ordinance, and potentially a risky construction. The proposed building is out of character and scale with other buildings in the neighborhood, at over 85 feet. Pullman's Hall, currently the tallest residential building, is only
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WEISSMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Mr. Weissman. MR. WEISSMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is that a thousand square feet or a thousand linear feet? MR. HARRINGTON: Did I say square feet? MR. ALAMPI: Say it into the mic. MR. HARRINGTON: It's a thousand feet. COMMISSIONER TORRES: A thousand feet away. MR. WEISSMAN: Yeah, I was agreeing that it was a thousand feet away from the site the proposed site, where the application is. MS. HADJIYANNIS: That's a good clarification. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm sorry, I didn't ask the board: Does anybody have anything for Kern? COMMISSIONER TORRES: No, I jumped in. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's all right.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	against proposed 152 Ogden project. Our members and residents, thankfully, have spoken, because they've donated their own personal funds to continue to finance this opposition. I'm also an Ogden Avenue Cliffside resident for the past 35 years. Personally, I can testify that I live about 50 feet away from the location, which is about 1,000 feet north, that washed away back in the 1980s. It's worth noting that the city never attempted to repair or restore the washout, and erected a guardrail instead around that cliff section, which is to this day, remains dangerous and unstable. This is why we oppose the construction at the edge of the Palisades, which we feel is contrary to the Palisades Protection Overlay Ordinance, and potentially a risky construction. The proposed building is out of character and scale with other buildings in the neighborhood, at over 85 feet. Pullman's Hall,

	181		183
1	50 feet. The proposed building will block light,	1	which I suspect is the position that you and your
2	air, and view corridors to neighboring buildings.	2	representatives have put forth here tonight.
3	Finally, we're concerned about the	3	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yeah, I mean, I don't
4	safety of the driveway and the Ogden Avenue	4	have a membership roster, so I'm not sure who's
5	crosswalk, which I understand has been partially	5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I don't, either.
6	mitigated here, but we're concerned that will	6	MS. HADJIYANNIS: from RNA and who's
7	trucks and emergency vehicles be able to turn onto	7	not.
8	Wood Place? We don't know. We also don't know the	8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Well, obviously,
9	purpose of narrowing Ogden Avenue.	9	we'll just ask that question under oath.
10	We hope that the planning board will	10	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Yep.
11	understand how opposed most residents are to the	11	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is the we have
12	project for these good reasons, and they uphold the	12	the chairman and the treasurer here. They don't know
13	Palisades Protection ordinance, and preserve the	13	who's on their committee, or no?
14	character of our neighborhood by voting no on this	14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I don't want to put
15	application.	15	the onus on them. We'll ask everybody that comes up
16	Thank you.	16	from the public under oath.
17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you.	17	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Okay. We brought
18	Ms. Hadjiyannis, anything?	18	this up with other groups before
19	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I think that	19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Obviously.
20	concludes.	20	COMMISSIONER TORRES: and I like to
21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Harrington, do	21	be consistent, when we've brought it up with other
22	you have any cross-examination?	22	groups before.
23	MR. HARRINGTON: No, I do not.	23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And the way we
24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you.	24	handled it back then is I asked everybody who came up
25	MR. HARRINGTON: That concludes our	25	to the microphone, or if it was online, I asked
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	182		184
1	presentation. Thank you for	1	everybody online. Remember online, when we were
1 2	presentation. Thank you for CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll	1 2	
	•	_	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back?
2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll	2	everybody online. Remember online, when we were
3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's	2	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course.	2 3 4	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure.	2 3 4 5	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first.	2 3 4 5 6	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first.	2 3 4 5 6 7	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI, having been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI: having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let Ms. Hadjiyannis give her closing, and then we'll hear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI: having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let Ms. Hadjiyannis give her closing, and then we'll hear from Mr. Harrington, that's the proper order to do it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey. I'm CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So, Mr. Brunetti,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let Ms. Hadjiyannis give her closing, and then we'll hear from Mr. Harrington, that's the proper order to do it in. I think that we need to caution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI: having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let Ms. Hadjiyannis give her closing, and then we'll hear from Mr. Harrington, that's the proper order to do it in. I think that we need to caution everybody, obviously, Ms. Hadjiyannis represents the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI: Naving been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey. I'm CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So, Mr. Brunetti, obviously, you're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let Ms. Hadjiyannis give her closing, and then we'll hear from Mr. Harrington, that's the proper order to do it in. I think that we need to caution everybody, obviously, Ms. Hadjiyannis represents the association. We just heard from your representative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey. I'm CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So, Mr. Brunetti, obviously, you're not MR. BRUNETTI: I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let Ms. Hadjiyannis give her closing, and then we'll hear from Mr. Harrington, that's the proper order to do it in. I think that we need to caution everybody, obviously, Ms. Hadjiyannis represents the association. We just heard from your representative who voiced his concerns on behalf of the association.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey. I'm CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So, Mr. Brunetti, obviously, you're not MR. BRUNETTI: I am. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You don't live in the Heights, or you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let Ms. Hadjiyannis give her closing, and then we'll hear from Mr. Harrington, that's the proper order to do it in. I think that we need to caution everybody, obviously, Ms. Hadjiyannis represents the association. We just heard from your representative who voiced his concerns on behalf of the association. So I see a large number of people in the public; I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey. I'm CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So, Mr. Brunetti, obviously, you're not MR. BRUNETTI: I am. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You don't live in the Heights, or you live in the Heights, or you previously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let Ms. Hadjiyannis give her closing, and then we'll hear from Mr. Harrington, that's the proper order to do it in. I think that we need to caution everybody, obviously, Ms. Hadjiyannis represents the association. We just heard from your representative who voiced his concerns on behalf of the association. So I see a large number of people in the public; I suspect that they are members of your organization,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI: having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey. I'm CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So, Mr. Brunetti, obviously, you're not MR. BRUNETTI: I am. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You don't live in the Heights, or you live in the Heights, or you previously MR. BRUNETTI: I lived on Ogden Avenue,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let Ms. Hadjiyannis give her closing, and then we'll hear from Mr. Harrington, that's the proper order to do it in. I think that we need to caution everybody, obviously, Ms. Hadjiyannis represents the association. We just heard from your representative who voiced his concerns on behalf of the association. So I see a large number of people in the public; I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey. I'm CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So, Mr. Brunetti, obviously, you're not MR. BRUNETTI: I am. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You don't live in the Heights, or you live in the Heights, or you previously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And we'll allow you a closing statement after Mr. Harrington's of course. MS. HADJIYANNIS: Oh, sure. MR. ALAMPI: She would go first. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I apologize, we'll offer you a closing statement first. MR. HARRINGTON: Are there any other public? Just procedurally. MR. ALAMPI: Well, so we do have to open it to the public. Ms. Hadjiyannis is going to give a closing before Mr. Harrington. So, Chairman, if you would like to open to the public, then let Ms. Hadjiyannis give her closing, and then we'll hear from Mr. Harrington, that's the proper order to do it in. I think that we need to caution everybody, obviously, Ms. Hadjiyannis represents the association. We just heard from your representative who voiced his concerns on behalf of the association. So I see a large number of people in the public; I suspect that they are members of your organization, Ms. Hadjiyannis. So they do not all get the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	everybody online. Remember online, when we were online? Remember way back? Okay. So, at this point, let's open it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the public that wants to comment MR. BRUNETTI: Yes, my name is Vito Brunetti CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We do sir, we need to swear in. We're going to give you three minutes. And if you could, speak slowly, so Mike can get everything you say on record. VITO BRUNETTI: Naving been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BRUNETTI: Vito Brunetti, Cedar Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey. I'm CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So, Mr. Brunetti, obviously, you're not MR. BRUNETTI: I am. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You don't live in the Heights, or you live in the Heights, or you previously MR. BRUNETTI: I lived on Ogden Avenue, probably about a thousand feet from the sink hole

1 of the project. 2 development. If NR of the PPOD, worked with Robert 2 development with RNA for the PPOD, worked with Robert 3 Cotter, who I'm sure you're all aware of . 3 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We've mot. 4 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We've mot. 5 was passed and put into the ordinance. So I have history and would like to make my statement. 6 was passed and put into the ordinance. So I have history and would like to make my statement. 7 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Are you a current member of the RNA or are you not? 8 Perfect. Go ahead, then. 9 Perfect. Go ahead, then. 14 MR. BRUNETTI: Okay I have rewritten my statement, but I wanted to make some quide to comments and the proposal of the Jangston and the part of the project. 16 Comments are really said whether they athered to 19 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm not going to read it. I don't have the time, but it one of the laws surrounding buildings on either side patronsingly stalled that be tried to match the color tone of the laws surrounding buildings on either side precision REPORTING SERVICE (900) 642-4299 18 of the project. 2 Ill mentioned multiple times that this is a post tone of the laws surrounding buildings on either side patronsingly stalled that be tried to match the color tone of the laws surrounding buildings on either side patronsingly stalled that be tried to match the color tone of the laws surrounding buildings on either side patronsingly stalled that be rided to match the color tone of the laws surrounding buildings on either side patronsingly stalled that be rided to match the color tone of the laws surrounding buildings on either side patronsingly stalled that be rided to match the color tone of the laws surrounding buildings on either side patronsingly stalled that be rided to match the color tone of the laws surrounding buildings on either side patronsingly stalled that be rided to match the color tone of the laws stead by the facts of our one of the project. 2 Ill mentioned multiple times that this is a post to make the patron of the pr		405		407
2 dividepment with RNA for the PPDD. worked with Robert 3 Cottor, who I'm sure you're all aware of 4 CHAIRMAN I ANGSTON: We've met. 5 MR. BRUNETT: To make sure that that 5 was passed and put into the ordinance. So I have 7 history and I would like to make my statement. 5 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: A you a current 9 member of the RNA or are you not? 1 MR. BRUNETT: I am what did they 12 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Provide a member of the RNA or are you not? 1 MR. BRUNETT: I am what did they 12 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Emeritus? Okay. 1 Perfect. Go ahead, then. 1 MR. BRUNETT: Okay. I have rewritten 14 MR. BRUNETT: Okay. I have rewritten 15 my statement, but I wanted to make some quick 16 comments. 1 MR. BRUNETT: Okay. I have rewritten 17 MR. BRUNETT: Okay. I have rewritten 18 my statement, but I wanted to make some quick 16 comments. 1 MR. BRUNETT: Okay. I have rewritten 19 345-52 of the residential design standard. And I'm 19 on to going to read it. I don't have the time, but It does include the word shant! "If so and is well. I don't have the Irine, but It 20 does include the word shant!" If so and is well. I 21 dones include the word shant!" If so and is well. I 22 think we will apply that standard. It's a shall apply 23 that standard. The only thing he said was that ho 23 a great mass development, it's going to have an 4 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood vies, it's an R-3 Zne, but it is a spot 2 comment. PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 MR. BRUNETT: If more glober or public, if yourd like to comment you have precision. Ye will san R-3 Zne, but it is a spot 2 comment. It's going to have an 4 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood vies, it's an R-3 Zne, but it is a spot 2 comment. It's going to have an 4 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood vies, it's an R-3 Zne, but it is a spot 2 comment. It's going to be out of design, and this 'it's and it's a spot 2 comment. It's going to be out of design, and this 'it's appropriate point of th	_	185	_	187
3 creantly refirred. But I want to say something before your factor of the project. 4 (Internal Designation of the project. 5 (Internal Designation of the project.) 5 (Internal Designation of the project.) 6 (Internal Designation of the project.) 7 (Internal Designation of the project.) 8 (Internal Designation of the project.) 9 (Internal Designation of the project.) 9 (Internal Designation of the project.) 10 (Internal Designation of the project.) 11 (Internal Designation of the project.) 12 (Internal Designation of the project.) 13 (Internal Designation of the project.) 14 (Internal Designation of the project.) 15 (Internal Designation of the project.) 16 (Internal Designation of the project.) 17 (Internal Designation of the project.) 18 (Internal Designation of the project.) 18 (Internal Designation of the project.) 19 (Internal Designation of the project.) 19 (Internal Designation of the project.) 10 (Internal Designation of the project.) 10 (Internal Designation of the project.) 10 (Internal Designation of the project.) 11 (Internal Designation of the project.) 12 (Internal Designation of the project.) 13 (Internal Designation of the project.) 14 (Internal Designation of the project.) 15 (Internal Designation of the project.) 16 (Internal Designation of the project.) 16 (Internal Designation of the project.) 17 (Internal Designation of the project.) 18 (Internal Designation of the project.) 18 (Internal Designation of the project.) 19 (Internal Designation of the project.) 19 (Internal Designation of the project.) 10 (Internal Designation of the project.) 10 (Internal Designation of the project.) 10 (Internal Designation of the project.) 11 (Internal Designation of the project.) 12 (Internal Designation of the project.) 13 (Internal Designation of the project.) 14 (Internal Designation of the project.) 15 (Internal Designation of the project.) 16 (Internal Designation of the project.) 17 (Internal Designation of the project.) 18 (Internal Designation of the project.) 19 (Internal Designation of the project				•
4 If may be mentioned by the developer's attorney. The sexpert did not give a net opinion. A net opinion is sexperted in the given has passed and put into the ordinance. So I have a way passed and put into the ordinance. So I have a make you a current of the RNA or are you not? 9 member of the RNA or are you not? 10 MR BRUNETTI: I am — what did they call Nancy Pelosi? A member emeritus. 11 Perfect. Go shead, then. 12 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Are you a current of the RNA or are you not? 13 Perfect. Go shead, then. 14 MR BRUNETTI: Oliva; I have rewritten my statement, but I wanted to make some quick comments. 15 MR BRUNETTI: Oliva; I have rewritten my statement, but I wanted to make some quick comments. 16 comments. 17 This expect, RNA's expert, reviewed the reports, and also brought in to the into this discussion that there is a state NJ DEP standard that we have to the architect, what his testimony was he never really said whether they adhered to not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it on of the two surrounding buildings on other side pactioningly stated that he tried to match the color tone of the two surrounding buildings on other side pactically stated that he tried to match the color tone of the two surrounding buildings on other side pactically and the patients of the project. 18 of the project. 19 of the project. 20 If the west will apply that standard, it is a shall apply the standard, it is a shall apply the distandard, it is a shall apply the standard that we have to the comments of the way the project in the two surrounding buildings on other side pactically the groundwater, the project in the two surrounding buildings on other side pactically and the patients of the project. 21 In this we will apply that standard, it is a shall apply the standar				•
5 MR. BRUNETTI: To make sure that that 6 was passed and put into the ordinance. So I have 7 history and I would like to make my statement. 3 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Are you a current 9 member of the RNA or are you not? 10 MR. BRUNETTI: I am - what did they cell Nancy Pelos!? A member emeritus. 11 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Emeritus? Okay. 12 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Emeritus? Okay. 13 Perfect. Go ahead, then 14 MR. BRUNETTI: Okay. I have rewritten 15 my statement, but I wanted to make some quick 16 comments. 14 MR. BRUNETTI: Okay. I have rewritten 17 As to the architect, what his testimony 18 was, he never really said whether they adhered to 19 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm 19 not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 20 not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 21 does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I to does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I to does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I to off the project. 15 MR. BRUNETTI: The court is aware of it, I'm not going to bring it to the mess of it. but there's a prerogative writ appeal in the susperior 18 court of the band of algustment - 19 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, sir, that 29 your time. I applicage, that's your time. 21 does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I to comment, present the word word that the clot of the two surrounding buildings on either side 19 perfect. 2 MR BRUNETTI: The court is aware of it, 19 your time. I applicage, that's your time. 2 your time. I applicage, that's your time. 2 Important and a great impact, and it's unique in the 5 neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 2 your time. 3 a great mass development, it's going to have an 19 pot out. The rebuttal by the 5 neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 2 your time. 3 a great mass development, it's going to have an 19 pot out. The rebuttal by the 2 your time 2 your time. 4 I'mortant and a great impact, and it's unique in the 5 neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 2 your time. I spot	3	•	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6 was passed and put into the ordinance. So I have history and I would like to make my statement. 8	4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We've met.	4	it may be mentioned by the developer's attorney: The
7 history and I would like to make my statement. 8	5	MR. BRUNETTI: To make sure that that	5	expert did not give a net opinion. A net opinion is
## Ochan Comments Beautiful	6	was passed and put into the ordinance. So I have	6	based purely on opinion with no basis and no fact.
member of the RNA or are you not? MR. BRUNETT: I am what did they CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Emeritus? Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Emeritus? Okay. MR. BRUNETT: Okay. I have rewritten MR. BRUNETT: The court is aware of it, mr statement, but I wanted to make some quick of comments. As to the architect, what his testimony was, he never really said whether they adhered to ads 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm on going to read if, I don't have the time, but if does include the word shall." It's not a, well, I does include the word shall." It's not a, well, I does include the word shall." It's not a, well, I to of the two surrounding buildings on either side PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 188 1 of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot someon. I'm not going to bring it to the mess of it, but there's a praregative writ appeal in the superior CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, sir, that is your time. I appolagize, that's your time. Anybody else from public, if you'd like comments. 18 comments. 18 comments. 18 comments. 19 chall there is a state NJ DEP standard that we have to CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And ther's MR. BRUNETTI: The court is aware of it, I'm not going to bring it to the mess of it, but there's a praregative writ appeal in the superior CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, sir, that is your time. I appolagize, that's your time. Anybody else from public, if you'd like 10 comments. 18 comments. 18 comments. 19 chall there's a state NJ DEP standard that we have to 19 chall there's a parengative writ appeal in the superior 19 comments. 19 chall there's a parengative writ appeal in the superior 19 comment. 19 chall	7	history and I would like to make my statement.	7	This expert, RNA's expert, reviewed the reports,
MR. BRUNETT: I am — what did they call Nancy Pelosi? A member emeritus. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Emeritus? Okay. RPerfect. Go ahead, then. MR. BRUNETT: Okay. I have rewritten my statement, but I wanted to make some quick comments. MR. BRUNETT: Okay. I have rewritten my statement, but I wanted to make some quick comments. MR. BRUNETT: Okay. I have rewritten my statement, but I wanted to make some quick comments. MR. BRUNETT: To court is aware of it, I'm not going to freight to the mess of it, but there's a pareogative writ appeal in the superior there's a pareogative writ appeal in the superior tone of the residential design standard. And I'm at going to read it, I don't have the time, but it does include the word "shall." It's not a, well. I' does include the word "shall." It's not a, well. I' does include the word "shall." It's not a, well. It's double the standard. It's a shall apply the city. And therefor, is call, and it is a state NJ DEP standard that we have to	8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Are you a current	8	looked at the drawings, based his interpretation of
tall Nancy Pelosi? A member cemeritus? Okay. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Emeritus? Okay. M. BRUNETTI: Okay. I have rewritten my stement, but I wanted to make some quick comments. As to the architect, what his testimony my was, he never really said whether they adhered to make some quick of my stement, but I wanted to make some quick of my stement. As to the architect, what his testimony my was, he never really said whether they adhered to my not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it does include the word "shall." It's net a, well, I does include the word "shall." It's net a, well, I will not word withing he said was that he part to tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 The mentioned multiple times that this is neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a sport point. It's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a sport point. It's my my sport in the there is a state NU DEP standard the whore to the state and the steming of it. The residential to support the year depend to the word "shall." It's net a, well, I was the properties. The most going to bring it to the mess of it, but there is a state NU DEP standard the sour it a ware of it. The residential design standard. And I'm in the going to read it, id on't have the time, but it there is a state NU DEP standard then to it one and the steming of it. The residential to support it in the there is a state NU DEP standard then to it was some quick and the tried to match the color to the board of adjustment — The residential design standard. And I'm in the going to read it, id on't have the time, but it there is a state NU DEP standard it in the there is a state NU DEP standard it. The residential to the weep to it one going in the supportient — The residential design standard. The only it is a shall apply and the standard. And I'm in the going to administ plant it is a shall apply and the standard. The only it is a sh	9	member of the RNA or are you not?	9	the Jersey City zoning, specifically the groundwater,
12 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Emeritus? Okay. 1 13 Perfect. Go ahead, then. 1 14 MR. BRUNETTI: Okay. I have rewritten 1 15 my statement, but I wanted to make some quick 6 comments. 1 16 my statement, but I wanted to make some quick 1 17 As to the architect, what his testimony 1 18 was, he never really said whether they adhered to 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm 1 20 not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 21 think we will apply that standard. It's a shall apply 23 that standard. The only thing he said was that he patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 186 25 He mentioned multiple times that this is 3 a great mass development, it's going to have an 4 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the 5 neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 6 zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, 7 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 8 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert winness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 2 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be be able for say it's a major and minor is really 21 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the planning board, at this 24 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 5 there is a decision by the planning board, at this 24 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 5 there is a decision that by ou can base your	10	MR. BRUNETTI: I am what did they	10	the PPOD, which is an official part of the statute,
13 look at. 14 MR. BRUNETTI: Okay. I have rewritten 15 my statement, but I wanted to make some quick 16 comments. As to the architect, what his testimony 18 was, he never reality said whether they adhered to 19 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm 10 not geing to read it, I don't have the time, but it 21 does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I 22 think we will apply that standard. The only thing he said was that he 23 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 25 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side 26 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE 27 He mentioned multiple times that this is 28 a great mass development, it's going to have an 29 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the 30 ads-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 31 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 32 about well, it's double the size, this new 33 project. 41 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 42 about well, it's double the size, this new 43 about well, it's double the size, this new 44 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 45 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 46 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 47 relevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the planning board, at this 48 to the residential design that is unique in the 49 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 40 conflict two surrounding buildings on either side 41 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 41 to the mess of it, but 42 the city, and therefore in the superior 42 court of the board of adjustment 54 court of the board of adjustment 55 court of the board of adjustment 56 court of the board of adjustment 57 court of the board of adjustment 58 court of the soard propagative writ appeal in the superior 59 court of the board of adjustment 50 court of the soard propagate writ appeal in the s	11	call Nancy Pelosi? A member emeritus.	11	and also brought in to the into this discussion
14 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And that's 15 my statement, but I wanted to make some quick 16 comments. 17 As to the architect, what his testimony 18 was, he never really said whether they adhered to 19 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm 19 and to going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 10 does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I 12 think we will apply that standard, fis a shall apply 12 the patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 15 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side 16 percentage of the percentage of the two surrounding buildings on either side 17 of the project. 18	12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Emeritus? Okay.	12	that there is a state NJ DEP standard that we have to
14 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And that's 15 my statement, but I wanted to make some quick 16 comments. 17 As to the architect, what his testimony 18 was, he never really said whether they adhered to 19 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm 19 and to going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 10 does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I 12 think we will apply that standard, fis a shall apply 12 the patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 15 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side 16 percentage of the percentage of the two surrounding buildings on either side 17 of the project. 18	13	Perfect. Go ahead, then.	13	look at.
15 MR. BRUNETTI: The court is aware of it, comments. Comments. 16 MR. BRUNETTI: The court is aware of it, comments. 17 Mas to the architect, what his testimony as he never really said whether they adhered to 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 20 does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I 20 think we will apply that standard. It's a shall apply 21 that standard. The only thing he said was that he 24 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 25 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 180 To fee project. 180 To the project. 181 I'm not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it a shall apply 22 to does include the word "shall." It's a shall apply 24 that standard. The only thing he said was that he 24 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 25 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 180 To the project. 180 MR. BRUNETTI: The court is aware of it, there's a prerogative writ appeal in the superior court of the board of adjustment CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, sir, that is your time. I applagize, that's your time. I applagize the tow time. I applagize the said was that he read that he tried to	14	MR. BRUNETTI: Okay. I have rewritten	14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And that's
16 comments. As to the architect, what his testimony 18 was, he never really said whether they adhered to 19 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm 10 not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 11 does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I 12 think we will apply that standard. It's a shall apply 13 that standard. The only thing he said was that he 14 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 15 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side 16 percentage of the west precision REPORTING SERVICE 17 (908) 642-4299 186 18 1 three minutes, sir, you can only 18 cand. If the rest of the neighborhood Is only this, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to a 345-62. The closes it s Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 19 cand. If the rest of the neighborhood Is design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to a 345-62. The closes it s Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 19 cand the rest of the neighborhood Is design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to a 345-62. The closes it s Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 19 cand. If the rest of the neighborhood Is design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to a 345-62. The closes its Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 19 cand it was asked by the developer's attributed was a secision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the planning board, at this 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the planning board, at thi		-	15	MR. BRUNETTI: The court is aware of it.
17 As to the architect, what his testimony 18 was, he never really said whether they adhered to 19 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm 20 not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 21 does include the word "shali." It's not a, well, I 22 think we will apply that standard. The only thing he said was that he 23 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 25 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side 26 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE 27 (908) 642-4299 28 He mentioned multiple times that this is 28 a great mass development, It's going to have an 29 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the 29 send. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, 20 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 21 about well, It's double the size, this endinetely pipe. 22 at the rest of design, and it's ilterally only 45 23 at the rest of design, and it's literally only 45 24 about well, It's double the size, this endinited was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision by the planning board, at this 29 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due carried to you and show the rest is a decision that you can base your 19 the rest of decision, and well apply thank ANGSTON: Thank you, sir, that cocur of the board of adjustment 20 CAROLINE (ARIZEMOLANGSTON: Thank you, sir, that is your time. 20 Anybody else from public, if you'd like to comment, please come on up. 21 Anybody else from public, if you'd like to comment, please come on up. 22 to comment, please come on up. 23 MR. BRUNETTI: If not, I'll wait untill someone gives me bacar's hank ANGSTON: I'm sorry, you have PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 24 three will apply that the very and the tried to match the color to comment, please come on up. 25 the two surrounding buildings on either side and the wash of the two surrounding buildings on either and the comment please come on up. 26 CAROLINE (ARIZEMOLINE (ARIZEMOLINE) (Someone gives me bacar will apple and the restrict of the commen		•	16	
18 was, he never really said whether they adhered to 19 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm 20 not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 21 does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I 22 think we will apply that standard. It's a shall apply 23 that standard. The only thing he said was that he 24 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 25 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side 26 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE 27 (908) 642-4299 186 1 of the project. 28 He mentioned multiple times that this is 29 a great mass development, it's going to have an 29 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the 30 neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 31 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 42 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 45 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 40 about well, it's double the size, this new 41 project. 42 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 43 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 44 at there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 45 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 46 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 47 decision from the Jersey City MIA. So for anybody to 48 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 49 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 40 decision from the Jersey City MIA. So for anybody to 40 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 40 relevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 41 the relevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 42 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 43 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this 44 promizingly batted that he tried to match the color 45 tone of the two surrounding plant the promition of part of the 45 court of the board of adjustment - 46 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, sir, that 46 court of the board of adjustment - 47 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, sir, that 48 three minutes of the cabes mean and the color 49 three minutes, sir, you can only -				
19 345-62 of the residential design standard. And I'm 20 not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 21 does include the word "shall." I'rs not a, well, I 22 think we will apply that standard, It's a shall apply 23 that standard. The only thing he said was that he 24 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 25 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 [86] 1 of the project.		•		
20 not going to read it, I don't have the time, but it 21 does include the word 'shall.' It's not a, well, I 22 think we will apply that standard, it's a shall apply 23 that standard. The only thing he said was that he 24 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 25 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side 26 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE 27 (908) 642-4299 186 1 of the project. 28 He mentioned multiple times that this is 3 a great mass development, it's going to have an 4 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the 5 neighborhood. Yes, It's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 6 zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, 7 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 8 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 12 about well, it's double the size, this new 13 project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're wailting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 20 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 21 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 22 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 23 respect, a decision that you can base your 24 comment, please come on up. 25 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: 'I'm sorry, you have 26 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 188 1 three minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 there minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 there is minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 4 have three minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 4 have three		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ř
21 does include the word "shall." It's not a, well, I 22 think we will apply that standard, it's a shall apply 23 that standard. The only thing he said was that he 24 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color 25 tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side 26 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE 27 (908) 642-4299 186 1 of the project. 28 He mentioned multiple times that this is 3 a great mass development, it's going to have an 4 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the 5 neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 6 zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, 1 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 8 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 21 about well, it's double the size, this new 22 aronged. 23 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 24 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 25 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 26 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 27 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 28 that stant there is not descision by the planning board, at this 29 project. 20 project. 21 Anybody else from public, if you'd like 22 to coment, please come on up. 24 MR BRUNETTI: If not, i'll wait until 25 mene back my time. 26 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm sorry, you have 27 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE 28 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE 29 (908) 642-4299 188 1 three minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E KAT Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 have three minutes for you. 5 KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 5 home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Oco devening. We 7 have fire minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E KAT Z 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Oco devenice. 7 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 9 decision from the Jersey Ci		G		•
think we will apply that standard, it's a shall apply that standard. The only thing he said was that he patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 186 1 of the project. 1 He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 3 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 2 about well, it's double the size, this new precision REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 188 1 three minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of U N T, 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of U N T, 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of U N T, 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. 7 New three minutes of you. 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Ves, I'll be even 9 quicker. 9 quicker. 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood 12 project. 1 Seeper and our expert withess. All he admitted was 13 project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 15 And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you 15 preview. We share				
that standard. The only thing he said was that he patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 186 1 of the project. 2 He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. 1 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's fat three is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MulA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision that you can base your 3 MR. BRUNETTI: If not, I'll wait until someone gives me back my time. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: 'The sorry, you have PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 188 1 three minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: Ms. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: 'The sorry, you have PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 1 three minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: Ms. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. C CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: On A Sherman Place, Jersey City. C CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: On A Sherman Place, Jersey City. C CHAIRMAN LANGSTON:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24 patronizingly stated that he tried to match the color tone of the two surrounding buildings on either side PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 186 1 of the project. 2 He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot of zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 12 about well, it's double the size, this new 13 project. 1 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether 125 there is a decision that you can base your 24 someone gives me back my time. CHARRMAN LANGSTON: 'I'm sorry, you have PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 188 1 three minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. 6 CHARRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We 4 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 13 secolation, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because we share their their decication to the wealth of the communi				·
25 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm sorry, you have PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 186 1 of the project. 2 He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 2 about well, it's double the size, this new project. 10 345-62. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 23 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this premature, because we don't know whether there is a decision that you can base your 25 C A R O L IN E K A T Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 4 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Ves, I'll be even 4 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Ves, I'll be even 9 quicker. 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 10 In the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 10 14 ASSOciations. I'm with the Pershing Field 12 ASSOciations. I'm with the Pershing Field 13 ASSOciations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 ASSOciation, and we've worked closely				
186 1 of the project. 2 He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot to zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. 1 three minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 5 home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We 7 have three minutes for you. 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even 9 quicker. 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 14 here is working with different neighborhood 15 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, whether it's from developers, or even now, with 19 something much more serious, the what might happen 19 fall, or another destruction of part of the 20 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 19 fall, or another destruction of part of the 20 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 20 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 21 fall, or another destruction of part of the 20 community. She from the very end 21 fall, or another destruction of part of the 21 fall or another destruction of part of the 22 fall, or another destruction of part of the				•
186 1 of the project. 2 He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the seighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. 10 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this three is a decision that you can base your 18	25		25	• •
186 1 of the project. 2 Me mentioned multiple times that this is 3 a great mass development, it's going to have an 4 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the 5 neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 6 zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, 7 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 8 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 12 about well, it's double the size, this new 13 project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 10 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 11 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 12 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 14 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 15 there is a decision that you can base your 18 three minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 5 home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We 6 have three minutes, sir, you can only 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 6 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 6 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 6 have three minutes, sir, you can only 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 6 have three minutes, sir, you can only 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 6 have three minutes, sir, you can only 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Ves, I'll be even 9 quicker. 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the 11 early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 12 here is working with diff				
three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new 13 project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 2 respect, a decision by the fire is a decision that you can base your 1 three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes, sir, you can only C A RO L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes, sir, you can only MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes, sir, you can only MS. KATZ MOUNT: Ves, I'll be even quicker. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had hassociations. I'm with the Pershing Field Associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Associations. I'm		(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
2 He mentioned multiple times that this is 3 a great mass development, it's going to have an 4 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the 5 neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 6 zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, 7 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 8 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 2 about well, it's double the size, this new 13 project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 19 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 10 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 11 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 12 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this 24 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 25 there is a decision that you can base your 2 C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 6 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my 6 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Ves, I'll be even 9 quicker. 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the 11 early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 12 here is working with different neighborhood 13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much and rule of the proving much and rule of the provin		400		100
 a a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 2 about well, it's double the size, this new project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 20 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 20 be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 21 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 22 respect, a decision by the facts of our 24 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 25 there is a decision that you can base your 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even 9 quicker. 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the 11 early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 12 here is working with different neighborhood 13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because we have a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, excuse me with another 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 31 something much more serious, the what				
4 important and a great impact, and it's unique in the 5 neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 2 coned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, 7 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 8 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 12 about well, it's double the size, this new 12 project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 19 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 19 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 19 there is a decision that you can base your 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 10 with another you know, excuse me with another 19 with another you know, excuse me with another 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen 22 from the Jersey City Mua therefore, I think, with all due 24 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had		of the project.		three minutes, sir, you can only
 5 neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot 6 zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, 7 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 8 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 12 about well, it's double the size, this new 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 10 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 11 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 12 the city. And therefore, I think, now, meaning one- and 16 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We 17 have three minutes for you. 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even 9 quicker. 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the 11 early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 12 hassociations. I'm with the Pershing Field 13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen 22 with another you know, excuse me with another 23 fall, or another destruction of part of the 24 community, by having houses that are n	2	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is	2	three minutes, sir, you can only CAROLINE KATZ MOUNT,
6 coned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, 7 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 8 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 12 about well, it's double the size, this new 13 project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 10 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 11 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 12 the city. And therefore, I think, now, meaning one- and 13 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 14 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even 15 upuicker. 16 learly '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 17 have three minutes for you. 18 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even 19 quicker. 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the 11 early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 12 here is working with different neighborhood 13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen 22 with another you know, excuse me with another 23 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this 24 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 25 there is a decision that you can base your	3	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an	2 3	three minutes, sir, you can only CAROLINE KATZ MOUNT, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
 7 it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and 8 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 12 about well, it's double the size, this new 13 project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 have three minutes for you. 8 MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even 9 quicker. 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the 11 early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 12 here is working with different neighborhood 13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 19 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the 11 early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 12 here is working with different neighborhood 13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 19 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 2	3 4	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the	3 4	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my
 8 two-family housing. So this is definitely going to 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 12 about well, it's double the size, this new 13 project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the 11 early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 12 here is working with different neighborhood 13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen 22 with another you know, excuse me with another 23 fall, or another destruction of part of the 24 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had 	3 4	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot	3 4	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City.
 9 be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 12 about well, it's double the size, this new 13 project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 quicker. 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the 11 early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 12 here is working with different neighborhood 13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen 22 with another you know, excuse me with another 23 fall, or another destruction of part of the 24 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had 	2 3 4 5	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at,	2 3 4 5	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We
10 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built 11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 12 about well, it's double the size, this new 13 project. 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 19 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 20 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 21 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 22 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 23 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this 24 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 25 there is a decision that you can base your 10 I've lived in Jersey City since the 11 early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had 12 here is working with different neighborhood 13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen 22 with another you know, excuse me with another 23 fall, or another destruction of part of the 24 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had	2 3 4 5 6	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and	2 3 4 5 6	three minutes, sir, you can only CAROLINE KATZ MOUNT, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you.
11 in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 2 about well, it's double the size, this new 2 project. 1 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 2 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 2 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 3 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 4 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 5 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 2 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 2 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this 2 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 2 there is a decision that you can base your 1 the 1800s, and one of the great joys that I've had 1 here is working with different neighborhood 2 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 2 here is working with different neighborhood 2 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 2 here is working with different neighborhood 2 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 2 here is working with different neighborhood 2 here is working with different neighborhood 2 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 3 here is working with different neighborhood 4 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 4 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 5 hat I've had 5 here is working with different neighborhood 5 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 5 has occurrent associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 6 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 6 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 6 here is working with different neighborhood 6 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 6 here is working with different neighborhood 6 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 6 here is working with different neighborhood 6 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 6 here is working with different neighbore 6 here is working with different neighbore 6 has on the feats of our worked closely with Riverview. 6 has occurrent associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 7 has occurrent associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 8 has occurrent associations. I'm wit	2 3 4 5 6 7	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to	2 3 4 5 6 7	three minutes, sir, you can only CAROLINE KATZ MOUNT, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you.
about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether there is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to the keep our community safe from whether you know, from all kinds of changes that have come here, whether it's from developers, or even now, with something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another fall, or another destruction of part of the community, by having houses that are not appropriate. And we've seen developers come in when we've had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	three minutes, sir, you can only CAROLINE KATZ MOUNT, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker.
13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 The rebuttable the rebuttal by the 15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 20 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 21 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 22 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 23 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this 24 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 25 there is a decision that you can base your 13 associations. I'm with the Pershing Field 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, with 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another 22 with another you know, excuse me with another 23 fall, or another destruction of part of the 24 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the
The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether there is a decision that you can base your 14 Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. 15 And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, from all kinds of changes that have come here, whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another 23 fall, or another destruction of part of the 24 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had
15 engineer, when he was asked by the developer's 16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our 17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 20 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 21 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 22 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 23 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this 24 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 25 there is a decision that you can base your 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen 22 with another you know, excuse me with another 23 fall, or another destruction of part of the 24 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood
16 attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether there is a decision that you can base your 16 we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to keep our community safe from whether you know, from all kinds of changes that have come here, whether it's from developers, or even now, with something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another fall, or another destruction of part of the community, by having houses that are not appropriate. And we've seen developers come in when we've had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood
17 engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was 18 that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a 19 decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to 20 be able to say it's a major and minor is really 21 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 22 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 23 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this 24 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 25 there is a decision that you can base your 17 by Riverview. We share their their dedication to 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen 22 with another you know, excuse me with another 23 fall, or another destruction of part of the 24 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field
that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether there is a decision that you can base your 18 keep our community safe from whether you know, 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, 20 whether it's from developers, or even now, with 21 something much more serious, the what might happen 22 with another you know, excuse me with another 23 fall, or another destruction of part of the 24 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview.
decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether there is a decision that you can base your 19 from all kinds of changes that have come here, whether it's from developers, or even now, with something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another fall, or another destruction of part of the community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 24 And we've seen developers come in when we've had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because
 be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether there is a decision that you can base your whether it's from developers, or even now, with something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another fall, or another destruction of part of the community, by having houses that are not appropriate. And we've seen developers come in when we've had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the project. He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you
 21 irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by 22 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due 23 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this 24 point, is premature, because we don't know whether 25 there is a decision that you can base your 21 something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another 23 fall, or another destruction of part of the 24 community, by having houses that are not appropriate. 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to
 the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether there is a decision that you can base your with another you know, excuse me with another fall, or another destruction of part of the community, by having houses that are not appropriate. And we've seen developers come in when we've had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to keep our community safe from whether you know,
 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether there is a decision that you can base your fall, or another destruction of part of the community, by having houses that are not appropriate. And we've seen developers come in when we've had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to keep our community safe from whether you know, from all kinds of changes that have come here,
 respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether there is a decision that you can base your fall, or another destruction of part of the community, by having houses that are not appropriate. And we've seen developers come in when we've had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to keep our community safe from whether you know, from all kinds of changes that have come here,
 point, is premature, because we don't know whether there is a decision that you can base your a community, by having houses that are not appropriate. And we've seen developers come in when we've had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to keep our community safe from whether you know, from all kinds of changes that have come here, whether it's from developers, or even now, with something much more serious, the what might happen
25 there is a decision that you can base your 25 And we've seen developers come in when we've had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to keep our community safe from whether you know, from all kinds of changes that have come here, whether it's from developers, or even now, with something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to keep our community safe from whether you know, from all kinds of changes that have come here, whether it's from developers, or even now, with something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another fall, or another destruction of part of the
PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to keep our community safe from whether you know, from all kinds of changes that have come here, whether it's from developers, or even now, with something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another fall, or another destruction of part of the community, by having houses that are not appropriate.
(908) 642-4299 (908) 642-4299	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	He mentioned multiple times that this is a great mass development, it's going to have an important and a great impact, and it's unique in the neighborhood. Yes, it's an R-3 Zone, but it's a spot zoned. If the rest of the neighborhood is looked at, it's mainly R-1, I think, now, meaning one- and two-family housing. So this is definitely going to be out of design, and it's inappropriate pursuant to 345-62. The closest is Pullman Hall, which was built in the 1800s, and that's literally only 45 about well, it's double the size, this new project. The rebuttable the rebuttal by the engineer, when he was asked by the developer's attorney, he did not contest any of the facts of our engineer and our expert witness. All he admitted was that there is no discussion, they're waiting for a decision from the Jersey City MUA. So for anybody to be able to say it's a major and minor is really irrelevant, because there hasn't been a decision by the city. And therefore, I think, with all due respect, a decision by the planning board, at this point, is premature, because we don't know whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	three minutes, sir, you can only C A R O L I N E K A T Z M O U N T, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. KATZ MOUNT: Caroline Katz Mount, my home address is 74 Sherman Place, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. KATZ MOUNT: Yes, I'll be even quicker. I've lived in Jersey City since the early '90s, and one of the great joys that I've had here is working with different neighborhood associations. I'm with the Pershing Field Association, and we've worked closely with Riverview. And a few of us are here tonight because we share the concerns that have been presented to you by Riverview. We share their their dedication to keep our community safe from whether you know, from all kinds of changes that have come here, whether it's from developers, or even now, with something much more serious, the what might happen with another you know, excuse me with another fall, or another destruction of part of the community, by having houses that are not appropriate.

	400	1	101
	189		191
1	meetings, where they've wanted to destroy group	1	MR. ALAMPI: And having voted on the
2	where they've wanted to destroy homes that have been	2	matter.
3	in this city for a long time, and homes that are	3	MS. DONNELLY: And having voted on the
4	livable for people who are not wealthy, who are not	4	matter, and then resigned.
5	going to buy condominiums, but who add a great deal	5	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Did she give
6	to our city and to our communities.	6	her did you give your question to the lawyer
7	And I am just here because I wanted to	7	earlier, when we requested that you
8	support the great work that Riverview is doing, and	8	MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did.
9	to hope that you'll recognize the importance of the	9	COMMISSIONER TORRES: You did. So, now,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	you're going to get back up and repeat again? We
10	reasons that they've come to you to support to		
11	support their work, and to deny the application from	11	don't allow
12	the organization over here.	12	MS. DONNELLY: No, I
13	Thank you.	13	COMMISSIONER TORRES: We don't allow
14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We	14	anybody to talk twice.
15	appreciate it.	15	MS. DONNELLY: You know what, I'm not
16	Okay. Anybody else, please come on up.	16	helping the matter, so I'm but I do object. Thank
17	KATE DONNELLY, having been	17	you.
18	duly sworn, testified as follows:	18	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Ms. Donnelly.
19	MS. DONNELLY: Kate Donnelly, 206 Ogden	19	DAN BLIDNER, having been duly
20	Avenue.	20	sworn, testified as follows:
21	PUBLIC SPEAKER: She's on the RNA.	21	MR. BLIDNER: My name is Dan Blidner,
22	MS. DONNELLY: I am on RNA.	22	D-A-N, B-L-I-D-N-E-R. My address is 140 Ogden
23	MR. BRUNETTI: She's also a citizen of	23	Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey, right in the
24		24	
	Jersey City.		Heights, right next door to this planned
25	MS. DONNELLY: Exactly. And so, if	25	construction.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	190		192
1	you're not going to let me enter something into the	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Blidner,
1 2	you're not going to let me enter something into the record, then I would like to object to that.	1 2	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But
2	record, then I would like to object to that.	2	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But
3	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly,	2	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA?
2 3 4	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA.	2 3 4	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the
2 3 4 5	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes.	2 3 4 5	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA.
2 3 4 5 6	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the	2 3 4 5 6	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that	2 3 4 5 6 7	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the open.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've seen the community thrive and grow around us, and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the open. MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, then,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've seen the community thrive and grow around us, and we don't feel that this development is in keeping with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the open. MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, then, Ms. Donnelly was a sitting member on the zoning board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've seen the community thrive and grow around us, and we don't feel that this development is in keeping with our community and with the neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the open. MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, then,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've seen the community thrive and grow around us, and we don't feel that this development is in keeping with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the open. MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, then, Ms. Donnelly was a sitting member on the zoning board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've seen the community thrive and grow around us, and we don't feel that this development is in keeping with our community and with the neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the open. MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, then, Ms. Donnelly was a sitting member on the zoning board when that application was before it, and she decided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've seen the community thrive and grow around us, and we don't feel that this development is in keeping with our community and with the neighborhood. So with that, I want to echo the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the open. MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, then, Ms. Donnelly was a sitting member on the zoning board when that application was before it, and she decided on it. So I would object to her testimony, because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've seen the community thrive and grow around us, and we don't feel that this development is in keeping with our community and with the neighborhood. So with that, I want to echo the concerns and challenges that have been raised thus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the open. MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, then, Ms. Donnelly was a sitting member on the zoning board when that application was before it, and she decided on it. So I would object to her testimony, because I think it's inappropriate that she, as a sitting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've seen the community thrive and grow around us, and we don't feel that this development is in keeping with our community and with the neighborhood. So with that, I want to echo the concerns and challenges that have been raised thus far by my neighbors and community members. I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the open. MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, then, Ms. Donnelly was a sitting member on the zoning board when that application was before it, and she decided on it. So I would object to her testimony, because I think it's inappropriate that she, as a sitting member of the zoning, now appear before the planning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've seen the community thrive and grow around us, and we don't feel that this development is in keeping with our community and with the neighborhood. So with that, I want to echo the concerns and challenges that have been raised thus far by my neighbors and community members. I want to reiterate what many others have mentioned. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	record, then I would like to object to that. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Ms. Donnelly, obviously, you are a member of the RNA. MS. DONNELLY: Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Just for the record, we have to say it: You're also a member of the zoning board that MS. DONNELLY: I was, and I resigned. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But you did vote on this case earlier. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, I did. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I just want to get it out in the open. MS. DONNELLY: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not deciding anything right now, I just want to get it out in the open. MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, then, Ms. Donnelly was a sitting member on the zoning board when that application was before it, and she decided on it. So I would object to her testimony, because I think it's inappropriate that she, as a sitting member of the zoning, now appear before the planning board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	before you start, we have three minutes for you. But are you a member of the RNA? MR. BLIDNER: I am not a member of the RNA. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. MR. BLIDNER: I'm big fans of their work and the community; I am not a member. I want to thank the board for your tireless efforts and all your hard work. This is not easy. It's my first meeting like this. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Come back anytime. MR. BLIDNER: We'll see. But I have something to read. I have lived there for 12 years; I live there with my girlfriend, who's lived there for eight years. We've seen the community thrive and grow around us, and we don't feel that this development is in keeping with our community and with the neighborhood. So with that, I want to echo the concerns and challenges that have been raised thus far by my neighbors and community members. I want to reiterate what many others have mentioned. The number one concern here, really, is around safety.

	193		195
1	This project does not seem to be a safe one. It	1	facility, which certainly wouldn't be appropriate if
2	seems to be unprecedented, and based on the planning	2	that was placed on Ogden Avenue. So why should this
3	that I've seen, fairly incomplete, in terms of its	3	one property be the only eight-story building on
	•		
4	threshold for the safety concerns of the	4	Ogden Avenue? It just does not seem compatible with
5	neighborhood. I think that we need to be concerned	5	the neighborhood.
6	about this instability and any unforeseen challenges	6	I'll also echo all the safety concerns
7	that could arise, that could cause grave injury to	7	that have been brought up through the meetings. So I
8	myself, my neighbors, my community.	8	don't need to repeat all that, but I will add, just,
9	This is a great community. We have a	9	you know, an observation of being someone who lives
10	number of members out today. I don't know if the	10	right there, who lives right adjacent to the
11	board recalls, but upon the online version of these	11	property, my parking space is right on the property
12	meetings, we had well over 100 people in attendance	12	line, at the very edge of the cliff looking down into
13	for this. This matter is something that the	13	Hoboken, there's a very large tree that's on the edge
14	community does take very seriously.	14	of that property, that's not you know, it's not
15	And we would ask the board to please	15	represented on any of the diagrams that focused more
16	deny this application, as we do not think it is in	16	on the ground, right, but there's a very large tree
17	keeping with the aesthetic, the feel, and the	17	that's right at the edge of where they want to build,
18	community that we have worked so hard over the past	18	that I can't imagine that that tree is still going to
19	decade to create.	19	be there after the development. And what does that
20	That's all I have.	20	do to the concerns of, you know, the stability of the
21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, we	21	ground, whether or not that soil is going to give
22	appreciate your time.	22	way, and then what that's going to do, you know, to
23	MR. BLIDNER: Thank you guys.	23	where I live, directly opposite where all that
24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Anybody else,	24	construction and all that disturbance of the ground
25	please come on up. It's nice to actually see people	25	is going to happen.
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	194		196
1	speaking in front of us again. This is great.	1	Thank you.
1 2	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been	1 2	
	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:		Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it.
2	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney,	2	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRERA, having
3	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	2	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it.
3	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney,	2	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRERA, having
2 3 4 5	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm	2 3 4 5	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRERA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan.	2 3 4 5 6	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRERA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera,
2 3 4 5 6 7	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are	2 3 4 5 6 7	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRERA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRERA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the information that's been presented over a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired, and my concern is of safety. He is 15, and, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the information that's been presented over a number of meetings, I don't find that this development is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER ERA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired, and my concern is of safety. He is 15, and, you know, is learning to cross the streets, to be an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the information that's been presented over a number of meetings, I don't find that this development is compatible with the neighborhood, based on planning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER ERA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired, and my concern is of safety. He is 15, and, you know, is learning to cross the streets, to be an independent and productive member of the community.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the information that's been presented over a number of meetings, I don't find that this development is compatible with the neighborhood, based on planning rules. The site may be zoned R-3, but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER ERA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired, and my concern is of safety. He is 15, and, you know, is learning to cross the streets, to be an independent and productive member of the community. He's learning how to get to the light rail, and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the information that's been presented over a number of meetings, I don't find that this development is compatible with the neighborhood, based on planning rules. The site may be zoned R-3, but the residential properties directly adjacent to where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired, and my concern is of safety. He is 15, and, you know, is learning to cross the streets, to be an independent and productive member of the community. He's learning how to get to the light rail, and we would have to pass this property on our way there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the information that's been presented over a number of meetings, I don't find that this development is compatible with the neighborhood, based on planning rules. The site may be zoned R-3, but the residential properties directly adjacent to where the actual building will be constructed are not R-3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERREA RERA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired, and my concern is of safety. He is 15, and, you know, is learning to cross the streets, to be an independent and productive member of the community. He's learning how to get to the light rail, and we would have to pass this property on our way there. So my concern is that you're talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the information that's been presented over a number of meetings, I don't find that this development is compatible with the neighborhood, based on planning rules. The site may be zoned R-3, but the residential properties directly adjacent to where the actual building will be constructed are not R-3 zoned. The property zoning seems to be more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER RERA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired, and my concern is of safety. He is 15, and, you know, is learning to cross the streets, to be an independent and productive member of the community. He's learning how to get to the light rail, and we would have to pass this property on our way there. So my concern is that you're talking about two driveways next to each other that he has to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the information that's been presented over a number of meetings, I don't find that this development is compatible with the neighborhood, based on planning rules. The site may be zoned R-3, but the residential properties directly adjacent to where the actual building will be constructed are not R-3 zoned. The property zoning seems to be more compatible with the commercial silent down the cliff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired, and my concern is of safety. He is 15, and, you know, is learning to cross the streets, to be an independent and productive member of the community. He's learning how to get to the light rail, and we would have to pass this property on our way there. So my concern is that you're talking about two driveways next to each other that he has to navigate, in order to, let's say, get to the light
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the information that's been presented over a number of meetings, I don't find that this development is compatible with the neighborhood, based on planning rules. The site may be zoned R-3, but the residential properties directly adjacent to where the actual building will be constructed are not R-3 zoned. The property zoning seems to be more compatible with the commercial silent down the cliff to the east, which is an industrial recycling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired, and my concern is of safety. He is 15, and, you know, is learning to cross the streets, to be an independent and productive member of the community. He's learning how to get to the light rail, and we would have to pass this property on our way there. So my concern is that you're talking about two driveways next to each other that he has to navigate, in order to, let's say, get to the light rail. And the way that I'm visualizing it is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	speaking in front of us again. This is great. JEFFREY BUDNEY, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. BUDNEY: Jeffrey, with a J, Budney, B-U-D-N-E-Y, 140 Ogden Avenue, Apartment 1R, I'm across the hallway from Dan. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Mr. Budney, are you a member of RNA? MR. BUDNEY: I am not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. BUDNEY: Just, again, thank you for the time. I'm here tonight on behalf of myself, my wife, my five-year-old son, and my one-year-old daughter, who live at the address at 140 Ogden. I'll say, first, from reviewing all the information that's been presented over a number of meetings, I don't find that this development is compatible with the neighborhood, based on planning rules. The site may be zoned R-3, but the residential properties directly adjacent to where the actual building will be constructed are not R-3 zoned. The property zoning seems to be more compatible with the commercial silent down the cliff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We appreciate it. MONIQUE HERRER A, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. HERRERA: Monique Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A, and I live at 118 Ogden Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Herrera, good evening. Are you a member of RNA? MS. HERRERA: No, I am not. Thank you. I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Go ahead, we have three minutes for you. MS. HERRERA: Okay. I live on Ogden Avenue now for 20 years, and I live there with my hub and two children. My oldest is visually impaired, and my concern is of safety. He is 15, and, you know, is learning to cross the streets, to be an independent and productive member of the community. He's learning how to get to the light rail, and we would have to pass this property on our way there. So my concern is that you're talking about two driveways next to each other that he has to navigate, in order to, let's say, get to the light

	407	1	400
	197		199
1	basically, you know, you're having he's going to	1	light there, almost on a daily basis. The
2	have to cross sidewalks with no traffic control, as	2	intersection is also of a rather tricky nature, due
3	if it as he's crossing a two-way street, that's	3	to the fact that Ravine and Wood Place intersect
4	how I'm visualizing it. You know, you have two	4	Palisade Avenue at slightly different points, and it
5	parking lots side-by-side, that he has to, you know,	5	doesn't create your traditional four corner
6	cross where he isn't, really, even able to see,	6	crosswalk.
7	you know, the car that's closest to his right, but	7	I have concerns with the proposed
8	now he has to figure out the car that's coming on the	8	project on Ogden, since Ogden is a rather narrow road
9	other side of the driveway. So that's my concern, in	9	and a one-way street nature. Wood Place is a one-way
10	terms of safety.	10	street, and Ferry is also a one way going into Ogden.
11	Let me see what else?	11	So the narrow street, the large project, this is
12	I don't I don't even I don't feel	12	going to push a lot of traffic onto Palisades,
13	that that building belongs in that area. It's	13	potentially creating confusion for drivers. And with
14	just it's going to stand out. It's not you	14	the proximity to the rather tricky intersection of
15	know, it's not going to complement it. So it doesn't	15	Palisade Avenue and Wood Place, I would like the
16	belong there.	16	council to deny the application as is, as I don't
17	And, also, I'm concerned about my	17	believe that it's conforming to traffic safety, as
18	property. I know, in the years that we've been	18	well as the other testimony people have provided.
19	living there, I've seen you know, we've lost some	19	Thank you.
20	dirt in the back, whether it's rain, or snow, or	20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.
21	whatever is, you know, washing away some of the	21	We appreciate it.
22	you know, I know that I've seen it, and I know that,	22	JENNIFER SEGAL, having been
23	you know, it's been happening throughout the years.	23	duly sworn, testified as follows:
24 25	So I'm not sure what, you know, the impact of having	24 25	MS. SEGAL: Jennifer Segal, S-E-G-A-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And your address,
23	this type of construction is going to have on my PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE	23	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
	198		200
1		1	
1 2	property also.	1 2	Ms. Segal?
2	property also. Thank you.	2	Ms. Segal? MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue.
	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.	2	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member
2 3 4	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time.	2 3 4	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA?
3	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having	2	Ms. Segal? MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not.
2 3 4 5	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time.	2 3 4 5	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? Ms. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have
2 3 4 5 6	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6	Ms. Segal? MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not.
2 3 4 5 6 7	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? Ms. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? Ms. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. Ms. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? Ms. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. Ms. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? Ms. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. Ms. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? Ms. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. Ms. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? Ms. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. Ms. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? Ms. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. Ms. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	property also. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ms. Segal? Ms. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? Ms. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. Ms. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You have three minutes. MS. WALKER: Mm-hmm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. MS. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff without that retaining wall. So I ask the council to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You have three minutes. MS. WALKER: Mm-hmm. I just want to say I agree with prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. MS. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff without that retaining wall. So I ask the council to please deny this application as is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You have three minutes. MS. WALKER: Mm-hmm. I just want to say I agree with prior statements made by members of the public regarding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. MS. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff without that retaining wall. So I ask the council to please deny this application as is. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You have three minutes. MS. WALKER: Mm-hmm. I just want to say I agree with prior statements made by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. MS. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff without that retaining wall. So I ask the council to please deny this application as is. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You have three minutes. MS. WALKER: Mm-hmm. I just want to say I agree with prior statements made by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. I'd also like to add some additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. MS. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff without that retaining wall. So I ask the council to please deny this application as is. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. ALICIA RODGERS, having been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You have three minutes. MS. WALKER: Mm-hmm. I just want to say I agree with prior statements made by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. I'd also like to add some additional concerns around traffic safety. My building, being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. MS. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff without that retaining wall. So I ask the council to please deny this application as is. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. ALICIA RODGERS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. C O U R T N E Y W A L K E R, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You have three minutes. MS. WALKER: Mm-hmm. I just want to say I agree with prior statements made by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. I'd also like to add some additional concerns around traffic safety. My building, being right by the traffic light on Palisade Avenue, Wood	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. MS. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff without that retaining wall. So I ask the council to please deny this application as is. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. A L I C I A R O D G E R S, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. RODGERS: Alicia, A-L-I-C-I-A,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. C O U R T N E Y W A L K E R, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You have three minutes. MS. WALKER: Mm-hmm. I just want to say I agree with prior statements made by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. I'd also like to add some additional concerns around traffic safety. My building, being right by the traffic light on Palisade Avenue, Wood Place, and Ravine there, that particular intersection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. MS. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff without that retaining wall. So I ask the council to please deny this application as is. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. A L I C I A R O D G E R S, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. RODGERS: Alicia, A-L-I-C-I-A, Rodgers, R-O-D-G-E-R-S, I live at 11 Jefferson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. COURTNEY WALKER, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You have three minutes. MS. WALKER: Mm-hmm. I just want to say I agree with prior statements made by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. I'd also like to add some additional concerns around traffic safety. My building, being right by the traffic light on Palisade Avenue, Wood Place, and Ravine there, that particular intersection is rather busy, and I've seen many drivers run a red	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. MS. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff without that retaining wall. So I ask the council to please deny this application as is. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. A L I C I A R O D G E R S, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. RODGERS: Alicia, A-L-I-C-I-A, Rodgers, R-O-D-G-E-R-S, I live at 11 Jefferson Avenue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. C O U R T N E Y W A L K E R, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WALKER: My name is Courtney Walker, C-O-U-R-T-N-E-Y, last name Walker. I'm a resident of 310 Palisade Avenue, Jersey City. It's right around the corner from 152 Ogden, so at the intersection of Ravine, and Palisade Avenue, and Wood Place as well. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So before you start your testimony, are you a member of RNA? MS. WALKER: No. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You have three minutes. MS. WALKER: Mm-hmm. I just want to say I agree with prior statements made by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. I'd also like to add some additional concerns around traffic safety. My building, being right by the traffic light on Palisade Avenue, Wood Place, and Ravine there, that particular intersection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. SEGAL: 310 Palisade Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And are you a member of RNA? MS. SEGAL: No, I'm not. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We have three minutes for you. MS. SEGAL: So as I said, I live at 310 Palisade Avenue; it's right around the corner from 152 Ogden. I agree with the prior statements by members of the public regarding safety concerns and neighborhood fit. The proposed building is wildly out of character with the current neighborhood as is, it creates a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood, both in terms of the large build, the eight-floor building, and being so close to the cliff without that retaining wall. So I ask the council to please deny this application as is. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. A L I C I A R O D G E R S, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. RODGERS: Alicia, A-L-I-C-I-A, Rodgers, R-O-D-G-E-R-S, I live at 11 Jefferson

	201		203
1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Ms. Rodgers,	1	can I speak now?
2	before you start, you are a member of RNA or not?	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure, go ahead.
3	MS. RODGERS: No.	3	MS. WANG: Okay. So thank you, the
4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And just for	4	board, and it's really an honor here to be here at
5	everyone's notice, it's 10:28 currently, we do have a	5	the planning board meeting.
6	hard stop at 10:30 tonight. We still have closing	6	And I really want to point out one
7	statements from both attorneys. So I don't see a	7	perspective which I think hasn't been brought up yet,
8	decision being made tonight, but I just want to put	8	which is the future residents of this proposed
9	it out there.	9	building. So my partner and I have moved into
_			
10	Okay. You have three minutes.	10	Downtown Jersey City, and we're looking to move, as
11	MS. RODGERS: While I don't live on	11	our lease are coming up in six months for renewal,
12	Ogden, I walk pass this property daily. I live a	12	and the Heights is a place that we are really
13	quarter mile away, just off Palisades, where there's	13	considering to live. And right now, hearing today
14	a proposal for a 10-story building. I know that a	14	about the proposed project, especially seeing the
15	building of this height will set precedence for	15	architecture plan, we're really worrying about, if I
16	future builds in our neighborhood.	16	hadn't been here, hadn't been politically connected
17	Slide number one of the architect's deck	17	and engaged with the zoning board meeting that led to
18	for this property on Ogden does not reflect what the	18	this planning board, I would not have known the
19	actual street and the buildings on the adjacent lots	19	safety concerns that had been posed today; that is
20	look like. It is being proposed as if it's in a	20	not shown in the architecture plan, and probably will
21	well-spaced industrial area; in reality, this	21	not be shown in the future advertisements going out
22	neighborhood has small one to three-story homes. The	22	for renters or condominiums for potential home
23	buildings on either side, while anomalies for the	23	buyers.
24	neighborhood in mass, they're still only about half	24	So as a potential future resident of the
25	the height of the proposed build. This building will	25	area and a current resident for Jersey City, I really
	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE	-0	PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE
	(908) 642-4299		(908) 642-4299
			2017
4	202	4	204
1	tower over and disrupt community life in this	1	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a
2	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to	2	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents
2	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking.	2	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up,
3 4	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind	3 4	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like,
2 3 4 5	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and	2 3 4 5	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they
2 3 4 5 6	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly	2 3 4 5 6	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be
2 3 4 5 6 7	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living	2 3 4 5 6 7	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and
2 3 4 5 6 7	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the	2 3 4 5 6 7	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. S O F I A W A N G, having been duly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. S O F I A W A N G, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. S O F I A W A N G, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WANG: My name is Sofia Wang,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. SOFIA WANG, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WANG: My name is Sofia Wang, W-A-N-G, and I live at 25 Christopher Columbus Avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. SOFIA WANG, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WANG: My name is Sofia Wang, W-A-N-G, and I live at 25 Christopher Columbus Avenue in Downtown Jersey City. I'm testifying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. So, obviously, we're going to continue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. SOFIA WANG, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WANG: My name is Sofia Wang, W-A-N-G, and I live at 25 Christopher Columbus Avenue in Downtown Jersey City. I'm testifying CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Ms. Wang, before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. So, obviously, we're going to continue on with public comment at the next meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. SOFIA WANG, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WANG: My name is Sofia Wang, W-A-N-G, and I live at 25 Christopher Columbus Avenue in Downtown Jersey City. I'm testifying CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Ms. Wang, before you start, obviously, you're not a member of the RNA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. So, obviously, we're going to continue on with public comment at the next meeting. Ms. Hadjiyannis, you'll have a closing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. SOFIA WANG, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WANG: My name is Sofia Wang, W-A-N-G, and I live at 25 Christopher Columbus Avenue in Downtown Jersey City. I'm testifying CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Ms. Wang, before you start, obviously, you're not a member of the RNA being on Christopher Columbus.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. So, obviously, we're going to continue on with public comment at the next meeting. Ms. Hadjiyannis, you'll have a closing statement. Mr. Harrington, you'll have a closing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. SOFIA WANG, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WANG: My name is Sofia Wang, W-A-N-G, and I live at 25 Christopher Columbus Avenue in Downtown Jersey City. I'm testifying CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Ms. Wang, before you start, obviously, you're not a member of the RNA being on Christopher Columbus. MS. WANG: I'm not a member of the RNA;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. So, obviously, we're going to continue on with public comment at the next meeting. Ms. Hadjiyannis, you'll have a closing statement. Mr. Harrington, you'll have a closing statement. And we'll hear from planning staff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. SOFIA WANG, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WANG: My name is Sofia Wang, W-A-N-G, and I live at 25 Christopher Columbus Avenue in Downtown Jersey City. I'm testifying CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Ms. Wang, before you start, obviously, you're not a member of the RNA being on Christopher Columbus. MS. WANG: I'm not a member of the RNA; I don't live in the area.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. So, obviously, we're going to continue on with public comment at the next meeting. Ms. Hadjiyannis, you'll have a closing statement. Mr. Harrington, you'll have a closing statement. And we'll hear from planning staff. So I'll entertain a motion to carry to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	tower over and disrupt community life in this neighborhood as we know it in regards to construction, sunlight, traffic, and parking. I can't even begin to wrap my mind around the construction phase. Where the trucks and flat beds going to park? Already, the weekly Coca-Cola truck delivering to the assisted living building down the street creates a giant mortgage traffic jam. As a resident of the Heights, I ask the board and the city to really consider the impact of this traffic to the larger Heights community. Thank you. SOFIA WANG, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WANG: My name is Sofia Wang, W-A-N-G, and I live at 25 Christopher Columbus Avenue in Downtown Jersey City. I'm testifying CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And Ms. Wang, before you start, obviously, you're not a member of the RNA being on Christopher Columbus. MS. WANG: I'm not a member of the RNA; I don't live in the area. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And we are at 10:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ask the board to consider whether or not this is a really good safety safe place for future residents to live. I haven't seen this perspective brought up, and I think, like, it's very common that, like, future residents that haven't been in the area, they probably don't know about it, but I happened to be there and I want to bring this perspective out. I'm really concerned of the safety, and to be frank, I probably would have chosen to live there if I had not heard of the meeting, but given what had been discussed today, I would never live in this building after its proposed construction, because of the safety concerns. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your time. So, obviously, we're going to continue on with public comment at the next meeting. Ms. Hadjiyannis, you'll have a closing statement. Mr. Harrington, you'll have a closing statement. And we'll hear from planning staff. So I'll entertain a motion to carry to a date certain February 6th, please.

(908) 642-4299

(908) 642-4299

205 motion to carry case P2023-0040 (sic) to a date 2 certain of February 6th. 3 COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Second. 4 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a 5 motion made and seconded to carry. Can we have a 6 roll call, please? 7 MR. WARD: Vice Chair Dr. Gonzalez? 8 VICE CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Aye. 9 MR. WARD: Commissioner Gangadin? 10 COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Aye. 11 MR. WARD: Commissioner Torres? 12 COMMISSIONER TORRES: Aye. 13 MR. WARD: Commissioner Cruz? 14 COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Aye. 15 MR. WARD: Chairman Langston? 16 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Aye. MR. WARD: Motion carries on a motion to 17 18 carry to the next meeting. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 19 20 10:33 p.m.) 21 22 23 24 25 PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE (908) 642-4299 206 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 4 5 I, Michael Lombardozzi, a Notary Public 6 and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New 7 Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a 8 true and accurate transcript of the testimony as 9 taken stenographically by and before me at the time, 10 place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I do further certify that I am neither a 11 relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any 12 13 of the parties to this action, and that I am neither 14 a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel 15 and that I am not financially interested in this 16 action. 17 18 19 Michael Lombardozzi. Certified Court Reporter, State of New Jersey 20 CERT #: 30X100239700 Date: 26 Jan 2024 21 22 23 24

•	10.49 [2] - 30:7, 39:20	16 [2] - 59:9, 68:8	17:6	48-inch-high [1] - 72:1
	10.63 [3] - 29:24,	160 [1] - 3:21	28 [4] - 4:18, 5:8,	492 [2] - 60:14, 63:21
'24 [1] - 5:5	39:14, 39:20	169 [1] - 4:21	57:21, 61:9	- 13 ,
'80s [3] - 173:1, 173:9,	100 [13] - 40:17, 50:1,	172 [1] - 4:23	28th [5] - 5:11, 5:17,	5
173:23	61:6, 61:20, 63:18,	176 [1] - 3:22	149:3, 160:23, 170:3	3
				5 [7] - 27:22, 28:5,
'90s [4] - 173:3, 173:6,	63:20, 66:17, 81:15,	179 [1] - 3:24	29 [1] - 26:22	37:14, 41:22, 47:11,
173:24, 188:11	81:16, 82:2, 131:1,	17th [1] - 15:3	29-story [2] - 52:17,	
	165:10, 193:12	18 [4] - 64:6, 70:22,	75:16	66:21, 68:10
0	100-year [1] - 129:1	72:23, 73:20		5,000 [1] - 110:18
	100-year-old [1] -	1800s [1] - 186:11	3	5,000-square-foot [1]
0-foot [1] - 66:19	62:14	184 [1] - 4:3		- 159:8
0.9 [2] - 58:23, 60:8	10:28 [1] - 201:5	1860 [1] - 172:22	3 [1] - 61:9	5-foot [1] - 65:3
01/24/24 [1] - 4:15	10:30 [5] - 163:23,	188 [1] - 4:4	3,415 [1] - 66:25	5-inch [1] - 64:3
	164:3, 164:10,	189 [1] - 4:5	3,416 [1] - 69:10	50 [3] - 130:25,
1	201:6, 202:23	• •	3,550 [1] - 68:25	180:11, 181:1
•	10:33 [1] - 205:20	191 [1] - 4:6	3-and-a-half-story [1]	5103 [1] - 1:5
1 [6] - 11:19, 30:7,		1910 [1] - 172:22	- 61:3	52 [1] - 3:12
30:25, 59:8, 74:18	11 [10] - 4:16, 4:21,	1920 [1] - 172:22		
	28:4, 28:8, 31:13,	194 [1] - 4:7	3-foot-wide [1] - 64:24	55 [1] - 3:13
1,000 [2] - 131:1,	36:2, 43:19, 55:13,	196 [1] - 4:8	30 [4] - 14:13, 16:6,	56 [1] - 3:15
180:12	169:21, 200:24	198 [1] - 4:9	75:4, 165:8	57 [1] - 4:18
1,061-square-foot [2]	116 [1] - 171:25	1980s [1] - 180:13	30-foot [4] - 14:16,	5:43 [1] - 1:8
- 59:14, 71:21	117 [1] - 4:19	1983 [1] - 185:1	15:5, 15:13, 17:7	
1,214 [2] - 59:17, 72:7	118 [1] - 196:7	199 [1] - 4:10	308 [1] - 71:21	6
1,245 [1] - 59:5	11th [1] - 99:13	1990 [1] - 88:22	308-square-foot [1] -	
1,246 [2] - 69:2, 70:13	12 [13] - 36:3, 41:4,	• •	59:13	6 [6] - 4:15, 41:4,
1,320 [2] - 70:10,	59:4, 63:12, 125:16,	1R [1] - 194:5	30X100239700 [1] -	70:22, 70:23, 72:23,
70:17	127:7, 166:14,		206:20	73:21
1,321 [1] - 68:20	166:17, 167:2,	2	310 [3] - 198:9, 200:2,	6,000 [1] - 119:8
1,500 [2] - 29:1, 40:20				6-foot-high [3] -
	177:19, 177:22,	2 [8] - 11:8, 43:1,	200:8	•
1,520 [1] - 71:10	192:16	127:10, 128:25,	32 [2] - 136:24, 137:14	65:20, 67:10, 74:7
1,520-square-foot [1]	12-foot [1] - 63:13	130:19, 130:20,	34 [1] - 3:10	60 [1] - 49:25
- 71:17	12/18/23 [1] - 57:24	137:10, 137:11	345 [1] - 108:11	63 [1] - 72:21
1,558 [2] - 69:2, 70:14	131 [1] - 58:25	2-foot [1] - 20:10	345-62 [2] - 185:19,	642-4299 [1] - 1:25
1,618 [2] - 70:10,	135 [1] - 70:12	20 [3] - 61:11, 166:1,	186:10	650 [1] - 79:6
70:18	135-square-foot [1] -	196:15	345-74 [4] - 104:3,	680 [1] - 71:9
1,619 [2] - 59:5, 68:21	70:19	200 [1] - 4:11	104:9, 104:15, 106:9	683 [1] - 59:3
1.1 [1] - 39:21	14 [14] - 3:5, 58:12,	200-foot [1] - 60:12	347 [1] - 151:22	6th [2] - 204:23, 205:2
1.5 [3] - 30:4, 40:19,	59:1, 59:6, 65:10,		347-74.1.C.2 [1] -	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
53:23		2013 [1] - 88:25	151:23	7
10 [16] - 1:5, 9:19,	65:18, 69:6, 77:6,	2014 [1] - 172:20		'
	166:15, 167:2,	202 [1] - 4:12	35 [5] - 71:12, 134:21,	7 _[2] - 68:4, 68:7
11:19, 19:25, 30:22,	177:14, 177:15,	2020 [2] - 158:2, 158:9	134:23, 174:3,	
31:1, 62:1, 66:15,	177:17	2022 [1] - 158:18	180:10	7,000 [2] - 82:3, 82:4
97:22, 101:8,	140 [7] - 60:25, 61:3,	2023 [7] - 5:9, 5:17,	38 [2] - 59:18, 72:9	7,680 [1] - 118:24
101:15, 101:22,	63:7, 70:3, 191:22,	11:19, 11:23, 15:3,	39,484 [1] - 58:22	7-foot [1] - 68:5
101:25, 128:25,	194:5, 194:15	17:6, 26:22		7.1 [1] - 71:11
163:22, 164:1	145 [1] - 3:19	2024 [3] - 1:7, 5:18,	4	7.4 [1] - 71:17
10,000 [1] - 110:15	148 [1] - 3:6	206:20	•	70 [3] - 50:1, 63:17,
10,000-square-foot	15 [5] - 27:20, 28:6,	206 .20 206 [1] - 189:19	4 [7] - 4:23, 41:22,	82:2
[1] - 120:4	79:7, 131:8, 196:17		66:15, 72:22,	70-foot [1] - 60:9
10,519 [6] - 119:18,	15,000 [1] - 29:2	22 [1] - 3:4	137:10, 165:15,	74 [1] - 188:5
119:20, 119:21,	,	22-foot [1] - 64:3	166:12	79 [1] - 3:16
120:3, 121:14, 124:4	15-story [1] - 52:13	23 [3] - 1:7, 3:5, 70:23	4.1 [3] - 14:23, 17:14,	• •
	151 [1] - 3:7	24 [1] - 84:3		7:26 [1] - 86:12
10-foot [3] - 10:5,	152 [12] - 1:5, 5:3,	240 [1] - 177:23	17:15	7:27 [1] - 86:13
19:21, 20:11	61:10, 62:12, 63:24,	242 [2] - 173:15,	40 [1] - 40:18	7:37 [1] - 86:14
10-foot-wide [6] -	70:3, 76:8, 85:12,	174:15	41 [1] - 61:25	
61:5, 64:16, 65:9,	167:12, 180:5,	25 [1] - 202:16	43 [1] - 69:7	8
66:11, 67:8	198:10, 200:10	26 [3] - 3:9, 60:21,	43-square-foot [1] -	
10-minute [2] - 86:13,	154 [7] - 80:12, 80:13,	206:20	70:15	8 [5] - 28:3, 28:8,
97:18	84:9, 84:17, 84:21,		45 [1] - 186:11	31:11, 55:13, 61:25
10-story [1] - 201:14	171:22, 171:25	27 [3] - 11:18, 11:23,	46 [1] - 136:22	8-foot [1] - 20:12
1	,20			.,

8.6 [1] - 66:23 **8.7** [2] - 69:10, 70:14 80 [1] - 36:10 800 [1] - 59:4 800-square-foot [1] -71:16 **85** [6] - 3:15, 58:10, 58:20, 84:5, 180:24 85-foot-high [1] -134:12 **88** [1] - 3:18

9

9 [4] - 3:4, 28:3, 31:11, 68:24 **9.0** [1] - 70:10 9.coverage [1] - 70:18 908 [1] - 1:25 98 [1] - 62:1 9:48[1] - 164:2 9th [2] - 5:5, 5:18

Α

A-1 [2] - 6:11, 11:4 a-6 [1] - 4:15 **A-6** [4] - 6:13, 11:2, 11:4, 11:7 **A-7** [4] - 4:16, 11:8, 11:9, 11:25 **A-8** [2] - 57:11, 57:15 a-8 [1] - 4:17 A.I.C.P [3] - 2:2, 2:4, 2:6 ability [2] - 77:25, 130:24 able [9] - 21:16, 44:8, 45:9, 96:21, 171:10, 173:21, 181:7, 186:20, 197:6 absence [2] - 127:1, 127:4 absolutely [10] -76:16, 97:2, 97:6, 98:21, 115:18, 126:20, 163:8, 167:11, 168:25, 190:15 abundance [1] - 6:9 abutting [2] - 9:20, 17:18 accents [1] - 74:5 accept [2] - 15:10, 98:12 acceptable [6] -14:25, 16:18, 23:5, 24:5, 24:25, 108:2 accepted [6] - 37:24,

37:25, 38:1, 142:2,

144:20 access [4] - 9:5, 10:8, 96:8, 100:23 accomplished [1] -32:10 accordance [3] -127:5, 142:9, 153:1 according [1] - 110:4 account [1] - 36:9 accuracy [2] - 143:15, 166:19 accurate [6] - 23:6, 113:16, 121:5, 127:3, 139:6, 206:8 achievable [1] - 75:20 acknowledged [1] -14:21 ACM [6] - 67:22, 69:24, 72:17, 72:23, 73:6, 74:4 acre [4] - 110:5, 110:6, 110:15, 110:17 acres [2] - 58:23, 60:8 action [3] - 48:22, 206:13, 206:16 activities [1] - 20:4 activity [1] - 44:5 actual [18] - 17:24, 19:15, 19:23, 20:8, 50:6, 58:13, 61:14, 62:5, 67:2, 107:1, 107:23, 114:15, 127:20, 165:21, 166:16, 194:22, 201:19 actuality [1] - 126:25 **ADA** [5] - 64:17, 65:15, 77:4, 77:7, 77:8 adamantly [1] - 153:4 add [9] - 22:1, 54:9, 118:12, 118:16, 119:5, 120:23, 189:5, 195:8, 198:21 added [9] - 95:17, 105:12, 114:6, 114:9, 114:13, 115:9, 115:10, 115:11, 117:17 adding [3] - 26:18, 39:18, 48:18 addition [5] - 10:19, 26:17, 126:9, 126:20, 146:4 additional [7] - 8:5, 27:16, 31:4, 61:15, 63:20, 66:15, 198:21 address [11] - 25:17,

27:10, 32:11, 33:13,

163:7, 165:1,

165:23, 188:5, 191:22, 194:15, 199:25 addressed [4] - 9:8, 166:5, 166:9, 177:8 adequate [2] - 131:22, 132:8 adhered [1] - 185:18 adjacent [11] - 10:1, 17:21, 47:2, 47:6, 65:24, 66:1, 80:5, 82:13, 194:21, 195:10, 201:19 adjourned [1] - 6:25 adjournments [1] -157:7 adjudicated [1] - 87:8 Adjustment [1] -89.17 adjustment [1] -187:18 adjustments [2] -16:22, 21:9 Administration [1] -38:19 admit [1] - 92:5 admitted [1] - 186:17 adopted [3] - 110:14, 157:23, 158:22 advance [5] - 91:22, 92:12, 92:16, 101:5, 101:15 adversary [1] - 101:18 advertisements [1] -203:21 advise [2] - 32:20, 163:21 advocacy [1] - 175:21 aerials [1] - 57:9 aesthetic [1] - 193:17 aesthetically [1] - 85:6 affect [2] - 35:13, 48:18 affecting [1] - 35:15 affidavit [2] - 5:23, 6:6 afternoon [1] - 93:11 agenda [2] - 86:19, 157:13 agent [2] - 152:4, 177:7 ages [1] - 46:21 aggregate [1] - 124:25 ago [8] - 61:20, 80:23, 101:21, 101:23, 158:11, 162:3, 167:1 agree [9] - 91:8, 102:17, 119:3, 120:2, 139:3, 154:20, 176:21, 198:18, 200:10

agreed [4] - 7:7, 25:3, 76:20, 129:3 agreeing [1] - 178:16 ahead [11] - 50:21, 76:17, 79:21, 108:7, 119:13, 173:14, 179:18, 185:13, 192:6, 196:12, 203:2 air [1] - 181:2 aisle [5] - 9:25, 10:4, 10:6, 64:4, 66:8 **ALAMPI** [109] - 1:14, 5:22, 6:5, 11:3, 11:11, 11:22, 11:25, 13:17, 17:3, 24:22, 45:11, 45:15, 45:19, 90:21, 91:3, 91:10, 91:16, 92:7, 92:17, 93:14, 93:23, 94:22, 95:1, 95:3, 95:6, 95:11, 95:14, 96:24, 98:5, 101:7, 101:12, 103:14, 103:22, 104:8, 104:14, 105:9, 105:19, 105:24, 106:3. 106:6, 106:18, 107:9, 107:12, 108:5, 113:11, 113:14, 113:19, 113:22, 114:3, 114:5, 114:15, 114:18, 114:23, 115:5, 115:10, 115:12, 115:15, 115:18, 115:22, 116:24, 117:6, 120:11, 120:13, 120:16, 121:4, 121:11, 121:17, 121:21, 122:6, 122:11, 122:17, 123:3, 136:2, 136:4, 136:18, 137:3, 137:8, 137:15, 137:19, 139:16, 141:6, 143:3, 143:5, 143:15, 143:19, 143:22, 144:2, 144:9, 144:18, 147:11, 148:1, 148:6, 148:14, 157:3, 157:11, 164:1, 169:18, 169:21, 169:25, 170:4, 171:25, 172:14, 172:21, 173:13, 178:12, 182:6, 182:11, 191:1, 191:18

Alicia [2] - 4:11, 200:23 ALICIA [1] - 200:23 aligning [2] - 9:22, 17:16 allow [7] - 14:22, 50:19. 97:4. 175:7. 182:3, 191:11, 191:13 allowable [1] - 41:2 allowed [3] - 121:18, 126:7, 162:24 allows [2] - 81:12, 82:12 almost [2] - 141:11, 199:1 alone [1] - 84:16 alter [2] - 155:20, 155:25 altered [4] - 105:18, 105:22, 106:23, 117:1 **alternates** [1] - 69:16 alternative [1] - 142:4 alternatives [2] -15:20, 15:22 aluminum [7] - 67:24, 69:25, 72:15, 72:16, 73:1, 74:4, 74:5 amenity [5] - 59:13, 69:19, 71:20, 72:3, 76:23 amount [2] - 59:16, 132:6 analysis [24] - 18:21, 19:14, 26:17, 29:5, 31:15, 31:16, 36:23, 37:23, 38:12, 38:14, 38:22, 44:21, 47:13, 53:18, 93:22, 95:18, 123:15, 138:9, 141:14, 146:19, 146:22, 159:1, 166:16, 177:16 Analysis [4] - 4:16, 11:18, 137:8, 137:22 analyze [1] - 97:1 analyzed [1] - 138:11 annotations [1] - 4:19 announce [1] - 97:20 announced [1] - 97:18 annually [1] - 78:19 anomalies [1] -201:23 answer [8] - 13:10, 18:10, 21:17, 45:10, 45:16, 101:15, 122:13, 146:18 Anthony [2] - 3:14, 56:11

anticipation [1] -92:20 anytime [1] - 192:13 anyway [1] - 127:8 apart [2] - 35:10, 135:2 **Apartment** [1] - 194:5 apologies [1] - 171:1 apologize [8] - 86:13, 106:15, 129:23, 132:20, 164:4, 179:16, 182:8, 187:20 appeal [2] - 87:9, 187:17 appear [3] - 6:2, 6:10, 190:24 appendices [1] -146:25 apples [1] - 44:13 Applicant [1] - 1:16 applicant [17] - 5:15, 90:9, 90:12, 92:13, 93:15, 99:15, 101:11, 102:7, 108:13, 110:19, 121:24, 122:18, 124:18, 131:7, 131:16, 146:24, 147:18 Applicant's [1] - 4:19 applicant's [12] - 90:2, 93:13, 94:3, 97:4, 99:12, 108:16, 112:23, 125:3, 130:16, 136:13, 138:20, 140:16 applicants [2] - 154:2, 166:22 application [29] -6:10, 59:2, 59:20, 83:19, 86:16, 87:7, 97:4, 99:16, 101:18, 108:14, 108:24, 109:7, 130:2, 131:6, 132:22, 141:14, 141:17, 141:20, 152:12, 157:5, 157:6, 158:14, 178:18, 181:15, 189:11, 190:21, 193:16, 199:16, 200:18 applications [5] -108:23, 124:13, 131:25, 158:4, 163:22 applied [6] - 30:3, 30:4, 30:23, 30:24, 42:21, 43:19

apply [3] - 30:19, 185:22 applying [4] - 29:18, 40:19, 43:4, 44:1 appreciably [1] -165:15 appreciate [12] -108:9, 156:9, 167:13, 179:4, 189:15, 193:22, 196:3, 196:11, 198:4, 199:21, 204:16 approach [6] - 51:1, 53:16, 64:1, 71:19, 83:6, 109:8 approaches [1] - 90:7 appropriate [5] -55:15, 147:9, 159:22, 188:24, 195:1 approval [1] - 177:9 approved [3] - 83:16, 142:6, 142:8 approximation [1] -21:5 **April** [1] - 127:13 apron [9] - 10:9, 59:21, 60:22, 61:16, 63:7, 64:25, 65:9, 65:23, 67:1 Arches [1] - 75:17 architect [7] - 8:9, 53:11, 56:7, 118:8, 125:10, 129:2, 185:17 architect's [1] -201:17 Architectural [1] -4:17 architectural [2] -56:13, 165:20 architecture [4] - 58:8, 69:4, 203:15, 203:20 Architecture [1] -56:13 area [32] - 27:17, 48:17, 52:12, 53:24, 58:23, 58:24, 59:19, 60:17, 63:19, 69:20, 70:11, 70:19, 71:12, 71:24, 71:25, 72:6, 72:10, 75:4, 116:9, 126:25, 139:22, 139:24, 141:8, 141:9, 146:2, 159:16, 159:19, 197:13, 201:21,

202:22, 203:25,

204:5

areas [7] - 12:13, 21:3, 32:7. 55:22. 71:23. 131:23, 154:13 argument [1] - 139:25 arise [1] - 193:7 Army [1] - 89:20 arrive [1] - 21:5 **arrow** [1] - 42:6 articulate [2] - 108:1, 108:3 as-of-right [2] - 58:17, 87:7 asphalt [5] - 60:21, 81:16, 83:21, 86:5, 125:15 assigned [2] - 77:2, 77:11 assisted [1] - 202:7 assists [1] - 71:6 associated [8] -109:11, 124:4, 124:17, 128:6, 130:15, 135:5, 140:15, 142:12 association [2] -182:20, 182:21 13:25, 161:12, 164:17, 179:7, 180:2, 188:14 Association's [1] -87:23 associations [1] -188:13 assume [5] - 29:20, 36:23, 37:5, 37:20, 105:25 assumed [3] - 37:3, 133:23, 138:17 assuming [4] - 31:4, 31:6, 37:5, 40:2 assumption [4] -

ASSOCIATES [1] - 1:4 **Association** [7] - 1:18, 37:19, 39:1, 40:9, 40:11 at-grade-level [1] -65:16 attempt [1] - 93:1 attempted [1] - 180:14 attendance [2] - 24:4, 193:12 attention [1] - 107:16 Attorney [1] - 1:14 attorney [6] - 97:4, 186:16, 187:2, 187:4, 206:12, 206:14 attorneys [2] - 157:7, 201:7 Attorneys [2] - 1:16,

1:18 audio [1] - 66:2 Authority [1] - 37:24 authorized [2] - 152:1, 152:3 available [3] - 29:22, 31:1. 39:15 Avenue [42] - 1:5, 5:4, 9:11, 10:3, 18:5, 27:24, 31:14, 52:13, 60:10, 61:10, 63:18, 63:24, 64:12, 65:5, 70:3. 72:12. 72:14. 74:6, 76:8, 177:23, 180:9, 181:4, 181:9, 184:15, 184:23, 189:20, 191:23, 194:5, 195:2, 195:4, 196:7, 196:15, 198:9. 198:11. 198:23, 199:4, 199:15, 200:2, 200:9, 200:25, 202:16 average [2] - 30:1, 40:14 averaged [1] - 29:3 aware [7] - 80:25, 81:6, 108:19, 152:10, 177:6, 185:3, 187:15 axle [1] - 131:8 aye [6] - 66:5, 205:8, 205:10, 205:12, 205:14, 205:16

В

B-3[1] - 139:2 B-L-I-D-N-E-R [1] -191:22 bachelor [1] - 88:22 back-and-forth [1] -147:14 backed [1] - 175:1 backside [1] - 81:2 backyard [1] - 50:13 backyards [1] -162:15 basalt [1] - 145:8 base [1] - 186:25 based [21] - 31:15, 38:17, 58:23, 90:25, 94:10, 107:14, 118:22, 141:13, 141:16, 145:22, 146:8, 149:13, 152:2, 159:10, 159:17, 166:17, 167:1, 187:6, 187:8,

193:2. 194:19 basement [4] - 19:16, 50:8, 50:13, 55:16 basin [2] - 154:22, 155:23 basis [5] - 53:23, 77:10, 120:9, 187:6, 199:1 bath [1] - 69:3 **bay** [2] - 73:21, 78:22 bays [3] - 66:10, 67:15, 73:24 bearing [12] - 19:5, 25:18, 28:20, 28:25, 40:23, 41:2, 41:5, 54:4, 54:6, 54:20, 130:6, 130:9 become [1] - 35:10 becomes [1] - 49:25 bedrock [28] - 7:22, 7:23, 19:8, 25:18, 27:9, 28:12, 28:21, 41:24, 54:17, 54:18, 55:18, 82:18, 127:21, 130:7, 130:10, 133:12, 133:18, 133:20, 133:23, 134:5, 134:16, 134:20, 138:18, 138:22, 139:20, 140:6, 155:21 bedrocks [1] - 31:10 bedroom [4] - 68:19, 68:21, 71:16, 71:17 bedroom/two [1] -69:3 bedrooms [6] - 59:3,

59:5, 69:2, 70:10, 70:13, 70:17 beds [1] - 202:6 beforehand [2] -100:24, 101:20 begin [1] - 202:4 beginning [2] - 57:10, 164:21 begins [1] - 74:13 behalf [11] - 5:15, 13:25, 33:11, 87:22, 87:25, 91:23, 156:19, 179:25, 180:2, 182:21, 194:13 behave [1] - 39:3 behind [8] - 62:19,

67:1, 72:3, 76:23,

167:24, 171:7,

173:15, 174:15

belief [1] - 18:14

beige [2] - 12:9, 70:2

belong [1] - 197:16 belongs [1] - 197:13 below [17] - 12:14, 32:7, 35:19, 43:4, 43:19, 44:24, 46:6, 48:23, 48:24, 54:4, 68:5, 68:13, 71:15, 124:24, 134:15, 135:25, 150:7 below-ground [2] -12:14, 32:7 benefit [1] - 52:5 Bergen [3] - 75:17, 140:18, 145:5 best [4] - 15:23, 22:22, 125:13, 141:3 better [6] - 72:8, 72:9, 73:13, 112:2, 114:20, 176:23 between [24] - 10:5, 12:6, 12:19, 14:24, 18:7, 20:11, 28:8, 32:17, 37:21, 41:23, 58:1, 63:6, 75:14, 82:8, 82:11, 86:16, 108:20, 108:22, 110:9, 111:11, 133:18, 140:22, 152:22, 173:16 beyond [3] - 8:4, 61:14, 116:5 biassing [1] - 123:21 bicycle [3] - 59:9, 59:10, 68:8 big [4] - 91:13, 108:21, 128:5, 192:8 bills [1] - 180:3 bind [1] - 150:16 bit [16] - 17:25, 18:6, 35:14, 52:6, 60:3, 71:1, 86:2, 86:4, 90:18, 99:25, 110:9, 112:25, 119:7, 120:23, 128:4, 175:1 **BLACK** [1] - 2:4 Blidner [3] - 4:6, 191:21, 192:1 BLIDNER [5] - 191:21, 192:4, 192:8, 192:14, 193:23 block [3] - 137:20, 156:15, 181:1 Block [1] - 1:5 blocked [2] - 175:6, 175:8 blocks [1] - 52:17 **blow** [1] - 103:7 **blue** [5] - 80:8, 80:10, 114:9, 114:23, 115:2

blurry [1] - 120:19 BMP [5] - 127:6, 127:9, 127:14, 142:2, 142:10 **BOARD** [2] - 1:1, 1:9 board [76] - 7:1, 7:20, 7:21, 8:2, 24:14, 24:19, 25:15, 27:7, 34:7, 51:11, 52:6, 58:4, 60:4, 73:11, 86:19, 87:3, 87:9, 87:25, 88:20, 90:9, 90:22, 91:13, 91:19, 91:20, 91:24, 92:4, 92:8, 92:14, 92:21, 93:18, 96:17, 97:2, 97:3, 98:9, 98:16, 102:6, 102:8, 106:22, 106:24, 107:23, 121:3, 122:10. 123:10. 125:23, 132:12, 132:14, 139:6, 144:21, 150:15, 156:1, 157:13, 161:6, 161:17, 162:21, 168:23, 178:22, 179:6, 179:14, 179:23, 181:10, 186:23, 187:18, 190:8, 190:20, 190:25, 192:10, 193:11, 193:15, 202:10, 203:4, 203:5, 203:17, 203:18, 204:1 **Board** [2] - 1:14, 89:16 board's [9] - 17:4, 24:23, 25:6, 86:15, 97:7, 107:15, 160:12, 162:2, 162:24 **boards** [1] - 89:15 body [1] - 152:1 bolded [1] - 104:20 boot [1] - 60:8 boring [5] - 36:17, 37:14, 139:1, 139:3 borings [10] - 26:16, 27:13, 27:15, 27:17, 27:19, 35:17, 37:12, 37:17, 47:8, 47:18 bother [1] - 24:13

bottom [4] - 30:17,

42:6, 42:7, 119:15

boundary [10] - 61:24,

63:1, 63:14, 63:17,

75:5, 75:11, 113:8

63:21, 64:22, 66:18,

Bowles [1] - 38:19 box [3] - 114:24, 115:5, 115:6 **brand** [1] - 7:24 brand-new [1] - 7:24 break [9] - 86:13, 97:9, 97:19, 97:22, 148:10, 156:8, 156:22, 159:12, 160:21 Brian [10] - 3:3, 8:15, 8:19, 10:11, 11:15, 12:3, 12:23, 148:17, 148:21, 156:6 Brian's [1] - 8:15 brick [6] - 67:11, 67:21, 70:1, 72:13, 73:5 brief [12] - 23:14, 88:13, 96:2, 103:4, 111:21, 112:14, 112:20, 142:20, 156:24, 163:17, 170:14, 179:24 briefly [5] - 7:16, 58:1, 60:7, 87:24, 93:21 bring [8] - 7:15, 8:14, 97:10, 107:15, 147:7, 148:3, 187:16, 204:7 broken [2] - 86:3, 86:5 brought [6] - 183:17, 183:21, 187:11, 195:7, 203:7, 204:3 Brown [4] - 29:15, 37:20, 38:12, 38:21 brown [2] - 12:12, 20:10 Brunetti [4] - 4:3, 184:7, 184:14, 184:17 BRUNETTI [10] -184:6, 184:14, 184:19, 184:23, 185:5, 185:10, 185:14, 187:15, 187:23, 189:23 **BUDNEY** [4] - 194:4, 194:5, 194:9, 194:12 Budney [3] - 4:7, 194:4, 194:7 buff [3] - 67:21, 70:1, 72:14 buffer [3] - 66:11, 67:9, 175:22 buggies [1] - 167:16 **build** [4] - 31:18, 195:17, 200:15, 201:25

28:19, 28:22, 28:24, 29:3, 29:8, 30:2, 30:3, 30:5, 31:2, 31:7, 37:15, 39:18, 40:10, 40:13, 40:15, 40:19, 42:5, 42:6, 42:8, 42:11, 43:7, 43:9, 43:13, 43:18, 46:5, 46:12, 47:11, 48:18, 50:22, 52:14, 52:17, 53:12, 53:14, 53:20, 53:24, 54:5, 58:5, 58:10, 61:3, 61:12, 61:14, 61:17, 61:24, 62:7, 62:12, 62:13, 62:16, 63:14, 63:16, 64:4, 64:11, 64:25, 65:2, 66:24, 67:2, 67:12, 67:16, 67:19, 67:23, 67:25, 68:9, 68:19, 68:20, 68:24, 68:25, 69:3, 69:9, 69:11, 69:13, 70:2, 70:9, 70:11, 70:14, 70:17, 70:21, 70:23, 70:25, 71:2, 71:7, 71:11, 72:2, 72:5, 72:22, 72:25, 73:3, 73:8, 74:15, 74:18, 74:21, 75:16, 75:23, 77:14, 80:21, 80:22, 80:24, 83:7, 83:25, 84:4, 84:9, 84:16, 84:19, 84:25, 85:1, 94:5, 115:2, 134:9, 134:22, 135:15, 138:8, 139:21, 140:9, 140:14, 148:8, 150:7, 150:8, 154:17, 154:22, 155:13, 155:22, 168:11, 180:22, 180:25, 181:1, 194:22, 195:3, 197:13, 198:22, 200:12, 200:16, 201:14, 201:15, 201:25, 202:8, 203:9, 204:12 buildings [8] - 46:23, 47:6, 47:9, 180:23, 181:2, 185:25, 201:19, 201:23 **builds** [1] - 201:16 built [2] - 31:5, 186:10 bunch [2] - 60:4,

building [147] - 8:7,

10:12, 12:13, 12:21,

21:9, 26:18, 28:18,

68:22 burden [1] - 42:20 buried [1] - 140:4 business [2] - 5:2, 164:6 busy [1] - 198:25 butterflied [1] - 69:12 button [1] - 13:21 buy [1] - 189:5 buyers [1] - 203:23 **BY** [26] - 1:16, 9:4, 11:14, 12:2, 14:5, 17:9, 22:3, 23:20, 26:9, 34:13, 45:22, 52:4, 55:2, 57:2, 79:24, 85:22, 88:18, 89:25, 103:24, 145:3, 148:20, 151:7, 157:20, 176:12, 176:19, 179:21

C

C-301 [1] - 9:18 **C-801** [1] - 120:14 calculate [3] - 21:15, 38:7, 130:24 calculated [3] -124:12, 131:5, 131:12 calculation [1] - 97:6 calculations [6] -21:20, 118:22, 121:7, 121:8, 143:13, 159:10 calmed [1] - 139:2 Cam [1] - 5:5 **CAMERON** [1] - 2:4 cannot [6] - 32:16, 37:13, 46:2, 47:9, 63:12, 155:1 canopy [1] - 72:20 cantilevers [2] -67:16, 69:13 capacities [1] - 25:18 capacity [11] - 7:23, 19:5, 27:10, 28:25, 29:18, 31:16, 41:2, 41:5, 54:6, 130:6, 130:9 captures [1] - 161:11 car [9] - 19:16, 32:7, 68:1, 68:5, 77:22, 173:18, 173:19, 197:7, 197:8

carried [3] - 5:4, 5:18,

Building [1] - 38:1

blueish [1] - 42:24

167:16 carries [1] - 205:17 carry [6] - 93:1, 98:12, 204:22, 205:1, 205:5, 205:18 carrying [2] - 97:3, 170:8 cars [9] - 63:2, 65:13, 65:14, 73:25, 77:14, 177:15, 177:17, 177:19, 177:22 case [9] - 5:2, 36:24, 44:11, 48:6, 48:14, 77:24, 110:11, 190:11, 205:1 CASE [1] - 1:3 cases [3] - 15:18, 27:25, 54:16 cast [4] - 53:16, 75:16, 75:24, 76:2 cast-in-place [2] -53:16, 75:16 catastrophic [2] -175:17, 176:3 categories [1] -159:13 caused [1] - 30:12 causing [1] - 31:3 caution [2] - 6:9, 182:18 caveat [2] - 131:20, 131:23 Cedar [1] - 184:14 cellar [5] - 12:13, 27:15, 62:13, 80:18 cement [1] - 73:11 central [2] - 29:8, 68:7 centrally [5] - 66:13, 71:20, 72:3, 76:21, 76:24 **CERT** [1] - 206:20 certain [13] - 14:22, 30:18, 55:21, 95:2, 104:20, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 127:24, 152:25, 204:23, 205:2 certainly [5] - 71:6, 75:19, 83:20, 96:14, 195:1 Certified [3] - 1:22, 206:6, 206:19 **CERTIFIED** [1] - 1:24 certify [2] - 206:7, 206:11 cetera [2] - 21:10, 130:7 Chair [3] - 56:16, 56:21, 205:7 chair [6] - 13:17,

102:22, 157:10, 164:18, 204:24, 204:25 CHAIRMAN [232] - 5:1, 5:10, 6:15, 6:21, 8:21, 8:25, 12:24, 13:3, 13:4, 13:13, 14:2, 21:23, 23:10, 23:15, 25:4, 25:9, 26:2, 26:6, 33:4, 33:8, 33:12, 33:16, 33:25, 34:3, 34:6, 34:8, 34:10, 51:6, 51:10, 51:12, 51:21, 51:25, 54:13, 54:22, 55:24, 56:3, 56:5, 56:14, 56:18, 56:22, 57:11, 57:13, 57:17, 76:12, 76:18, 77:1, 77:12, 77:17, 78:6, 79:12, 79:15, 79:20, 83:11, 83:14, 85:18, 86:10, 86:21, 87:11, 87:15, 87:18, 88:1, 88:4, 88:10, 88:15, 89:22, 95:25, 96:4, 97:11, 98:1, 98:21, 99:6, 99:11, 99:19, 99:23, 100:10, 100:20, 102:10, 102:16, 102:19, 102:25, 103:6, 103:9, 103:17, 104:21, 104:24, 105:3, 105:10, 105:14, 105:17, 105:22, 106:2, 106:5, 106:17, 106:19, 107:7, 108:7, 108:25, 109:2, 111:20, 117:4, 117:15, 121:20, 122:25, 123:18, 131:13, 131:18, 135:7, 135:22, 136:8, 136:15, 136:22, 137:1, 137:10, 137:24, 138:4, 142:18, 142:22, 143:9, 144:10, 144:17, 144:23, 148:2, 148:7, 148:9, 151:1, 151:5, 156:7, 156:12, 156:17, 156:21, 157:1, 157:4, 157:15, 160:1, 160:4, 160:7, 160:12, 160:17, 161:7, 161:16,

161:21, 162:1,

162:7, 162:19, 162:23, 163:5, 163:9. 163:13. 163:19, 164:2, 164:8, 167:6, 167:9, 168:2, 168:8, 168:12, 168:15, 168:21, 169:3, 169:6, 170:6, 170:18, 171:15, 173:3, 173:5, 173:14, 174:10, 174:14, 174:18, 174:21, 176:8, 178:4, 178:6, 178:21, 178:25, 179:3, 179:13, 179:18, 181:17, 181:21, 181:24, 182:2, 182:7, 183:5, 183:8, 183:14, 183:19, 183:23, 184:8, 184:17, 184:20, 185:4, 185:8, 185:12, 187:14, 187:19, 187:25, 188:6, 189:14, 190:3, 190:6, 190:10, 190:13, 190:16, 192:1, 192:6, 192:13, 193:21, 193:24, 194:7, 194:10, 196:2, 196:8, 196:12, 198:3, 198:12, 198:15, 199:20, 199:25, 200:3, 200:6, 200:20, 201:1, 201:4, 202:18, 202:23, 203:2, 204:15, 204:24, 205:4, 205:8, 205:16 Chairman [13] - 1:10, 1:10, 91:10, 91:19, 96:24, 99:20, 102:16, 105:19, 106:11, 121:5, 147:11, 182:13, 205:15 chairman [5] - 5:16, 6:1, 6:5, 102:4, 183:12 challenges [2] -192:22, 193:6 chance [3] - 98:15, 123:23, 148:24 change [11] - 31:4,

121:9, 121:12, 121:16, 131:21, 153:12, 165:15, 177:4 changed [4] - 10:11, 83:19, 113:18, 149:8 changes [9] - 70:21, 71:8, 121:8, 152:25, 176:14, 176:20, 176:22, 177:2, 188:19 changing [3] - 30:24, 31:21, 31:23 chaos [1] - 100:22 Chapter [1] - 127:7 chapter [3] - 103:15, 104:9, 127:9 character [3] - 180:23, 181:14, 200:13 characteristics [6] -10:21, 18:24, 19:24, 62:6, 69:5, 130:5 characterization [1] -24:18 **CHARLES** [1] - 1:16 Charles [1] - 5:14 chart [1] - 119:16 checklist [4] - 10:19, 74:16, 152:11, 152:12 children [1] - 196:16 choker [1] - 125:15 chose [1] - 6:9 chosen [2] - 73:13, 204:9 CHRISTOPHER [1] -1:10 Christopher [2] -202:16, 202:20 Chuck [1] - 160:24 circa [1] - 172:15 cited [2] - 152:22, 154:12 citing [1] - 17:2 citizen [1] - 189:23 CITY [2] - 1:1 city [30] - 9:9, 9:12, 9:21, 14:16, 14:20, 15:3, 15:12, 15:23, 17:8, 18:15, 22:19, 24:2, 24:3, 24:10, 93:16, 93:17, 95:15, 109:23, 109:25, 141:21, 149:21, 151:13, 154:14, 159:18, 171:4, 180:14, 186:22, 189:3, 189:6, 202:10 City [58] - 2:3, 2:5, 2:7, 2:9, 7:3, 7:6, 14:19,

15:18, 22:12, 22:15, 22:17, 38:1, 52:7, 52:12, 58:15, 64:20, 74:25, 79:6, 83:2, 89:16, 98:11, 98:18, 103:20, 104:4, 104:16, 105:5, 109:22, 110:24, 117:19, 145:13, 145:21, 146:10, 149:14, 152:1, 152:2, 152:4, 152:5, 153:3, 154:7, 154:8, 155:2, 159:6, 170:22, 172:19, 174:3, 175:15, 175:17, 186:19, 187:9, 188:5, 188:10, 189:24, 191:23, 198:9, 202:17, 203:10, 203:25 City's [3] - 110:11, 157:22, 158:21 city's [2] - 95:12, 159:10 civil [10] - 7:16, 8:15, 9:18, 46:8, 60:6, 83:16, 88:22, 94:3, 122:9, 147:8 clad [6] - 67:24, 69:25, 72:15, 73:1, 73:5, 74:4 **cladding** [1] - 67:22 clarification [2] - 17:4, 178:20 clarify [5] - 22:5, 23:9, 28:11, 101:3, 110:23 classified [1] - 159:3 clean [1] - 150:8 **clear** [6] - 85:19, 86:8, 123:10, 124:10, 130:17, 145:25 clearance [1] - 9:24 client [2] - 33:18, 33:20 cliff [14] - 139:11, 139:14, 139:17, 140:1, 140:4, 140:5, 140:15, 171:14, 175:24, 176:3, 180:16, 194:24, 195:12, 200:16 Cliff [1] - 145:16 Cliffside [1] - 180:9 close [4] - 36:10, 163:3, 164:6, 200:16 closely [1] - 188:14 closer [8] - 18:8,

70:25, 73:3, 120:24,

18:13, 27:21, 27:23,

61:13. 80:15. 139:22, 140:14 closes [1] - 65:21 closest [2] - 186:10, 197:7 closing [9] - 75:21, 86:23, 182:3, 182:8, 182:13, 182:15, 201:6, 204:19, 204:20 Coca [1] - 202:7 Coca-Cola [1] - 202:7 code [4] - 14:17, 16:16, 41:3, 155:11 coded [1] - 20:9 coding [3] - 12:5, 12:18, 19:17 Cola [1] - 202:7 collapse [5] - 173:24, 174:4, 175:2, 175:3, 175:18 collapsed [1] - 174:7 collapses [2] - 175:13, 176:4 color [13] - 12:5, 12:18, 19:17, 20:9, 20:11, 70:1, 70:4, 70:7, 72:14, 80:8, 80:10, 114:24, 185:24 colored [7] - 12:9, 67:21, 72:17, 72:23, 73:6, 114:20, 115:1 coloring [1] - 94:4 colors [2] - 12:12, 130:19 Columbia [1] - 89:2 Columbus [2] -202:16, 202:20 columns [2] - 40:16, 40:17 combination [1] -118:20 combined [3] -109:25, 124:7, 150:10 combining [1] -165:16 comfortable [5] -96:11, 96:25, 100:25, 102:6, 105:17 coming [6] - 49:6, 49:10, 103:18, 119:18, 197:8, 203:11 Commencing [1] - 1:8 comment [25] - 7:4, 7:13, 14:15, 15:7, 16:8, 16:11, 16:25,

17:6, 17:8, 22:25, 24:9, 24:10, 33:5, 86:24, 87:1, 152:19, 164:3, 165:6, 165:25, 169:13, 184:4, 184:5, 187:22, 204:18 commentary [1] - 95:2 comments [10] - 9:8. 15:8, 22:20, 95:16, 146:15, 151:18, 153:24, 154:4, 177:7, 185:16 commercial [1] -194:24 Commissioner [10] -1:11, 1:11, 1:12, 97:14, 98:25, 99:4, 101:3, 205:9, 205:11, 205:13 commissioner [1] -98:6 COMMISSIONER [39] - 13:1, 13:2, 34:9, 51:13, 54:15, 54:21, 78:8. 78:16. 78:25. 79:3, 79:8, 79:10, 79:14, 91:8, 97:8, 97:13, 98:8, 98:22, 99:1, 99:5, 102:12, 102:22, 170:24, 172:4, 172:10, 178:9, 178:14, 178:23, 183:10, 183:11, 183:17, 183:20, 191:5, 191:9, 191:13, 205:3, 205:10, 205:12, 205:14 commissioner's [1] -101:24 commissioners [1] -13:24 committee [9] - 87:23, 161:12. 164:16. 164:18, 164:19, 164:20, 165:9, 179:8, 183:13 common [6] - 69:20, 165:11, 176:22, 176:25, 177:3, 204:4 communities [1] -189:6 community [13] -

188:18, 188:24,

192:9, 192:18,

193:8, 193:9,

192:20. 192:23.

193:14, 193:18,

196:19, 202:1,

202:11 compacted [1] - 125:1 Company [1] - 27:14 company [1] - 89:19 compare [1] - 80:13 compared [2] - 39:17, 80.11 comparing [2] - 19:19, comparison [2] - 12:6, 35:24 compartments [1] -65:11 compatible [3] -194:19, 194:24, 195:4 competent [1] - 28:1 compilation [1] -93:22 complement [2] -70:6, 197:15 complementary [3] -67:12, 70:7, 71:1 complete [1] - 124:17 completed [3] - 7:25, 52:13, 92:14 completely [2] -116:18, 126:17 completes [1] - 87:14 complexities [1] -20:20 compliance [7] -18:20, 74:11, 129:22, 132:15, 151:25, 152:11, compliant [12] - 18:17, 59:7, 59:10, 64:19, 65:4, 65:15, 66:8, 66:19, 66:20, 66:22, 66:24, 68:11 complies [1] - 152:5 comply [5] - 125:9, 128:20, 151:17, 152:24, 154:3 complying [3] -130:13, 153:14, 153:19 components [1] -10:18 composite [1] - 74:5 compute [1] - 159:16 computer [2] - 112:19, 163:16 concern [12] - 96:5, 105:2, 106:6, 107:23, 110:12, 138:5, 140:13, 147:12, 192:25, 196:17, 196:22,

197:9 concerned [7] -106:22, 142:11, 181:3, 181:6, 193:5, 197:17, 204:8 concerning [1] -129:19 concerns [13] - 133:6, 182:21, 188:16, 192:22, 193:4, 195:6, 195:20, 198:20, 198:22, 199:7, 200:11, 203:19, 204:13 conclude [1] - 176:5 concluded [1] -205:19 concludes [3] - 76:10, 181:20, 181:25 conclusion [1] -141:15 concrete [5] - 20:22, 53:16, 61:15, 82:10, 124:24 concur [2] - 6:2, 6:8 condenser [1] - 76:22 condition [13] - 39:10, 48:1, 49:13, 50:5, 57:8, 60:19, 60:20, 63:4, 63:5, 81:15, 81:20, 81:22, 85:3 conditions [13] - 15:1, 19:19, 29:11, 47:5, 52:20, 54:3, 54:7, 58:9, 60:3, 62:11, 70:2, 70:8, 138:7 condominium [1] -77:7 condominiums [2] -189:5, 203:22 confirm [6] - 27:20, 37:17, 97:5, 137:25, 160:24, 160:25 confirmation [1] -15:9 confirmed [4] - 24:4, 28:1, 28:7, 120:2 confirming [1] - 24:25 conflicting [1] - 7:11 conform [1] - 125:8 conforming [2] -124:2, 199:17 confusion [1] - 199:13 connect [3] - 111:2, 128:13, 171:11 connected [3] - 37:18, 166:2, 203:16 connections [1] -152:20 Connell [1] - 5:14

CONNELL [1] - 1:15 conservation [1] -130:13 conservative [2] -53:15, 141:4 consider [2] - 202:10, 204:1 consider.. [1] - 144:9 consideration [3] -15:20, 85:12, 108:9 considered [14] -36:11, 90:13, 110:5, 116:10, 116:22, 118:5, 118:6, 120:3, 125:23, 128:18, 132:25, 152:11, 159:8 considering [1] -203:13 considers [2] -154:24, 155:8 consistent [3] - 10:7, 10:9, 183:21 consists [1] - 125:14 consolidate [1] -17:20 constant [1] - 49:9 **constraints** [1] - 14:22 construct [1] - 63:13 constructed [9] -64:4, 80:15, 80:22, 80:23, 81:3, 81:4, 154:16, 175:15. 194:22 constructing [1] -47:10 construction [24] -44:3, 44:5, 47:2, 50:9, 50:17, 50:19, 53:14, 58:17, 61:18, 61:20, 61:22, 62:14, 75:6, 132:4, 163:3, 177:9, 180:18, 180:21, 191:25, 195:24, 197:25, 202:3, 202:5, 204:12 **consulting** [1] - 89:12 contain [1] - 158:25 contained [1] - 8:2 contention [1] -139:12 **CONTENTS** [1] - 3:1 contest [1] - 186:16 context [1] - 168:17 **contextual** [1] - 64:12 continuation [1] -69:22 continue [5] - 26:1, 103:3, 157:2, 180:8,

204:17

continuing [1] - 180:4 continuous [3] - 37:9, 69:18. 71:18 contract [2] - 78:18 contractor [3] - 32:14, 32:18, 50:25 contractors [1] -32:20 contradicts [2] -126:17, 166:11 contrary [1] - 180:19 Control [1] - 104:4 control [18] - 93:18, 95:10, 103:21, 112:24, 119:24, 122:21, 128:19, 151:21, 154:6, 154:25, 155:6, 157:22, 158:21, 159:1, 159:6, 159:18, 197:2 controls [1] - 111:24 convenient [1] - 78:11 conversation [8] -9:12, 14:20, 15:17, 22:22, 24:2, 50:21, 74:23, 94:16 conversations [3] -16:17, 86:16, 86:17 converted [1] - 28:24 convey [1] - 125:21 copied [1] - 104:6 copies [1] - 161:5 copy [3] - 70:7, 136:6, 170:5 core [1] - 35:23 cored [3] - 27:20, 27:22, 28:5 cores [1] - 35:18 coring [1] - 26:16 corner [4] - 168:9, 198:10, 199:5, 200:9 cornice [2] - 72:24, 85:5 Corps [1] - 89:20 correct [50] - 5:5, 10:13, 10:14, 11:6, 11:24, 20:3, 22:12, 22:13, 22:17, 22:18, 23:23, 26:11, 26:23, 26:24, 27:1, 31:5, 31:6, 36:19, 43:15, 57:13, 77:16, 82:24, 84:6, 85:24, 103:13, 104:19, 105:9, 105:16, 107:8, 114:2, 114:4, 114:22, 115:14, 115:21, 145:17,

146:20, 147:3, 147:4, 148:22, 149:5, 149:6, 149:25, 150:1, 150:22, 150:23, 158:23, 174:23, 178:1 corrected [1] - 166:24 **correcting** [1] - 166:23 correctly [1] - 84:23 **corridors** [1] - 181:2 costs [1] - 180:4 Cotter [1] - 185:3 council [2] - 199:16, 200:17 Counsel [18] - 5:6, 5:22, 6:15, 6:22, 13:5, 21:24, 23:11, 25:5, 45:19, 51:6, 55:24, 57:12, 76:15, 86:11, 96:4, 99:6, 102:17, 115:17 counsel [10] - 5:12, 6:3, 6:8, 6:9, 45:15, 83:11, 143:9, 155:16, 206:12, 206:14 counsel's [1] - 117:1 count [1] - 155:14 counted [1] - 111:3 counter [1] - 123:9 counts [1] - 111:14 couple [4] - 76:14, 151:4, 157:18, 160:20 coupled [1] - 150:7 course [4] - 94:1, 106:2, 121:20, 182:4 Court [2] - 206:6, 206:19 court [2] - 187:15, 187:18 courtesy [1] - 102:21 COURTNEY [1] -198:8 Courtney [2] - 4:9, 198:7 cover [8] - 90:17, 103:11, 108:18, 110:7, 110:17, 120:6, 122:16, 165:18 coverage [10] - 66:18, 66:24, 68:25, 69:9, 70:11, 70:14, 71:11, 155:12, 159:14, 159:15 covers [3] - 72:9, 124:7, 152:17 create [5] - 113:11,

115:5, 133:20, 193:19, 199:5 created [2] - 104:16, 122:18 creates [2] - 200:14, 202:8 creating [3] - 44:12, 107:3, 199:13 crest [2] - 75:12, 80:16 criteria [2] - 29:15, 153:23 criterion [1] - 38:21 critical [1] - 39:12 Cross [10] - 3:5, 3:7, 3:10, 3:13, 3:16, 3:19, 3:22, 137:9, 137:13, 137:22 cross [20] - 13:15, 29:7, 29:10, 29:13, 134:18, 137:17, 138:16, 143:21, 144:4, 144:7, 144:8, 144:24, 151:2, 171:16, 171:17, 176:9, 181:22, 196:18, 197:2, 197:6 CROSS [7] - 14:4, 34:12, 55:1, 79:23, 145:2, 151:6, 176:11 cross-examination [1] - 181.22 **Cross-Examination** [7] - 3:5, 3:7, 3:10, 3:13, 3:16, 3:19, 3:22 CROSS-**EXAMINATION** [7] -14:4, 34:12, 55:1, 79:23, 145:2, 151:6, 176:11 cross-examine [7] -13:15, 143:21, 144:7, 144:8, 144:24, 151:2, 176:9 cross-examines [1] -144:4 Cross-Section [3] -137:9, 137:13, 137:22 cross-section [5] -29:7, 29:10, 29:13, 134:18, 138:16 cross-sectional [1] -137:17 crossing [1] - 197:3 crosswalk [21] - 7:12, 9:11, 9:24, 14:14, 14:24, 16:7, 16:19, 17:11, 17:13, 18:4, 60:23, 63:3, 63:5,

63:9, 64:18, 74:9, 165:4, 165:6, 169:10, 181:5, 199:6 CRUZ [3] - 1:12, 183:10, 205:14 Cruz [1] - 205:13 CSO [3] - 109:25, 141:22, 141:24 cuber [1] - 131:2 **cubic** [3] - 20:13, 20:23, 131:8 cumulative [1] -110:13 curb [14] - 7:2, 10:2, 16:20, 17:20, 17:23, 18:4, 18:7, 60:22, 60:24, 61:5, 63:6, 63:8, 64:16, 65:9 curbing [1] - 65:7 current [17] - 8:23, 26:4, 51:23, 56:19, 59:7, 60:22, 61:22, 61:23, 63:5, 81:19, 120:20, 158:23, 164:23, 164:24, 185:8, 200:13, 203:25 cut [22] - 7:2, 7:17, 7:18, 10:2, 18:4, 18:7, 19:14, 19:18, 19:21, 19:23, 20:1, 20:11, 20:12, 55:14, 60:22, 60:24, 61:5, 64:16, 65:9, 134:23, 135:12, 155:25 cut/fill [6] - 10:22, 13:10, 130:17, 130:18, 132:1, 138:9 Cut/Fill [2] - 4:16, 11:18 cuts [5] - 16:20, 17:21, 21:3, 63:6, 63:8 Cynthia [1] - 14:1 **CYNTHIA** [1] - 1:17 D

daily [2] - 199:1, 201:12 damage [1] - 168:1 DAN [1] - 191:22 Dan [3] - 4:6, 191:21, 194:6 dangerous [4] - 165:4, 165:17, 180:17, 200:14 darker [1] - 12:12 darkest [1] - 20:10 darn [1] - 140:10 dashed [1] - 114:19

55:21

deepest [1] - 19:16

deference [1] - 105:4

defer [3] - 19:10,

21:13, 87:1

data [5] - 93:22, 96:21, 98:14. 146:23 Date [1] - 206:20 date [16] - 10:20, 11:12, 11:18, 61:22, 99:14, 120:14, 157:25, 158:6, 158:16, 172:15, 172:25, 173:8, 173:10, 204:23, 205:1, 206:10 dated [8] - 11:22, 15:2, 16:9, 17:5, 22:25, 26:22, 57:23, 123:13 daughter [1] - 194:15 **DAVID** [1] - 1:12 David [1] - 102:25 days [4] - 101:8, 101:15, 101:21, 101:22 daytime [1] - 74:6 deal [1] - 189:5 dealing [3] - 52:19, 52:21, 119:7 decade [1] - 193:19 **December** [8] - 11:18, 17:5, 23:2, 23:5, 26:22, 76:4, 127:14, 140:18 decided [2] - 27:16, 190:21 deciding [1] - 190:16 decision [12] - 22:14, 22:15, 23:4, 24:20, 92:5, 96:12, 170:7, 186:19, 186:21, 186:23, 186:25, 201:8 deck [13] - 57:21, 58:2, 59:14, 59:25, 69:7, 69:16, 69:18, 69:20, 70:15, 70:19, 71:18, 71:22, 201:17 decorative [3] - 72:18, 73:6, 85:5 decorum [1] - 98:6 dedicate [1] - 78:1 dedication [1] -188:17 deemed [4] - 14:25, 15:23, 113:14, 151:25 deep [2] - 44:9, 68:4 deeper [2] - 35:9,

145:23, 146:10,

deferred [1] - 19:6 deficient [1] - 99:16 **defines** [1] - 104:5 definitely [4] - 132:25, 160:16, 173:8, 186:8 definition [11] - 47:24, 103:12, 104:11, 108:10, 108:14, 115:23, 116:22, 118:13, 119:2, 120:1, 139:13 **definitions** [9] - 104:3, 104:5, 104:12, 104:14, 108:12, 115:20, 117:19, 118:1, 159:4 definitively [1] - 155:1 degree [1] - 88:23 delay [2] - 93:2, 123:7 delegated [1] - 77:11 deleted [3] - 16:7, 16:8, 16:11 delivering [1] - 202:7 demonstrative [3] -95:22, 100:15, 123:6 dense [1] - 124:25 deny [4] - 189:11, 193:16, 199:16, 200:18 **DEP** [10] - 47:24, 83:13, 110:4, 124:12, 125:13, 127:5, 128:25, 141:9, 142:3, 187:12 **DEP's** [2] - 110:2, 146:13 Department [4] - 7:7, 38:2, 109:14, 165:1 department [4] - 22:6, 22:11, 24:25, 93:16 departures [1] - 154:5 depicted [1] - 127:19 depleted [1] - 129:6 depletions [1] - 127:2 depth [13] - 7:22, 19:8, 19:15, 27:9, 31:10, 50:24, 55:19, 60:12, 62:2, 63:18, 130:6, 130:10, 152:19 describe [3] - 57:18, 81:9, 168:23 described [2] - 73:18, 73:23 describes [1] - 159:3 **DESCRIPTION** [1] -4:14 description [3] -127:4, 130:3 design [14] - 10:10,

68:24, 69:13, 71:5, 85:13, 153:11, 153:12. 155:18. 165:22, 185:19, 186:9 designation [1] -36:15 designed [3] - 85:11, 142:8, 171:13 **Designer** [1] - 2:6 **designing** [1] - 46:9 designs [1] - 32:19 destroy [2] - 189:1, 189:2 destruction [1] -188:23 detail [3] - 19:22, 124:23, 126:17 detailed [1] - 141:19 details [6] - 11:1, 124:20, 126:14, 126:15, 128:8, 145:10 detain [1] - 150:9 **detention** [5] - 150:6, 154:16, 154:22, 155:19, 155:23 determination [2] -150:14, 150:19 determine [2] -127:11, 153:20 determined [2] - 48:2, 150:3 developed [4] - 38:25. 60:17, 138:17, 141:23 developer [2] - 26:13, 64:9 developer's [2] -186:15, 187:4 developers [2] -188:20, 188:25 developing [2] -60:16, 62:3 Development [1] -85:13 development [63] -26:14, 53:9, 54:1, 87:23, 90:7, 90:14, 100:17, 100:18, 103:12, 103:13, 104:6, 108:12, 108:15, 108:22, 108:24, 109:6, 109:12, 110:1, 110:3, 110:5, 111:1, 118:14, 118:20, 119:11, 120:5,

121:1, 124:3, 124:5,

125:8, 128:19,

129:23, 141:18, 141:20, 146:5, 149:4. 149:20. 150:20, 151:24, 152:5, 153:9, 153:21, 153:22, 153:23, 154:20, 154:25, 155:4, 155:8, 159:5, 159:6, 159:9, 159:23, 161:12, 164:18, 175:23, 179:8, 185:2, 186:3, 192:19, 194:18, 195:19 developments [2] -110:12, 145:12 device [1] - 78:9 dewater [2] - 50:7, 50:9 **Dewberry** [1] - 89:5 diabase [16] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:14, 34:19, 36:2, 37:17, 41:3, 43:14, 45:25, 46:1, 46:6, 46:14, 48:12, 48:13, 49:1, 52:19 diagram [3] - 40:1, 41:25, 165:21 diagrams [1] - 195:15 diameter [2] - 41:22 dictates [1] - 77:22 difference [12] - 10:2, 12:19, 104:19, 108:20, 108:21, 110:9, 110:21, 110:22, 133:3, 150:5, 155:10, 155:15 different [30] - 38:18, 38:22, 38:24, 38:25, 40:24, 53:13, 57:5, 57:8, 60:5, 66:3, 68:6, 73:12, 89:15, 90:19, 94:8, 94:9, 100:16, 118:17, 124:13, 130:19, 147:20, 153:18, 155:10, 164:15, 165:10, 165:20, 166:15, 188:12, 199:4 difficult [1] - 112:25 difficulty [1] - 170:13 dig [4] - 44:8, 55:21, 111:4, 116:20 digital [2] - 136:6, 170:4

60:5, 67:5, 73:17 dipped [1] - 36:22 dipping [4] - 36:18, 37:2, 37:3, 37:7 **DIRECT** [6] - 9:3, 26:8, 52:3, 57:1, 88:17, 179:20 direct [3] - 45:20, 88:2, 165:25 **Direct** [7] - 3:4, 3:9, 3:12, 3:15, 3:18, 3:21, 3:24 direction [2] - 72:4, 81:18 directly [20] - 9:19, 28:20, 46:6, 53:21, 60:23, 62:20, 63:24, 64:16, 67:7, 72:16, 74:9, 75:1, 75:3, 75:17, 75:18, 75:25, 76:1, 81:14, 194:21, 195:23 dirt [2] - 118:6, 197:20 disagree [4] - 123:14, 123:15, 123:16, 134:6 disagrees [1] - 155:17 disappear [1] - 133:14 discipline [1] - 147:20 disconcerting [1] -128:1 discrepancies [1] -152:22 discretion [2] - 97:7, 102:8 discuss [3] - 9:14, 90:18, 104:17 discussed [5] - 23:16, 127:2, 159:14, 184:25, 204:11 discussing [1] -130:14 discussion [6] - 7:22, 22:5, 130:11, 163:2, 186:18, 187:11 dispense [1] - 15:13 dispensed [1] - 16:13 disperses [1] - 49:5 displayed [1] - 104:1 disrepair [1] - 86:1 disrespect [1] - 99:8 disrupt [1] - 202:1 dissipate [1] - 81:21 dissipates [1] - 74:13 distance [4] - 17:7, 17:10, 18:7, 75:18 distinct [1] - 179:7 distinction [1] -109:20

distinguish [1] -

111:11 district [3] - 58:15. 58:16, 130:13 District [3] - 68:11, 89:1, 163:4 disturb [1] - 48:25 disturbance [46] -90:17, 94:7, 108:17, 110:6, 110:15, 110:16, 110:25, 111:1, 111:2, 111:5, 113:3, 113:5, 113:8, 113:15, 113:18, 114:1, 114:18, 115:7, 115:8, 115:23, 116:3, 116:6, 116:10, 116:22, 117:20, 118:15, 119:4, 119:22, 119:23, 119:25. 120:1. 121:13, 121:16, 122:23, 124:4, 124:14, 124:15, 146:3, 159:13, 159:15, 159:20, 167:5, 167:19, 195:24 disturbed [3] - 119:19, 167:22, 168:1 **Division** [4] - 2:3, 2:5, 2:7, 2:9 division [2] - 9:13, 22:20 document [5] - 104:7, 104:16, 104:22, 105:18, 106:8 documented [2] -141:2, 141:7 documents [6] -10:20, 91:22, 91:23, 91:25, 123:20, 151:11 donated [1] - 180:7 done [21] - 7:5, 16:21, 24:21, 27:13, 27:14, 35:18, 46:8, 61:20, 70:24, 74:24, 78:19, 95:23, 116:5, 116:8, 141:11, 146:9, 146:17, 146:18, 150:11, 164:6 **Donnelly** [5] - 4:5, 189:19, 190:3, 190:20, 191:18 DONNELLY [14] -156:11, 156:14, 156:20, 189:19, 189:22, 189:25, 190:5, 190:9,

digs [1] - 42:16

dimensional [3] -

20:19, 50:23, 67:19,

190:12. 190:15. 191:3, 191:8, 191:12, 191:15 door [3] - 67:15, 78:20, 191:24 doors [1] - 66:9 dots [1] - 48:5 double [2] - 66:7, 186:12 down [48] - 28:21, 31:9, 41:21, 44:8, 46:18, 48:4, 48:10, 48:11, 48:14, 48:20, 49:6, 49:8, 49:21, 49:23, 50:1, 50:14, 50:16, 55:11, 63:20, 65:3, 66:5, 67:3, 76:3, 82:17, 98:17, 98:19, 123:11, 127:23, 128:22, 133:12, 133:14, 138:21, 139:4, 139:15, 140:9, 140:11, 156:15, 171:10, 171:12, 171:19, 173:9, 194:24, 195:12, 202:8 downhill [2] - 36:22, 36:24 downloaded [1] -136:12 Downtown [2] -202:17, 203:10 downwards [1] -81:21 dozen [1] - 52:11 dozens [1] - 146:6 DR [1] - 1:10 Dr [2] - 6:18, 205:7 drain [1] - 82:12 drainage [1] - 128:13 drawing [13] - 11:17, 112:22, 113:16, 113:23, 113:24, 117:2, 121:22, 135:8, 137:15, 137:16, 137:18, 137:21 drawings [3] - 94:23, 151:12, 187:8 drilled [1] - 41:21 drilling [1] - 26:15 drive [11] - 9:25, 10:3, 10:6, 64:3, 66:8, 118:3, 131:4, 171:10, 173:1, 173:9, 173:21

drivers [2] - 198:25, 199:13 driveway [37] - 7:2, 7:8, 9:9, 9:16, 9:19, 9:20, 13:9, 14:10, 14:12, 14:24, 15:11, 15:21, 16:5, 16:22, 17:12, 17:17, 17:22, 18:12, 22:23, 24:20, 59:21, 60:22, 61:5, 62:9, 62:18, 63:7, 64:3, 64:25, 65:23, 75:1, 120:22, 121:6, 165:17, 168:20, 181:4, 197:9 driveways [2] -165:14, 196:23 driving [3] - 118:4, 167:24, 173:20 **drop** [2] - 118:4, 140:6 drop-off [1] - 140:6 due [3] - 140:19, 186:22, 199:2 dug [2] - 41:21, 75:7 duly [17] - 8:18, 25:20, 51:18, 56:10, 88:6, 160:9, 179:10, 184:13, 188:3, 189:18, 191:19, 194:3, 196:5, 198:6, 199:23, 200:22, 202:13 dump [1] - 131:8 during [4] - 44:3, 50:9, 96:23, 177:9

Ε

early [3] - 23:2,

dwelling [1] - 177:14

dynamite [1] - 36:13

173:23, 188:11 Earth [1] - 80:7 earthquakes [1] -33:15 earthwork [2] - 20:4, 20:23 easement [11] - 62:7, 63:12, 63:13, 63:15, 63:17, 64:2, 67:17, 125:25, 126:9, 126:10, 129:18 easily [1] - 32:5 east [17] - 27:17, 31:12, 49:25, 60:13, 62:19, 62:21, 67:4, 69:2, 71:18, 73:16, 74:14, 75:10, 75:23, 80:15, 80:22, 168:4,

194:25

eastern [6] - 59:15, 68:20, 69:11, 71:10, 71:23, 74:2 easy [3] - 78:11, 79:1, 192:12 echo [2] - 192:21, 195:6 Eddie [1] - 97:11 edge [7] - 14:24, 171:14, 174:20, 180:18, 195:12, 195:13, 195:17 edification [2] - 24:24, 160:13 edited [6] - 94:22, 94:23, 94:24, 104:22, 104:25 EDWARDO [1] - 1:11 effect [3] - 45:24, 110:13, 134:17 efforts [1] - 192:11 egress [3] - 66:14, 68:15 eight [12] - 35:23, 53:12, 58:10, 58:19, 64:5, 65:2, 84:7, 84:25, 134:11, 192:17, 195:3, 200:16 eight-floor [1] -200:16 eight-story [7] - 53:12, 58:10, 64:5, 65:2, 84:25, 134:11, 195:3 eighth [3] - 59:17, 71:14, 73:20 either [10] - 23:25, 49:6, 50:14, 95:21, 133:18, 147:25, 174:6, 183:5, 185:25, 201:23 element [3] - 29:14, 39:4, 72:18 elements [2] - 113:1, 122:22 elevated [2] - 139:23, 167:14

25:6 embankment [1] -140:10 emboldened [2] -105:11, 107:2 emergency [1] - 181:7 emeritus [1] - 185:11 Emeritus [1] - 185:12 emissions [1] -166:21 emphasizing [1] -106:7 employee [3] - 152:4, 206:12, 206:14 empty [3] - 77:20, 77:21, 78:21 enacted [1] - 106:10 enclosed [1] - 55:18 end [5] - 127:14, 135:18, 135:19, 164:5, 170:7 ended [1] - 42:17 engaged [1] - 203:17 engineer [30] - 7:15, 7:16, 8:6, 8:15, 19:7, 19:11, 19:22, 21:14, 26:13, 28:17, 32:18, 33:7, 40:12, 51:15, 53:11, 82:20, 83:17, 87:2, 89:2, 90:15, 94:3, 108:16, 125:3, 126:22, 130:17, 138:21, 140:16, 147:8, 186:15, 186:17 engineer's [5] - 10:23, 18:22, 20:2, 128:11, 158:14 engineered [1] -125:11 Engineering [6] - 7:4, 7:6, 7:25, 22:16, 26:22 engineering [47] -7:13, 9:9, 9:13, 13:7, 14:12, 14:20, 15:4, 15:8, 15:9, 17:8, 22:6, 22:25, 24:3, 25:10, 37:13, 39:2, 41:8, 46:9, 60:6, 61:23, 75:14, 87:21, 88:23, 88:24, 89:4, 89:7, 89:12, 89:13, 93:11, 93:16, 93:18, 96:8, 96:14, 98:11, 98:18, 116:14, 122:9, 124:21, 124:22, 128:12,

153:18, 165:21, 165:25 Engineers [1] - 89:20 **engineers** [5] - 32:17, 38:24, 89:18, 90:2, 130:23 enlarging [1] - 112:13 ensuring [1] - 141:4 enter [5] - 47:9, 65:22, 66:9, 144:12, 190:1 entertain [4] - 92:8, 96:9, 157:7, 204:22 entire [7] - 104:8, 113:5, 116:8, 121:2, 122:1, 125:11, 167:25 entirely [2] - 120:8, 121:25 entrance [1] - 168:20 entry [6] - 10:8, 64:21, 65:10, 65:19, 66:1, Environmental [1] -109:15 equals [1] - 11:19 equivalency [1] -140:22 **equivalent** [1] - 59:18 era [1] - 158:3 erected [1] - 180:15 erodibility [2] -130:11, 130:15 erosion [7] - 19:1, 48:21, 48:22, 112:24, 119:24, 122:20, 130:6 errors [1] - 166:21 especially [3] -167:20, 167:25, 203:14 **ESQUIRE** [3] - 1:14, 1:16, 1:17 essence [1] - 150:18 essentially [1] -120:24 estimate [1] - 20:18 estimated [3] - 53:17, 53:22, 130:24 et [2] - 21:10, 130:7 evaluate [2] - 43:8, 43:16 evaluated [1] - 47:1 evening [22] - 6:7, 8:22, 9:6, 11:6, 13:24, 14:6, 14:8, 26:3, 34:14, 34:15, 51:21, 56:15, 56:16, 58:6, 58:21, 61:21, 129:6, 135:11, 79:25, 80:1, 104:18, 151:12, 152:16, 180:1, 188:6, 196:9

elevation [9] - 39:18,

74:1, 74:3, 74:12,

127:24

48:1, 73:14, 73:15,

elevations [9] - 12:15,

55:15, 55:21, 64:8,

72:11, 73:17

elevator [1] - 66:13

ELIZABETH [1] - 2:6

emailed [1] - 160:22

emails [2] - 24:24,

eleven [1] - 35:22

12:16, 12:19, 12:20,

driven [2] - 115:4,

173:1

evening's [1] - 5:25 event [5] - 77:5, 87:3, 154:19, 156:2, 170:7 events [1] - 129:1 eventually [1] - 81:22 evidence [11] - 5:21, 92:6, 105:18, 127:1, 127:5, 143:1, 143:23, 144:3, 144:19, 144:20 evidencing [1] - 24:19 exact [12] - 85:8, 105:8, 106:21, 106:23, 121:13, 130:18, 131:11, 132:1, 167:7, 172:25, 173:8, 173:10 exactly [3] - 100:19, 162:17, 189:25 Examination [14] -3:4, 3:5, 3:7, 3:9, 3:10, 3:12, 3:13, 3:15, 3:16, 3:18, 3:19, 3:21, 3:22, 3:24 examination [1] -181:22 **EXAMINATION** [16] -9:3, 14:4, 22:2, 23:19, 26:8, 34:12, 52:3, 55:1, 57:1, 79:23, 85:21, 88:17, 145:2, 151:6, 176:11, 179:20 examine [7] - 13:15, 143:21, 144:7, 144:8, 144:24, 151:2, 176:9 examines [1] - 144:4 example [4] - 35:24, 50:8, 115:2, 116:17 excavate [8] - 28:21, 32:2, 32:12, 32:15, 32:20, 36:12, 54:4, excavated [5] - 20:14, 32:6, 130:22, 130:25, 131:3 excavates [1] - 32:18 excavation [16] -19:15, 19:23, 21:12, 32:9, 44:10, 55:10, 55:11, 62:13, 74:24, 75:7, 80:20, 116:15, 132:9, 132:24, 134:7, 142:15 excavations [1] -129:15

32:21 excavators [1] - 32:10 exceed [2] - 30:19, 124:6 exceeds [1] - 125:20 **except** [1] - 124:20 excerpt [1] - 103:20 excess [1] - 128:9 exclusively [1] -145:22 excuse [8] - 33:1, 124:9, 127:6, 135:19, 140:4, 170:24, 188:22, 204:25 excused [1] - 148:5 exempt [1] - 154:8 exhibit [23] - 7:17, 10:16, 10:22, 11:21, 12:4, 13:10, 18:19, 19:24, 57:7, 57:10, 57:19, 58:2, 60:1, 69:23, 95:5, 95:8, 96:7, 100:3, 100:4, 103:16, 111:19, 144:12, 161:10 Exhibit [8] - 6:13, 11:8, 11:9, 57:15, 117:11, 148:25, 169:23, 172:2 exhibits [17] - 92:11, 92:12, 92:16, 92:19, 95:4, 99:8, 99:12, 100:23, 101:5, 101:17, 102:2, 136:12, 136:13, 160:20, 161:3, 170:8 exist [1] - 14:18 existed [1] - 116:25 existence [1] - 115:6 existing [30] - 9:10, 9:24, 12:7, 12:19, 15:1, 16:19, 17:17, 17:19, 18:4, 19:19, 21:7, 28:3, 39:10, 43:4, 46:16, 46:21, 47:11, 57:8, 57:23, 60:3, 61:1, 63:2, 63:11, 63:22, 70:2, 82:1, 85:23, 114:24, 138:7, 174:1 exists [1] - 81:4 expect [5] - 130:12, 132:1, 132:5, 152:25, 153:10 experience [8] - 52:7,

54:18, 122:24,

149:13, 153:3,

experienced [1] -

153:9, 153:22, 155:2

162:16 expert [20] - 87:21. 88:20, 94:23, 96:17, 96:18, 96:19, 96:20, 107:8, 107:9, 123:8, 147:15, 147:21, 159:14, 160:15, 179:15, 186:17, 187:5. 187:7 expert's [3] - 100:7, 143:8, 143:13 expertise [1] - 122:24 experts [5] - 96:8, 100:14, 123:1, 147:21, 147:24 explain [8] - 30:11, 34:19, 103:25, 105:20, 106:12, 111:9, 119:17, 120:20 **explained** [4] - 16:16, 31:21, 119:15, 127:23 explaining [1] -159:21 explanation [1] -16:10 export [2] - 21:18, 132:10 exposed [3] - 138:19, 138:22. 139:14 exposure [1] - 73:15 extended [2] - 166:1, 166:7 extensive [3] - 59:16, 59:18, 72:5 extent [2] - 132:4, 138:19 exterior [1] - 72:11 eye [1] - 66:2 eyes [1] - 107:4

F

facade [1] - 74:7 face [7] - 49:10, 139:12, 139:14, 140:1, 140:4, 140:5, 140:15 faces [1] - 139:17 facilitate [1] - 9:14 facility [2] - 76:7, 195:1 facing [3] - 61:25, 71:18, 72:14 fact [10] - 23:1, 87:22, 107:4, 107:7, 147:24, 160:6, 160:13, 179:15, 187:6, 199:3

factor [10] - 29:23, 29:25, 30:6, 30:21, 35:6, 38:7, 38:10, 38:13, 45:2, 48:8 facts [1] - 186:16 factual [1] - 93:21 fail [2] - 133:22, 135:4 failed [1] - 140:19 failure [8] - 31:1, 38:21, 39:15, 41:14, 42:4, 42:13, 80:24, 165:23 failures [1] - 165:1 fair [10] - 95:1, 95:3, 96:16, 102:15, 104:18, 105:19, 106:8, 130:23, 147:16 fairly [2] - 31:14, 193:3 fall [2] - 81:20, 188:23 familiar [5] - 53:4, 83:3, 106:25, 151:20, 152:7 family [4] - 58:16, 58:18, 62:17, 186:8 fans [1] - 192:8 far [10] - 17:12, 35:10, 50:2, 53:13, 69:16, 74:24, 101:5, 106:22, 130:8, 192:23 fascinating [1] -164:10 feasible [2] - 14:19, 15:18 February [3] - 15:3, 204:23, 205:2 Federal [1] - 38:18 feet [84] - 9:19, 11:19, 14:13, 14:23, 16:6, 17:14, 17:15, 19:25, 20:8, 27:21, 27:22, 28:3, 28:4, 28:5, 28:6, 28:8, 31:11, 31:13, 43:19, 47:11, 50:1, 55:13, 58:10, 58:20, 58:23, 59:4, 59:17, 60:14, 61:25, 62:1, 63:12, 63:17, 63:18, 63:20, 63:21, 64:6, 66:21, 67:1, 68:4, 68:7, 68:11, 69:7, 70:12, 71:9, 71:13, 71:21, 72:7, 72:22, 75:4, 81:25, 82:3, 84:5, 110:16, 110:18, 118:24, 119:8, 119:19, 119:21, 124:4, 127:10, 130:19,

130:20, 134:22, 134:23, 138:12, 165:8. 165:16. 166:1, 174:3, 175:10, 177:24, 178:10, 178:11, 178:13, 178:14, 178:17, 180:11, 180:12, 180:24, 181:1, 184:24 felt [1] - 9:21 fence [1] - 166:6 fencing [1] - 174:2 ferry [1] - 169:4 Ferry [5] - 17:19, 18:7, 18:11, 169:1, 199:10 few [12] - 6:25, 22:4, 35:8, 53:13, 74:24, 80:2, 138:12, 144:25, 162:6, 162:10, 177:12, 188:15 field [1] - 147:20 Field [1] - 188:13 fifth [1] - 70:13 fight [1] - 180:4 figure [4] - 29:7, 121:13, 137:10, 197:8 filed [1] - 58:3 filing [3] - 57:23, 58:13, 59:7 fill [9] - 7:17, 19:14, 19:18, 20:1, 138:10, 138:12, 138:13, 139:24, 156:1 filling [1] - 32:3 filters [1] - 82:17 final [2] - 5:3, 39:5 **finally** [2] - 58:7, 181:3 finance [1] - 180:8 financially [1] - 206:15 findings [4] - 151:15, 152:2, 152:15, 155:5 fine [6] - 32:17, 42:24, 89:22, 92:20, 137:1, 147:25 finished [3] - 12:8, 12:16, 31:7 finite [2] - 29:14, 39:4 firm [5] - 56:13, 89:4, 89:7, 89:8, 89:9 first [21] - 27:12, 29:20, 64:6, 73:24, 77:9, 88:7, 99:14, 99:17, 103:11, 119:17, 125:2, 139:1, 158:15, 161:8, 161:9, 166:25, 182:6,

excavator [2] - 32:6,

182:8. 192:12. 194:16 fissures [1] - 140:24 fit [2] - 198:20, 200:12 **five** [7] - 65:14, 77:14, 77:18, 77:20, 78:9, 162:3, 194:14 five-year-old [1] -194:14 flat [3] - 36:17, 49:20, 202:6 floating [2] - 72:20, 72:24 floor [24] - 5:12, 14:3, 67:18, 67:23, 68:10, 68:17, 68:22, 69:1, 69:15, 69:17, 70:10, 70:13, 70:16, 70:20, 71:9, 71:14, 72:21, 73:19, 73:24, 157:16, 200:16 floors [5] - 64:11, 66:22, 68:16, 73:1, 73:20 flow [2] - 127:25, 128:25 flowing [2] - 48:20, 82:13 flows [5] - 49:21, 50:14, 81:18, 109:17, 142:5 **fob** [3] - 65:22, 78:20, 78:21 focus [2] - 164:23, 164:24 focused [1] - 195:15 **FOLEY** [1] - 1:15 Foley [1] - 5:14 follow [11] - 22:4, 48:13, 76:15, 90:10, 109:13, 114:21, 128:23, 135:9, 136:10, 141:18, 151:4 follow-up [3] - 22:4, 76:15, 151:4 followed [1] - 60:24 following [2] - 49:15, 158:4 follows [20] - 8:18, 25:21, 49:24, 50:14, 51:18, 56:10, 88:6, 148:13, 160:9, 179:10, 184:13, 188:3, 189:18, 191:20, 194:3, 196:5, 198:6, 199:23, 200:22, 202:14

41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23. 66:15. 70:22. 70:23, 72:23, 73:20 footage [2] - 64:10, 94:5 footing [2] - 53:19, 55:15 footings [3] - 28:20, 28:22, 53:20 footprint [7] - 12:13, 61:25, 63:15, 67:2, 68:1, 70:17, 94:5 force [1] - 154:2 forces [1] - 167:24 foregoing [1] - 206:7 foreground [4] - 61:8, 67:6, 67:20, 74:10 forestry [2] - 64:20, 74:11 forever [1] - 49:4 forgot [1] - 116:6 form [1] - 82:10 formal [2] - 25:2, 101:5 format [2] - 57:7, 58:18 formation [4] - 30:15, 34:21, 37:16, 145:6 formats [1] - 57:6 forth [4] - 58:1, 147:14, 183:2, 206:10 forward [4] - 100:9, 102:5, 102:6, 102:13 foundation [11] -31:17, 40:14, 50:23, 53:5, 54:7, 55:17, 55:20, 68:5, 92:3, 93:25, 103:15 foundations [5] - 8:7, 53:8, 53:19, 54:18, 80:21 founders [1] - 184:25 **founding** [1] - 89:10 four [8] - 44:14, 58:19, 85:6, 158:10, 171:21, 171:23, 172:1, 199:5 fourth [1] - 70:9 fracture [7] - 35:7, 35:8, 36:5, 36:7, 36:18, 36:21, 37:4 fractured [4] - 30:16, 34:19, 37:16, 140:17 fractures [12] - 34:22, 34:25, 35:5, 35:10, 35:18, 37:6, 37:9, 38:4, 38:23, 41:11, 133:18, 133:25

40:7, 40:10, 40:20,

frank [1] - 204:9 Franklin [3] - 171:19, 173:17, 174:22 Franklin's [2] - 172:8, 172:10 fresh [1] - 147:10 front [14] - 43:7, 43:13, 58:4, 64:23, 65:3, 65:12, 70:22, 71:15, 73:2, 74:8, 96:13, 123:21, 161:17, 194:1 frontage [1] - 60:10 full [4] - 70:17, 93:18, 97:1, 107:25 funds [1] - 180:7 funicular [1] - 167:15 funny [6] - 97:9, 97:14, 97:23, 97:25, 98:4, 98:23 future [7] - 42:16, 201:16, 203:8, 203:21, 203:24, 204:2, 204:5

G

G-O-L-L [1] - 88:9

GANGADIN [7] - 1:11, 13:1, 34:9, 79:14, 102:22, 205:3. 205:10 **Gangadin** [1] - 205:9 gap [1] - 82:11 garage [13] - 10:1, 65:11, 65:12, 66:9, 66:10, 67:15, 69:14, 73:24, 77:23, 78:1, 78:20, 78:22 gate [4] - 10:9, 65:21, 66:1, 74:8 general [1] - 116:25 generally [2] - 32:14, 133:22 generate [2] - 39:24, 40:4 generated [1] - 133:22 generation [2] -166:17, 177:16 generator [1] - 76:24 gentle [1] - 60:15 gentleman [2] - 92:18, 95:17 Geoffrey [2] - 3:17, 88:7 **GEOFFREY** [1] - 88:8 geology [2] - 10:21, 37:15 geotech [5] - 19:10,

146:16 geotechnical [20] -19:7, 19:11, 19:21, 21:14, 37:13, 45:1, 45:3, 53:11, 82:20, 89:7, 89:11, 89:21, 99:22, 126:22, 133:1, 135:10, 135:13, 135:14, 138:21, 140:16 giant [1] - 202:8 girlfriend [1] - 192:17 given [11] - 20:19, 33:5. 33:13. 50:23. 64:10, 92:13, 98:13, 98:19, 99:15, 105:4, 204:10 glasses [1] - 135:23 glazing [1] - 74:14 global [3] - 31:24, 35:15, 43:22 God [1] - 42:14 Goll [10] - 3:17, 88:8, 88:19, 90:1, 103:25, 145:1, 147:7, 148:22, 149:8, 154:4 GOLL [62] - 88:7, 89:24, 94:11, 103:8, 104:10, 104:19, 104:23, 105:1, 105:13, 105:16, 105:20, 106:12, 107:5, 107:10, 108:3, 108:6, 108:8, 109:1, 109:3, 113:13, 113:17, 114:2, 114:4, 114:7, 114:16, 114:22, 115:1, 115:9, 115:11, 115:14, 115:21, 115:24, 116:4, 116:12, 117:3, 117:13, 117:16, 120:12, 120:15, 120:18, 121:9, 121:15, 121:18, 121:24, 122:8, 122:15, 122:20, 131:15, 131:19, 135:10, 135:24, 136:3, 136:6, 136:20, 136:24, 137:12, 137:17, 137:22, 138:2, 139:18, 141:8, 148:5 Goll's [1] - 150:21 Gonzalez [2] - 6:18, 205:7 GONZALEZ [10] -

1:10, 6:21, 13:3, 34:8, 51:12, 57:13, 102:16. 102:19. 204:24, 205:8 Goodkind [1] - 89:5 Google [1] - 80:7 grade [6] - 12:11, 28:3. 54:4. 60:21. 65:16, 84:20 graded [1] - 124:25 grades [2] - 12:8, 12:17 grading [1] - 152:18 graduated [2] - 88:21, 88:25 graduating [1] - 89:3 graphic [3] - 61:12, 62:25, 84:15 graphics [2] - 57:22, 60:5 grave [1] - 193:7 gravel [8] - 41:18, 46:17, 82:18, 85:24, 86:6, 125:16, 128:16, 129:3 gravelly [1] - 32:5 gray [2] - 72:16, 72:23 great [12] - 71:3, 133:16, 144:4, 144:19, 186:3, 186:4, 188:11, 189:5, 189:8, 193:9, 194:1 greater [1] - 58:19 green [13] - 12:9, 59:16, 59:18, 71:23, 72:5, 83:7, 83:25, 109:8, 109:9, 120:23, 142:1, 142:2, 150:7 Griffith [6] - 171:18, 172:4, 172:5, 172:7, 173:16, 174:17 ground [20] - 12:14, 20:24, 20:25, 29:1, 30:4, 32:7, 40:21, 48:23, 65:23, 66:4, 81:14, 125:19, 140:20, 140:23, 153:5, 154:13, 195:16, 195:21, 195:24 groundwater [20] -45:24, 47:13, 47:19, 47:21, 47:23, 48:1, 49:3, 50:3, 109:15, 126:21, 126:23,

20:2, 26:13, 28:16,

foot [15] - 29:2, 30:4,

126:24, 128:7,

129:2, 129:10,

129:13, 133:8,

133:9, 154:9, 187:9 group [4] - 164:19, 176:23, 177:3, 189:1 groups [2] - 183:18, 183:22 grow [1] - 192:18 GSI [1] - 38:21 guardrail [1] - 180:15 guess [10] - 49:15, 55:12, 55:18, 82:7, 114:8, 131:14, 131:15, 137:14, 139:2, 145:20 gut [1] - 100:14 guy [1] - 79:1 guys [1] - 193:23

Н

H-E-I-T-M-A-N-N [1] -179:12 Hackensack [1] -184:15 HADJIYANNIS [90] -1:17, 13:16, 13:22, 14:5, 17:9, 23:13, 23:17, 23:20, 24:12, 34:13, 45:17, 45:22, 51:5, 54:24, 55:2, 79:22, 79:24, 83:13, 87:17, 87:20, 88:3, 88:18, 89:25, 90:24, 91:12, 92:2, 92:10, 93:10, 94:18, 94:24, 95:2, 95:5, 95:7, 95:13, 97:24, 98:3, 98:24, 99:3, 99:10, 99:13, 99:20, 99:24, 100:19, 101:2, 101:10, 103:19, 103:24, 112:1, 112:6, 112:8, 112:12, 112:16, 113:20, 136:11, 136:17, 137:7, 142:25, 143:20, 143:25, 144:6, 144:13, 147:23, 151:3, 151:7, 157:9, 157:17, 157:20, 160:5, 160:19, 161:4, 161:9, 161:18, 161:25, 162:5, 162:9, 162:22, 162:25, 163:15, 163:24, 164:7, 169:20, 169:22, 170:2, 176:7, 178:19, 179:21, 181:19,

182:5, 183:3, 183:6 Hadjiyannis [57] - 3:5, 3:7, 3:10, 3:13, 3:16, 3:18, 3:21, 3:24, 13:14, 13:18, 13:20, 14:1, 14:3, 17:3, 17:6, 24:22, 33:12, 33:17, 34:11, 54:23, 62:23, 79:20, 87:16, 90:21, 91:16, 92:8, 92:17, 93:15, 93:24, 98:2, 98:5, 101:7, 101:13, 103:2, 103:14, 136:2, 142:23, 143:24, 147:12, 147:14, 147:18, 147:22, 151:1, 156:13, 156:19, 157:1, 157:15, 163:20, 169:18, 179:19, 181:18, 182:7, 182:12, 182:15, 182:19, 182:24, 204:19 half [4] - 62:17, 74:20, 85:6, 201:24 Hall [2] - 180:24, 186:10 hallway [1] - 194:6 hammers [1] - 36:12 hand [2] - 18:9, 97:19 handle [1] - 33:17 handled [1] - 183:24 happy [1] - 58:6 hard [6] - 119:20, 163:23, 164:9, 192:11, 193:18, 201:6 harm [1] - 26:19 HARRINGTON [74] -1:16, 5:8, 5:13, 6:16, 6:23, 9:4, 11:14, 12:1, 12:2, 12:22, 22:3, 23:8, 24:23, 25:8, 25:14, 25:24, 26:9, 33:19, 51:8, 51:14, 52:4, 54:11, 56:1, 56:6, 57:2, 57:14, 76:16, 79:18, 85:16, 85:19, 85:22, 86:8, 86:25, 87:13, 91:2, 91:5, 91:9, 93:4, 93:20, 94:1, 94:13, 95:20, 100:8, 100:11, 102:18, 123:5. 123:25. 143:4, 143:6, 143:11, 143:17,

144:15, 144:22,

144:25, 145:3, 147:6, 148:4, 148:20. 150:25. 156:5, 160:3, 161:2, 176:10, 176:12, 176:17, 176:19, 178:3, 178:11, 178:13, 179:5, 181:23, 181:25, 182:9, 190:19 Harrington [47] - 3:4, 3:6, 3:9, 3:12, 3:15, 3:19, 3:22, 5:14, 11:11, 21:25, 51:7, 55:25, 86:23, 90:22, 91:3, 91:11, 91:21, 92:1, 92:23, 92:25, 95:14, 96:10, 96:25, 100:25, 102:1, 102:4, 102:7, 102:12, 102:23, 122:25, 123:4, 143:16, 144:3, 144:7, 144:19, 144:24, 147:16, 148:2, 160:1, 160:21, 160:25, 163:21, 176:8, 181:21, 182:13, 182:16, 204:20 Harrington's [5] -96:7, 99:8, 100:3, 162:17, 182:3 hate [1] - 93:1 **HDMI** [1] - 112:3 head [2] - 41:7, 147:10 hear [4] - 7:1, 91:3, 182:15, 204:21 heard [8] - 5:17, 90:1, 90:6, 125:3, 144:11, 162:17, 182:20, 204:10 hearing [18] - 6:19, 6:24, 8:22, 9:8, 9:12, 10:18, 13:12, 16:10, 92:16, 101:9, 101:16, 101:19, 108:16, 160:23, 162:18, 166:25, 203:13, 205:19 hears [2] - 86:19, 157:13 heavy [1] - 109:18 height [10] - 58:11, 58:20, 61:18, 68:7, 72:2, 84:4, 84:15, 85:7, 201:15, 201:25 Heights [7] - 171:8, 184:21, 191:24, 202:9, 202:11,

Heitmann [5] - 3:23, 179:6, 179:11, 179:14, 179:22 HEITMANN [2] -179:11, 179:17 Heitmann's [1] - 87:24 help [3] - 37:2, 100:6, 141:21 helpful [1] - 163:14 helping [1] - 191:16 helps [1] - 132:14 hereby [1] - 206:7 hereinbefore [1] -206:10 HERRERA [4] - 196:6, 196:7, 196:10, 196:14 Herrera [3] - 4:8, 196:6, 196:8 hi [1] - 55:3 high [11] - 47:23, 48:8, 85:4, 126:21, 126:24, 127:10, 127:11, 127:16, 127:18, 127:22, 128:7 highest [3] - 19:20, 47:25, 54:6 highlight [3] - 106:1, 107:15, 114:9 highlighted [5] - 64:2, 107:2, 113:25, 166:13, 171:9 highlighting [2] -114:8, 167:2 highly [2] - 124:25, 139:25 Highway [1] - 38:19 highway [1] - 89:4 historic [3] - 162:14, 167:3, 175:9 historical [4] - 168:17, 170:19, 170:21, 171:2 Historical [2] - 170:22, 172:19 historically [1] -171:13 **history** [2] - 171:4, 185:7 hit [3] - 13:21, 82:18, 133:12 hits [3] - 48:11, 127:24, 164:3 **hmm** [1] - 198:17 Hoboken [1] - 195:13 Hoek [4] - 29:15, 37:19, 38:12, 38:21

hold [6] - 44:15,

203:12

67:17, 112:10, 131:8, 132:8, 133:4 hole [4] - 41:21, 44:12, 123:11, 184:24 home [2] - 188:5, 203:22 homes [3] - 189:2, 189:3, 201:22 homogenous [1] -30:15 honest [1] - 95:16 honor [1] - 203:4 honored [1] - 16:18 hope [2] - 181:10, 189:9 hopefully [1] - 54:6 horizontal [3] - 37:6, 67:22, 69:24 horses [1] - 167:16 hours [1] - 97:15 house [3] - 50:12, 50:16, 164:5 housekeeping [1] -157:3 houses [1] - 188:24 housing [1] - 186:8 Huang [7] - 16:4, 16:17, 16:24, 17:5, 22:8, 22:10, 22:15 hub [1] - 196:15 Hudson [2] - 170:21, 172:19 hundred [2] - 46:24, 80:23 **Hydro** [1] - 89:8 hypothetical [1] -154:18

idea [9] - 80:9, 91:25, 106:16, 121:7, 140:3, 144:4, 172:21, 172:23 ideal [1] - 15:16 identification [9] -6:14, 11:10, 57:16, 117:8, 117:9, 117:12, 143:2, 169:24, 172:3 identified [1] - 49:3 identify [5] - 11:12, 22:22, 41:13, 41:17, 163:7 identifying [1] - 42:9 **III** [1] - 1:16 illustrate [2] - 94:4, 100:6 image [4] - 80:7, 120:19, 167:3, 174:8 imagine [3] - 30:18, 135:16, 195:18 imbalanced [1] -99:25 immediately [2] -61:9, 67:9 impact [24] - 46:3, 46:11, 47:2, 47:4, 47:15, 47:21, 61:17, 64:12, 71:3, 71:6, 73:8, 74:15, 74:17, 75:24, 76:5, 81:4, 132:22, 138:13, 140:15, 142:16, 154:21, 186:4, 197:24, 202:10 impacted [1] - 44:4 impacting [1] - 47:10 impacts [3] - 105:2, 121:7, 130:14 impaired [1] - 196:16 impart [1] - 134:13 impermeable [4] -46:1, 82:18, 94:6, 125:1 impervious [26] -61:7, 90:17, 104:13, 108:18, 110:6, 110:7, 110:17, 111:7, 111:12, 114:10, 114:24, 115:3, 117:20, 117:24, 118:18, 118:25, 119:9, 119:16, 122:16, 122:22, 124:6, 124:8, 124:16, 146:3, 155:11, 159:14 implementation [1] -71:5 importance [1] - 189:9 important [8] -109:20, 111:5, 118:12, 126:21, 175:19, 175:24, 175:25, 186:4 importantly [2] -133:19, 134:3 impossible [2] -37:18, 38:23 improved [1] - 63:19 improvement [1] -14:25 improvements [2] -65:7, 152:17 in-house [1] - 164:5 inadequate [1] -

20:18, 186:9, 190:23 inch [1] - 11:19 inches [9] - 20:8, 35:8, 37:14, 41:22, 61:25, 62:1, 72:22, 125:16 inclination [2] - 36:18, 36:22 include [8] - 22:19, 22:21, 121:5, 124:19, 129:9, 130:4, 153:7, 185:21 included [1] - 172:16 includes [1] - 115:2 including [3] - 40:15, 120:21, 153:1 incomplete [1] - 193:3 inconsistent [1] - 16:4 incorporate [1] -155:18 increase [1] - 12:10 incumbent [1] - 92:11 indeed [1] - 6:21 indented [1] - 174:2 independent [4] -43:8, 146:19, 146:21, 196:19 indicates [1] - 166:14 indifferent [1] -176:24 individual [3] - 66:9, 66:10, 73:24 industrial [2] - 194:25, 201:21 **infiltrate** [1] - 46:2 **infiltration** [1] - 153:4 information [10] -29:9, 119:6, 125:2, 131:7, 132:10, 132:13, 133:24, 134:4, 146:24, 194:17 Infrastructure [1] -165:2 infrastructure [1] -109:8 initial [3] - 53:15, 82:17, 88:8 injury [1] - 193:7 inlet [1] - 128:15 input [3] - 39:3, 133:8, 146:23 inputs [3] - 38:18, 40:4, 40:6 inset [1] - 72:16 **instability** [1] - 193:6 instead [6] - 20:7, 110:15, 110:16, 140:5, 149:19, 180:15 instrumental [1] -

185:1 intact [3] - 30:15, 30:17, 35:4 intend [1] - 107:15 interaction [1] - 35:4 interested [1] - 206:15 **interesting** [2] - 90:6, 168:17 interface [1] - 133:18 interior [2] - 59:9, 59:23 interlocking [1] -37:21 internet [1] - 172:20 interpolated [2] -138:24, 138:25 interpret [3] - 42:23, 94:15, 107:20 interpretation [10] -107:22, 145:20, 145:22, 146:9, 149:8, 149:12, 155:10, 155:12, 187:1, 187:8 interpreting [1] -107:19 interrupt [1] - 101:13 intersect [1] - 199:3 intersection [11] -9:23, 17:16, 17:19, 17:24, 18:13, 62:22, 62:24, 198:10, 198:24, 199:2, 199:14 intervals [1] - 20:10 introduce [2] - 7:19, 150:6 introducing [3] -45:23, 154:12, 154:22 introduction [2] -72:6, 177:14 inversion [1] - 32:15 investigation [2] -26:15, 133:2 investigations [1] -127:12 involved [1] - 62:14 iPad [1] - 88:11 iron [3] - 48:3, 48:4, 127:2 ironing [1] - 153:16 irrelevant [1] - 186:21

25:18, 25:19, 31:17, 53:8, 123:10, 128:5, 145:17, 167:21 it'll [4] - 72:1, 78:4, 78:19, 82:18 item [1] - 152:11 items [7] - 10:19, 74:16. 86:20. 132:15, 157:14, 164:4, 164:5 iterations [1] - 161:14 itself [13] - 12:21, 16:22, 63:16, 63:17. 64:7, 69:4, 70:22, 72:22, 72:25, 75:7, 82:10, 155:13, 166:12 J

J-U-N-D-I [1] - 25:23 jam [1] - 202:9 Jan [1] - 206:20 January [5] - 1:7, 5:5, 5:18, 99:13, 127:13 Jason [3] - 3:11, 51:15, 51:19 **JCMUA** [17] - 149:22, 149:24, 150:16, 150:20, 151:10, 151:15, 152:18, 152:23, 153:3, 153:10, 153:18, 154:1, 154:11, 154:19, 154:24, 155:7, 155:17 Jefferson [1] - 200:24 **Jeffrey** [2] - 4:7, 194:4 Jennifer [2] - 4:10, 199:24 **Jersey** [60] - 7:3, 7:6, 14:19, 15:18, 22:12, 22:15, 22:17, 41:3, 47:24, 52:7, 52:12, 58:15, 64:19, 74:25, 79:6, 83:2, 88:25, 89:16, 98:11, 98:18, 103:20, 104:4, 104:16, 105:5, 109:14. 109:22. 110:11, 110:24, 117:19, 142:9, 145:13, 145:21, 146:10, 149:14, 152:2, 152:5, 153:3, 154:7, 154:8, 155:2, 157:22, 158:21, 159:6, 174:3, 175:15, 175:17, 184:15, 186:19,

202:17, 203:10, 203:25, 206:7, 206:19 **JERSEY** [1] - 1:1 Jim [1] - 33:2 **job** [5] - 37:3, 38:3, 51:1, 132:16, 132:17 Johnson [2] - 27:13, 40:22 joys [1] - 188:11 Jr [1] - 56:12 July [1] - 158:18 jump [3] - 54:2, 58:1, 83:12 jumped [1] - 178:23 Jundi [14] - 3:8, 7:25, 8:1, 25:15, 25:22, 25:23, 25:24, 26:3, 26:10, 28:10, 32:1, 34:3, 34:14, 51:9 **JUNDI** [4] - 25:22, 26:5, 34:5, 45:14 Jundi's [1] - 52:23 June [1] - 76:1 **JZN** [3] - 7:25, 26:22, 133:2

187:9. 188:5.

188:10, 189:24,

191:23, 198:9,

K

Kate [2] - 4:5, 189:19 **KATZ** [2] - 188:4, 188:8 Katz [2] - 4:4, 188:4 keep [8] - 16:19, 39:8, 45:19, 94:16, 117:22, 165:13, 176:2, 188:18 keeping [2] - 192:19, 193:17 KERN [1] - 160:10 Kern [6] - 3:20, 87:21, 160:6, 163:19, 176:13, 178:22 Kern's [1] - 162:18 **key** [3] - 65:22, 78:20, 78:21 kicked [1] - 109:11 kill [1] - 8:15 killed [1] - 140:11 kind [24] - 29:7, 37:20, 38:18, 39:23, 40:3, 41:25, 44:4, 52:14, 52:18, 78:4, 83:6, 83:7, 85:19, 96:5, 96:15, 109:10, 129:4, 145:5, 153:4, 162:11, 171:8,

issue [8] - 52:9, 87:7,

93:6, 95:15, 95:16,

issues [10] - 6:25, 8:2,

127:18, 132:20,

issued [2] - 152:2,

135:5

152:14

165:23

inappropriate [3] -

174:1, 175:3
kinds [1] - 188:19
kips [7] - 29:1, 30:4,
40:18, 40:20, 41:4,
53:23
knowledge [1] 111:23
known [2] - 91:20,
203:18
KSF [1] - 43:1

L

L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D 121 - 8:20, 148:18 lack [1] - 114:20 land [2] - 17:2, 78:22 Land [1] - 85:13 landed [1] - 53:14 landform [2] - 10:22, 18:21 lands [1] - 169:1 landscaped [2] -66:11, 67:8 landslide [6] - 38:5, 141:2, 142:13, 145:5, 162:16, 163:2 landslides [2] - 141:3, 141:7 landsliding [2] - 38:8, 38:10 Langston [1] - 205:15 LANGSTON [224] -1:10, 5:1, 5:10, 6:15, 8:21, 8:25, 12:24, 13:4, 13:13, 14:2, 21:23, 23:10, 23:15, 25:4, 25:9, 26:2, 26:6, 33:4, 33:8, 33:12, 33:16, 33:25, 34:3, 34:6, 34:10, 51:6, 51:10, 51:21, 51:25, 54:13, 54:22, 55:24, 56:3, 56:5, 56:14, 56:18, 56:22, 57:11, 57:17, 76:12, 76:18, 77:1, 77:12, 77:17, 78:6, 79:12, 79:15, 79:20, 83:11, 83:14, 85:18, 86:10, 86:21, 87:11, 87:15, 87:18, 88:1, 88:4, 88:10, 88:15, 89:22, 95:25, 96:4, 97:11, 98:1, 98:21, 99:6, 99:11, 99:19, 99:23, 100:10, 100:20, 102:10, 102:25,

104:24, 105:3, 105:10, 105:14, 105:17. 105:22. 106:2, 106:5, 106:17, 106:19, 107:7, 108:7, 108:25, 109:2, 111:20, 117:4, 117:15, 121:20, 122:25, 123:18, 131:13, 131:18, 135:7, 135:22, 136:8, 136:15, 136:22, 137:1, 137:10, 137:24, 138:4, 142:18, 142:22, 143:9, 144:10, 144:17, 144:23, 148:2, 148:7, 148:9, 151:1, 151:5, 156:7, 156:12, 156:17, 156:21, 157:1, 157:4, 157:15, 160:1, 160:4, 160:7, 160:12, 160:17, 161:7, 161:16, 161:21, 162:1, 162:7, 162:19, 162:23, 163:5, 163:9, 163:13, 163:19, 164:2, 164:8, 167:6, 167:9, 168:2, 168:8, 168:12, 168:15, 168:21, 169:3, 169:6, 170:6, 170:18, 171:15, 173:3, 173:5, 173:14, 174:10, 174:14, 174:18, 174:21, 176:8, 178:4, 178:6, 178:21, 178:25, 179:3, 179:13, 179:18, 181:17, 181:21, 181:24, 182:2, 182:7, 183:5, 183:8, 183:14, 183:19, 183:23, 184:8, 184:17, 184:20, 185:4, 185:8. 185:12. 187:14, 187:19, 187:25, 188:6, 189:14, 190:3, 190:6, 190:10, 190:13, 190:16, 192:1, 192:6, 192:13, 193:21,

193:24, 194:7,

194:10, 196:2, 196:8, 196:12, 198:3. 198:12. 198:15, 199:20, 199:25, 200:3, 200:6, 200:20, 201:1, 201:4, 202:18, 202:23, 203:2, 204:15, 205:4, 205:16 language [6] - 104:2, 145:24, 146:1, 146:11, 152:8, 153:16 large [10] - 18:7, 56:7, 59:16, 60:14, 114:6, 182:22, 195:13, 195:16, 199:11, 200:15 larger [4] - 63:23, 68:21, 116:9, 202:11 largest [2] - 68:22, 145:14 last [25] - 6:18, 6:24, 7:24, 8:22, 9:11, 10:18, 25:15, 25:22, 25:25. 27:8. 54:15. 54:16, 71:14, 88:8, 89:10, 90:15, 108:16, 140:18, 157:24, 158:5, 158:7, 160:10, 160:22, 198:8, 202:24 laterally [1] - 127:25 latest [3] - 17:8, 121:1, 166:8 laughing [1] - 97:15 lawyer [3] - 16:3, 93:13, 191:6 lay [3] - 92:2, 93:24, 103:14 layer [1] - 125:16 layers [1] - 82:17 LDO [1] - 152:22 lead [2] - 56:7, 168:3 lead-up [1] - 56:7 leading [1] - 167:12 leads [1] - 167:17 learning [2] - 196:18, 196:20 lease [1] - 203:11 least [5] - 53:15, 109:24, 116:13, 125:16, 127:10 leave [1] - 148:7 leaves [1] - 48:5 LED [1] - 65:24 led [2] - 168:10,

left [11] - 5:19, 64:15, 65:6. 68:17. 72:16. 88:11, 167:14, 172:5, 172:9, 172:11 legal [2] - 16:3, 179:16 legally [2] - 152:1, 152:3 legend [1] - 21:4 **LEGG** [4] - 33:1, 33:6, 33:10, 33:14 Legg [1] - 33:2 less [3] - 39:20, 44:15, 66:24 lessen [1] - 64:11 letter [9] - 7:4, 7:13, 17:8, 22:25, 24:9, 25:1, 101:21, 128:12, 152:19 level [10] - 19:18, 20:7, 31:14, 47:25, 65:16, 66:2, 66:6, 66:7, 84:6, 113:15 levels [1] - 66:3 Liberty [3] - 89:18, 145:15, 145:17 license [4] - 8:23, 26:3, 51:23, 56:19 licensed [1] - 88:25 **Lichuan** [2] - 15:3, 152:17 Liebeskind [9] - 3:3, 8:16, 8:19, 8:21, 14:6, 25:12, 62:24, 148:17, 157:18 LIEBESKIND [7] -8:19, 8:24, 9:2, 11:24, 13:6, 25:13, 148:17 Liebeskind's [1] -24:18 life [1] - 202:1 lift [1] - 109:19 light [7] - 12:9, 114:12, 181:1, 196:20, 196:24, 198:23, 199:1 lighter [1] - 42:24 lights [1] - 10:9 limit [11] - 113:3, 113:5, 113:18, 113:25, 114:18, 115:7, 116:5, 119:22, 120:4 limited [6] - 23:17, 75:18, 95:22, 143:7, 143:12, 153:25 limits [2] - 122:23, 165:24 line [31] - 10:6, 32:17, 61:15, 63:10, 63:13,

63:16, 64:2, 65:24, 69:21, 70:21, 70:23, 72:24, 73:3, 74:18, 75:12, 75:18, 84:14, 84:19, 85:4, 113:4, 113:7, 113:12, 113:15, 114:1, 114:19, 115:7, 115:8, 119:23, 166:8, 195:12 linear [2] - 65:24, 178:10 liner [1] - 72:15 lines [4] - 67:17, 76:4, 114:15, 134:5 link [1] - 172:17 listed [1] - 73:23 literally [2] - 104:6, 186:11 livable [1] - 189:4 live [21] - 33:2, 156:15, 180:11, 184:20, 184:21, 192:16, 194:15, 195:23, 196:7, 196:14, 196:15, 200:8, 200:24, 201:11, 201:12, 202:16, 202:22, 203:13, 204:3, 204:9, 204:11 lived [4] - 184:23, 188:10, 192:16, 192:17 lives [2] - 195:9, 195:10 living [2] - 197:19, 202:7 LLC [1] - 1:4 **LLP**[1] - 1:15 load [24] - 7:23, 19:5, 25:18, 27:9, 29:3, 29:19, 30:5, 30:20, 30:22, 30:24, 31:6, 31:16, 40:14, 40:17, 40:23, 42:7, 43:19, 43:23, 44:12, 130:6, 130:9, 134:10, 134:13 load-bearing [5] -19:5, 25:18, 40:23, 130:6, 130:9 loaded [2] - 9:7, 165:5 loading [1] - 65:16 loads [3] - 40:16, 53:17, 61:19 locality [1] - 38:3 locate [1] - 17:21 located [9] - 59:16, 63:15, 66:13, 71:20, 72:3, 76:22, 76:23,

203:17

103:6, 103:9,

103:17, 104:21,

76:24. 113:1 location [21] - 9:10. 15:11, 16:20, 17:23, 22:22, 24:5, 25:1, 25:3, 41:13, 42:3, 68:5, 68:8, 78:5, 125:21, 138:13, 138:23, 139:9, 166:6. 167:7. 168:23, 180:12 locations [5] - 27:21, 68:1, 68:3, 79:5, 141:25 LOD [1] - 113:7 logs [1] - 36:17 Lombardozzi [2] -206:5, 206:19 LOMBARDOZZI [1] -1:22 longest [2] - 48:16, 48:17 look [32] - 35:1, 35:2, 37:15, 38:25, 44:20, 47:8, 47:12, 85:2, 96:15, 97:21, 98:15, 100:2, 107:17, 111:18, 113:6, 117:25, 119:25, 125:12, 128:25, 129:1, 132:18, 136:16, 138:8, 138:16, 140:17, 166:3, 167:4, 174:5, 175:6, 187:13, 201:20 looked [8] - 31:9, 38:11, 53:12, 53:13, 97:21. 133:23. 186:6, 187:8 looking [21] - 10:25, 48:2, 60:4, 66:5, 66:6, 67:3, 105:7, 109:9, 132:20, 137:16, 137:25, 168:2, 171:22, 172:8, 172:12, 174:11, 174:12, 174:16, 195:12, 203:10 looks [3] - 133:22, 138:23, 157:5 loses [1] - 48:4 lost [1] - 197:19 love [4] - 108:25, 109:2, 164:10, 164:11 loves [1] - 166:10 lowered [1] - 110:14 lubricator [1] - 133:17 Lyndsey [1] - 23:24

M

machine [1] - 32:21 machines [2] - 32:21, 75:8 magnitude [1] -120:25 mailing [1] - 5:24 main [2] - 64:20, 150:5 maintenance [1] -78:18 major [35] - 5:3, 32:8, 100:17, 103:12, 104:5, 108:20, 108:22, 109:12, 110:3, 110:4, 118:13, 118:14, 118:20, 119:11, 120:5, 120:25, 124:2, 125:8, 126:5, 128:18, 129:22, 141:18, 141:19, 149:19, 149:20, 150:3, 150:15, 150:19, 151:24, 153:22, 154:24, 155:8, 159:5, 186:20 majority [7] - 12:8, 49:19, 73:1, 74:2, 74:14, 76:5, 154:7 manage [1] - 128:9 management [10] -45:4, 46:7, 72:9, 83:7, 83:24, 88:24, 125:13, 126:6, 128:8, 151:8 manhole [1] - 166:2 manner [2] - 122:18, 142:9 manual [6] - 125:14, 127:5, 127:6, 127:9, 127:15, 142:10 Manzella [1] - 23:24 map [5] - 162:13, 162:14, 172:15, 172:22, 172:25 map's [1] - 173:11 mapped [1] - 141:9 maps [2] - 170:23, 171:3 March [2] - 75:22, 75:24 mark [9] - 6:11, 11:2, 11:8, 57:6, 57:9, 117:7, 117:9,

169:19, 171:20

marked [14] - 5:21,

6:13, 11:4, 11:9,

117:5, 117:7,

57:15, 58:2, 60:1,

117:11, 143:1, 148:25, 169:23, 172:2 marker [1] - 114:21 marking [1] - 11:6 marks [1] - 48:5 marrying [1] - 63:8 masonry [11] - 61:3, 61:11, 62:12, 62:18, 64:23, 65:20, 67:10, 73:5, 74:13, 74:20, 80:14 mass [12] - 30:17, 35:2, 35:7, 35:16, 36:5, 36:6, 37:22, 40:14, 71:3, 133:23, 186:3, 201:24 massing [2] - 74:17, 84:17 massive [1] - 36:11 master's [1] - 88:23 match [1] - 185:24 matches [1] - 113:7 material [16] - 21:9, 42:20, 73:10, 73:14, 74:2, 74:5, 75:8, 82:11, 131:2, 131:22, 132:9, 132:24, 133:20, 134:6, 134:8, 134:15 materially [2] -121:10, 121:12 materials [6] - 20:14, 20:20, 20:21, 67:23, 69:22, 73:22 math [1] - 94:8 math's [1] - 100:5 mathematical [1] -96:6 Matt [4] - 137:3, 137:5, 163:15, 170:6 MATT [1] - 2:2 Matt's [1] - 137:3 Matter [1] - 1:2 matter [9] - 93:2, 97:17, 126:25, 159:20, 166:19, 191:2, 191:4, 191:16, 193:13 matters [5] - 13:11, 107:6, 107:11, 107:21, 166:19 MATTHEW [1] - 2:8 maximum [2] - 19:15, 41:4 mean [20] - 13:8, 24:12, 30:11, 34:22, 34:25, 39:22, 49:14, 81:11, 83:15, 93:12, 94:13, 99:7, 99:14,

100:6, 105:25, 114:16, 143:6, 158:16, 176:13, 183:3 meaning [2] - 36:11, 186:7 means [8] - 32:16, 32:19. 66:14. 104:23, 104:25, 119:12, 142:6 meant [2] - 34:20, 128:15 meantime [1] - 7:7 measure [1] - 47:25 measured [3] - 48:1, 120:2, 174:1 measurement [4] -20:8, 84:22, 118:23, 120:3 measurements [1] -94:14 mechanical [6] -65:14, 68:3, 71:24, 77:13, 78:9 mechanics [1] - 38:20 medical [1] - 76:7 medium [2] - 72:15, 72:23 meet [6] - 23:1, 46:10, 118:13, 141:21, 159:7 meeting [23] - 4:15, 5:4, 5:6, 5:19, 5:25, 6:7, 7:24, 24:4, 25:16, 25:25, 27:8, 90:15, 90:23, 98:12, 98:19, 176:23, 177:3, 192:12, 203:5, 203:17, 204:10, 204:18, 205:18 meetings [4] - 189:1, 193:12, 194:18, 195:7 meets [3] - 43:14, 108:14, 153:23 Mellick [1] - 89:6 Mellick-Tully [1] - 89:6 member [21] - 33:8, 33:10, 156:13, 156:14, 185:9, 185:11, 190:4, 190:7, 190:20, 190:24, 192:3, 192:4, 192:9, 194:8, 196:9, 196:19, 198:13, 200:3, 201:2, 202:19, 202:21 members [7] - 86:17,

180:5, 182:23, 192:23, 193:10, 198:19. 200:11 **MEMBERS** [1] - 1:9 membership [1] -183:4 memo [13] - 9:9, 14:12, 15:2, 16:2, 16:5, 16:8, 17:4, 24:1, 24:16, 93:11, 93:15, 151:17, 152:16 memos [1] - 22:18 mention [1] - 134:9 mentioned [8] - 65:8, 80:4, 87:6, 125:4, 145:4, 186:2, 187:4, 192:24 merely [1] - 15:15 merry [1] - 46:19 mess [1] - 187:16 met [7] - 7:5, 22:7, 22:10, 23:21, 23:23, 185:4 method [7] - 29:15, 37:23, 38:12, 38:14, 38:21, 39:4, 142:14 methods [6] - 21:11, 32:2, 32:11, 32:16, 32:19, 38:25 metropolitan [1] -154:10 mic [10] - 13:20, 87:19, 93:9, 94:17, 97:12, 97:19, 170:25, 171:16, 176:16, 178:12 mic's [1] - 6:4 MICHAEL [1] - 1:22 Michael [2] - 206:5, 206:19 microphone [2] -143:10, 183:25 mid [3] - 23:5, 58:16, 60:22 midday [1] - 75:24 middle [2] - 88:8, 164:3 might [9] - 30:16, 31:8, 31:9, 47:2, 47:15, 112:1, 125:19, 133:25, 188:21 Mike [7] - 23:23, 33:25, 88:10, 148:10, 156:8, 156:10, 184:10 mile [1] - 201:13 milling [6] - 116:2, 116:4, 116:7,

165:23, 166:1, 177:6 mimic [1] - 70:6 mind [3] - 117:22, 149:8, 202:4 mine [1] - 135:23 minimum [4] - 14:13, 16:6, 110:2, 124:6 minor [28] - 90:14, 100:17, 103:12, 108:14, 108:22, 108:23, 109:5, 109:6, 126:5, 129:23, 146:5, 149:3, 149:19, 150:4, 150:15, 150:19, 151:24, 153:8, 153:21, 153:23, 154:20, 154:24, 155:4, 155:8, 159:9, 159:22, 186:20 minute [2] - 36:1, 111:10 minutes [16] - 35:23, 36:1, 36:3, 97:22, 101:25, 184:10, 188:1, 188:7, 192:2, 196:13, 198:16, 200:7, 201:10 misleading [1] - 17:24 missed [1] - 31:8 missing [1] - 130:1 mitigated [1] - 181:6 model [11] - 39:2, 39:5, 42:19, 42:22, 109:14, 110:13, 128:24, 133:22, 135:21, 135:25, 146:13 modeled [1] - 133:7 modeling [3] - 127:1, 133:7, 142:12 moderately [1] - 36:18 modification [2] -120:21, 122:4 modified [1] - 139:25 modular [1] - 77:20 Monique [2] - 4:8, 196:6 **Montgomery** [1] - 79:6 month [1] - 167:1 months [2] - 127:13, 203:11 mortgage [1] - 202:8 most [8] - 19:20, 54:6, 112:23, 120:13, 121:22, 154:13, 158:3, 181:11 mostly [1] - 149:21 motion [5] - 204:22,

205:1, 205:5, 205:17 motor [24] - 104:13, 110:7, 110:17, 111:8, 111:13, 114:11, 114:13, 115:13, 116:16, 117:21, 117:23, 118:1, 118:5, 118:7, 118:11. 118:18. 118:25, 119:8, 119:17, 122:22, 124:8, 124:9, 124:16, 159:14 motorized [1] - 146:3 MOUNT [2] - 188:4, 188:8 Mount [2] - 4:4, 188:4 Mountain [4] - 171:6, 171:9, 171:11, 173:2 movable [1] - 77:18 move [17] - 41:9, 49:16, 49:23, 49:25, 51:15, 100:9, 102:2, 102:5, 121:6, 143:1, 143:22, 144:3, 164:4. 164:12. 173:12, 174:10, 203:10 moved [6] - 7:10, 21:19, 63:4, 144:20, 165:7, 203:9 movements [1] - 9:16 moves [1] - 49:8 moving [6] - 49:6, 63:8, 102:6, 102:13, 120:22, 133:1 MR [356] - 5:8, 5:13, 5:22, 6:5, 6:16, 6:23, 8:19, 8:24, 9:2, 9:4, 11:3, 11:11, 11:14, 11:22, 11:24, 11:25, 12:1, 12:2, 12:22, 13:6, 13:17, 17:3, 22:3, 23:8, 24:22, 24:23, 25:8, 25:13, 25:14, 25:22, 25:24, 26:5, 26:9, 33:1, 33:6, 33:10, 33:14, 33:19, 33:21, 34:5, 45:11, 45:14, 45:15, 45:19, 51:8, 51:14, 51:19, 51:24, 52:2, 52:4, 54:11, 54:19, 56:1, 56:4, 56:6, 56:11, 56:16, 56:21, 56:24, 57:2, 57:14, 57:20, 76:16, 76:20, 77:3, 77:16, 77:19,

78:14, 78:17, 79:2,

79:4, 79:9, 79:17,

79:18, 85:16, 85:19, 85:22, 86:8, 86:25, 87:13, 88:7, 89:24, 90:21, 91:2, 91:3, 91:5, 91:9, 91:10, 91:16, 92:7, 92:17, 93:4, 93:14, 93:20, 93:23, 94:1, 94:11, 94:13, 94:16, 94:22, 95:1, 95:3, 95:6, 95:11, 95:14, 95:20, 96:24, 98:5, 100:8, 100:11, 101:7, 101:12, 102:18, 103:8, 103:14, 103:22, 104:8, 104:10, 104:14, 104:19, 104:23, 105:1, 105:9, 105:13, 105:16, 105:19, 105:20, 105:24, 106:3, 106:6, 106:12, 106:18, 107:5, 107:9, 107:10, 107:12, 108:3, 108:5, 108:6, 108:8, 109:1, 109:3, 111:23, 112:3, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 113:11, 113:13, 113:14, 113:17, 113:19, 113:22, 114:2, 114:3, 114:4, 114:5, 114:7, 114:15, 114:16, 114:18, 114:22, 114:23, 115:1, 115:5, 115:9, 115:10, 115:11, 115:12, 115:14, 115:15, 115:16, 115:18, 115:19, 115:21, 115:22, 115:24, 116:1, 116:4, 116:11, 116:12, 116:24, 117:3, 117:6, 117:13, 117:16, 120:11, 120:12, 120:13, 120:15, 120:16, 120:18, 121:4, 121:9, 121:11, 121:15, 121:17, 121:18, 121:21, 121:24, 122:6, 122:8, 122:11, 122:15, 122:17, 122:20, 123:3, 123:5,

131:19, 135:10, 135:24, 136:2, 136:3. 136:4. 136:6. 136:18, 136:20, 136:24, 137:3, 137:6, 137:8, 137:12, 137:15, 137:17, 137:19, 137:22, 138:2, 139:16, 139:18, 141:6, 141:8, 143:3, 143:4, 143:5, 143:6, 143:11, 143:15, 143:17, 143:19, 143:22, 144:2, 144:9, 144:15, 144:18, 144:22, 144:25, 145:3, 147:6, 147:11, 148:1, 148:4, 148:5, 148:6, 148:14, 148:17, 148:20, 150:25, 156:5, 157:3, 157:11, 160:3, 160:10, 160:16, 161:2, 161:19, 161:23, 162:4, 163:8, 163:11, 164:1, 164:12, 167:8, 167:11, 168:6, 168:10, 168:13, 168:25, 169:4, 169:8, 169:18, 169:21, 169:25, 170:4, 170:10, 170:12, 170:16, 171:1, 171:18, 171:20, 171:23, 171:24, 171:25, 172:1, 172:7, 172:12, 172:14, 172:16, 172:21, 172:24, 173:4, 173:7, 173:13, 174:12, 174:15, 174:19, 174:24, 176:10, 176:12, 176:17, 176:19, 176:25, 178:3, 178:5, 178:8, 178:11, 178:12, 178:13, 178:16, 179:2, 179:5, 179:11, 179:17, 181:23, 181:25, 182:6, 182:9, 182:11, 184:6, 184:14, 184:19, 184:23, 185:5, 185:10, 185:14,

187:15, 187:23, 189:23, 190:19, 191:1. 191:18. 191:21, 192:4, 192:8, 192:14, 193:23, 194:4, 194:9, 194:12, 205:7, 205:9, 205:11, 205:13, 205:15, 205:17 MS [122] - 13:16, 13:22, 14:5, 17:9, 23:13, 23:17, 23:20, 24:12, 34:13, 45:17, 45:22, 51:5, 54:24, 55:2, 79:22, 79:24, 83:13, 87:17, 87:20, 88:3, 88:18, 89:25, 90:24, 91:12, 92:2, 92:10, 93:10, 94:18, 94:24, 95:2, 95:5, 95:7, 95:13, 97:24, 98:3, 98:24, 99:3, 99:10, 99:13, 99:20, 99:24, 100:19, 101:2, 101:10, 103:19, 103:24, 112:1, 112:6, 112:8, 112:12, 112:16, 113:20, 136:11, 136:17, 137:7, 142:25, 143:20, 143:25, 144:6, 144:13, 147:23, 151:3, 151:7, 156:11, 156:14, 156:20, 157:9, 157:17, 157:20, 160:5, 160:19, 161:4, 161:9, 161:18, 161:25, 162:5, 162:9, 162:22, 162:25, 163:15, 163:24, 164:7, 169:20, 169:22, 170:2, 176:7, 178:19, 179:21, 181:19, 182:5, 183:3, 183:6, 188:4, 188:8, 189:19, 189:22, 189:25, 190:5, 190:9, 190:12, 190:15, 191:3, 191:8, 191:12, 191:15, 196:6, 196:10, 196:14, 198:7, 198:14, 198:17, 199:24, 200:2, 200:5, 200:8, 200:23, 201:3,

123:25, 131:15,

201:11, 202:15, 202:21, 202:25, 203:3 MS4 [2] - 141:22 MTA [1] - 38:1 MUA [3] - 149:15, 153:1, 186:19 muddy [1] - 162:2 multi [3] - 58:16, 58:18, 62:17 multi-family [3] -58:16, 58:18, 62:17 multiple [3] - 15:22, 68:2, 186:2 multiplication [1] -21:5 municipalities [1] -124:13 must [2] - 16:5, 141:18 MVMK [1] - 56:13

N

NAME [1] - 4:2 name [12] - 14:1, 25:23, 33:2, 88:7, 88:8, 148:16, 160:10, 184:6, 191:21, 198:7, 198:8, 202:15 Nancy [1] - 185:11 narrow [2] - 199:8, 199:11 narrowing [1] - 181:9 nature [3] - 153:25, 199:2, 199:9 navigate [1] - 196:24 near [1] - 165:12 necessarily [3] -120:24, 127:19 need [28] - 32:8, 33:21, 36:12, 43:18, 45:9, 50:7, 50:8, 100:14, 110:21, 111:3, 111:24, 113:23, 126:14, 127:8, 128:13, 130:25, 131:4, 131:22, 148:10, 163:20, 163:21, 164:4, 164:5, 170:4, 182:18, 184:9, 193:5, 195:8 needed [1] - 29:4 needs [1] - 125:22 negative [2] - 43:1, 83:9 negligible [1] - 30:9

neighbor's [2] - 9:20,

17:21 Neighborhood [7] -1:18, 13:25, 87:23, 161:12, 164:17, 179:7, 180:2 neighborhood [28] -64:13, 70:5, 71:2, 71:4, 73:9, 73:16, 131:4, 132:23, 142:16, 165:11, 171:4, 180:24, 181:14, 186:5, 186:6, 188:12, 192:20, 193:5, 194:19, 195:5, 198:20, 200:12, 200:13, 200:15, 201:16, 201:22, 201:24, 202:2 neighboring [1] -181:2 neighbors [2] -192:23, 193:8 NEJM [1] - 25:22 Nejm [4] - 3:8, 25:22, 53:17. 55:13 net [2] - 187:5 never [6] - 97:25, 98:3, 141:1, 180:14, 185:18, 204:11 New [10] - 38:1, 41:3, 47:24, 88:25, 109:14, 142:9, 184:15, 191:23, 206:6, 206:19 new [21] - 7:12, 7:24, 11:4, 15:10, 25:16, 64:18, 64:19, 65:6, 65:7, 99:14, 100:4, 100:5, 110:6, 111:12, 121:25, 163:22, 165:5, 168:19, 175:23, 186:12 next [14] - 21:17, 25:14, 39:17, 50:6,

NO[1] - 4:14

notes [2] - 36:16, 129:21 nothing [16] - 10:11, 29:21, 46:19, 50:16, 51:8, 54:23, 56:1, 79:18, 86:9, 100:4, 110:23, 133:15, 135:2, 143:14, 156:5, 160:3 Notice [1] - 4:15 notice [11] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:6, 6:8, 6:10, 11:4, 86:15, 134:17, 138:17, 201:5 noting [3] - 15:15, 56:6, 60:20, 78:3, 27:7, 180:13 104:12, 129:25, notwithstanding [2] -147:19, 191:24, 5:19, 150:21 196:23, 204:18, November [14] - 5:8, 205:18 5:11, 5:17, 9:8, 13:7, nice [2] - 173:19, 92:18, 93:6, 93:7, 193:25 99:18, 149:3, night [2] - 101:16, 149:12, 160:23, 153:15 170:2 nine [1] - 89:1 number [23] - 15:7, **NJ**[1] - 187:12 39:19, 40:3, 40:23, **NJDEP** [3] - 83:16, 74:18, 84:3, 89:14, 125:13, 159:4 131:9, 131:11,

131:17, 131:24, 135:9, 136:19, 136:25, 142:15, 182:22, 192:25, 193:10, 194:17, 201:17 numbers [9] - 94:10, 96:11, 96:14, 96:15, 100:5, 123:14, 143:18, 146:1, 146:6

nobody's [1] - 152:14

none [3] - 101:23,

124:23, 125:1

nonetheless [1] -

nonpermeable [1] -

normal [2] - 32:10,

north [23] - 17:25,

46:22, 46:23, 60:11,

60:13, 63:9, 63:15,

63:24, 64:17, 64:21,

68:10, 71:23, 74:9,

75:3, 75:7, 75:25,

76:8, 84:9, 140:9,

174:11, 174:13,

174:16, 180:12

North [2] - 140:18,

north/south [1] -

northern [10] - 62:4,

62:8, 64:4, 66:16,

66:20, 73:4, 73:7,

73:15, 74:1, 74:19

note [7] - 6:17, 12:15,

24:15, 87:1, 117:23,

noted [2] - 5:16, 25:16

Notary [1] - 206:5

152:21, 153:7

145:4

63:11

138:23

83:20

32:21

0

o'clock [2] - 163:23, 164:1 O'Dea [1] - 89:5 **O-1** [10] - 4:19, 117:10, 117:11, 143:1, 143:3, 143:5, 144:20, 147:9, 148:25, 170:5 **O-2** [3] - 4:21, 169:19, 169:23 **O-3** [3] - 4:22, 171:20, 172:2 Oakland [1] - 52:13 oath [4] - 148:12, 148:15, 183:9, 183:16 **object** [5] - 97:5, 100:1, 190:2, 190:22, 191:16 objecting [2] - 24:13, 143:16 objection [11] - 91:6, 95:21, 95:24, 100:11, 100:13, 123:1, 123:2, 123:4, 143:7. 143:11. 144:16 objector [4] - 4:19, 91:20, 92:11, 92:15 observation [1] -195:9 observations [2] -161:11, 162:10 obvious [2] - 77:5, 146:18 obviously [36] - 25:9, 78:17, 91:10, 91:19, 92:1, 96:7, 106:1, 107:18, 107:24, 108:19, 109:5, 114:3, 123:18, 123:22, 140:21, 142:24, 147:14, 156:17, 157:2. 161:16, 162:20, 163:6, 165:4,

167:10, 167:13,

168:22, 171:7, 171:14, 175:7, 182:19. 183:8. 183:19, 184:18, 190:4, 202:19, 204:17 occupied [1] - 31:7 occurs [1] - 110:1 October [1] - 99:17 odds [1] - 133:6 **OF** [2] - 1:1, 3:1 off-site [3] - 94:7, 110:25, 111:2 offer [2] - 86:23, 182:8 office [2] - 67:6, 149:25 official [5] - 122:8, 152:1, 152:4, 175:16, 187:10 offset [2] - 63:1, 74:19 often [1] - 132:10 Ogden [67] - 1:5, 5:3, 9:11, 10:3, 18:5, 27:24, 28:3, 28:5, 31:13, 37:2, 60:10, 60:25, 61:4, 61:10, 62:1, 62:12, 63:7, 63:18, 63:24, 64:12, 65:5, 70:3, 72:12, 72:14, 74:6, 76:7, 76:8, 80:12, 80:14, 84:10, 84:17, 84:21, 85:12, 162:14, 162:16, 168:4, 168:5, 168:7, 169:4, 169:9, 171:7, 173:9, 173:15, 174:15, 177:23, 180:5, 180:9, 181:4, 181:9, 184:23, 189:19, 191:22, 194:5, 194:15, 195:2, 195:4. 196:7. 196:14, 198:10, 199:8, 199:10, 200:10, 201:12, 201:18 old [7] - 5:2, 79:7, 161:22, 162:14, 165:22, 194:14 **oldest** [1] - 196:16 on-site [11] - 20:20, 26:15, 47:7, 90:16, 108:17, 108:18, 110:25, 119:2, 119:5 once [4] - 33:5, 59:9, 73:6, 179:14 one [82] - 9:8, 27:18, 30:17, 35:3, 35:4, 35:25, 40:6, 41:11,

131:14, 131:16,

52:12, 59:3, 60:11, 62:21, 63:23, 64:18, 65:15, 65:16, 66:4, 66:5, 67:14, 68:14, 68:16, 69:6, 69:17, 71:16, 71:18, 74:10, 77:3, 77:5, 77:18, 77:19, 78:10, 85:16, 88:11, 89:17, 90:4, 94:20, 95:4, 95:5, 95:25, 98:1, 103:10, 110:5, 110:22, 111:15, 111:24, 116:6, 117:22, 120:16, 122:4, 123:22, 124:17, 128:5, 134:18, 135:8, 142:18, 145:15, 155:11, 162:13, 162:15, 164:10, 165:20, 167:23, 169:16, 171:3, 179:5, 184:25, 186:7, 188:11, 192:25, 193:1, 194:14, 195:3, 199:9
195:3, 199:9,
199:10, 201:17,
201:22, 203:6
and tradecourse.
one-bedroom [1] - 71:16
71:16
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] -
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11,
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] -
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25,
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25,
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20,
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3,
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11,
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3,
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3, 190:14, 190:18 open-ended [1] - 42:17
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3, 190:14, 190:18 open-ended [1] - 42:17 opening [1] - 78:2
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3, 190:14, 190:18 open-ended [1] - 42:17 opening [1] - 78:2 opens [2] - 65:21,
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3, 190:14, 190:18 open-ended [1] - 42:17 opening [1] - 78:2 opens [2] - 65:21, 78:10
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3, 190:14, 190:18 open-ended [1] - 42:17 opening [1] - 78:2 opens [2] - 65:21, 78:10 operate [1] - 78:12
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3, 190:14, 190:18 open-ended [1] - 42:17 opening [1] - 78:2 opens [2] - 65:21, 78:10 operate [1] - 78:12 operating [1] - 78:13
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3, 190:14, 190:18 open-ended [1] - 42:17 opening [1] - 78:2 opens [2] - 65:21, 78:10 operating [1] - 78:13 operational [1] - 78:13
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3, 190:14, 190:18 open-ended [1] - 42:17 opening [1] - 78:2 opens [2] - 65:21, 78:10 operating [1] - 78:13 operational [1] - 78:15 operations [2] - 7:18,
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3, 190:14, 190:18 open-ended [1] - 42:17 opening [1] - 78:2 opens [2] - 65:21, 78:10 operate [1] - 78:12 operational [1] - 78:15 operations [2] - 7:18, 9:25
71:16 one-bedrooms [1] - 59:3 one-story [1] - 68:14 one-way [4] - 60:11, 62:21, 199:9 one-year-old [1] - 194:14 online [5] - 183:25, 184:1, 184:2, 193:11 onus [1] - 183:15 open [12] - 6:25, 42:17, 48:11, 78:20, 86:16, 134:7, 163:22, 182:11, 182:14, 184:3, 190:14, 190:18 open-ended [1] - 42:17 opening [1] - 78:2 opens [2] - 65:21, 78:10 operating [1] - 78:13 operational [1] - 78:15 operations [2] - 7:18,

102:11, 107:3, 107:5, 107:10, 107:13. 116:13. 119:11, 121:19, 123:12, 123:17, 124:2, 133:3, 144:17, 145:20, 150:21, 155:16, 187:5, 187:6 opinions [1] - 153:18 **OPPER** [1] - 2:6 opportunity [10] - 6:1, 52:23, 52:25, 91:11, 92:22, 92:23, 97:1, 99:16, 147:17, 182:25 oppose [1] - 180:18 opposed [6] - 58:8, 80:16, 82:13, 106:9, 153:4. 181:11 opposite [3] - 18:11, 37:1, 195:23 opposition [2] -101:17, 180:8 options [2] - 9:14, 53:13 orange [1] - 48:5 order [6] - 6:2, 6:11, 86:22, 125:7, 182:16, 196:24 ordinance [73] -66:23, 90:11, 94:21, 95:9, 95:10, 95:11, 95:12, 95:15, 103:21, 104:4, 104:16, 105:5, 105:8, 105:15, 105:23, 106:13, 106:23, 107:1, 107:16, 107:17, 107:19, 107:25, 109:13, 109:14, 109:15, 109:23, 109:24. 110:13. 110:24, 111:10, 115:20, 116:23, 117:19, 118:16, 118:21, 128:19, 128:21, 128:22, 128:24, 130:2, 132:12. 132:16. 132:18, 139:13, 141:23, 145:21, 145:23, 145:24, 146:1, 146:11, 146:13, 149:4, 149:13, 151:21, 151:25, 152:6, 152:8, 152:24, 153:7, 153:15,

158:21, 158:24, 159:2, 159:7, 159:18, 181:13, 185:6 Ordinance [2] - 85:13, 180:20 ordinance's [1] -18:17 ordinances [1] -106:25 organization [3] -33:20, 182:23, 189:12 organization's [1] -87:25 original [6] - 10:10, 11:20, 15:5, 19:10, 22:18, 165:7 **originally** [2] - 5:17, 16:25 ORLANDO [1] - 1:10 os [1] - 73:21 ourselves [1] - 22:21 outcrop [2] - 138:18, 139:10 outdoor [5] - 59:10, 69:6, 69:16, 69:18, 70:11 outfall [1] - 125:18 outline [1] - 61:24 outlines [1] - 113:5 output [4] - 135:20, 135:24, 146:23 outvoted [1] - 103:1 overall [18] - 19:23, 20:3, 20:23, 31:24, 37:22, 45:25, 59:19, 60:1, 60:12, 64:1, 69:9, 71:6, 71:10, 72:5, 72:10, 74:15, 120:25, 121:15 overburden [14] -41:24, 43:13, 46:4, 46:6, 133:19, 134:6, 134:15, 134:17, 134:19, 134:24, 135:16, 136:1, 142:13, 142:14 overburdened [1] overflow [1] - 109:25 overhead [1] - 76:2 overlain [1] - 94:25 overlay [3] - 122:22, 143:8, 143:12

153:17, 154:3,

154:6, 154:25,

155:7, 157:23,

Overlook [1] - 52:13 overlooks [1] - 52:14 overview [1] - 27:11 own [13] - 33:11, 43:17, 46:19, 65:11, 67:15, 95:9, 113:18, 113:20, 119:22, 129:11, 143:13, 146:13, 180:7 owner [2] - 70:24, 73:12 ownership [2] - 72:8, 77:11

Р

p.m [2] - 1:8, 205:20 **P.P**[3] - 2:2, 2:4, 2:6 P2023-0040 [1] - 205:1 **P22-140** [2] - 1:3, 5:2 package [1] - 136:14 page [11] - 39:6, 61:10, 63:11, 135:14, 136:9, 136:10, 136:19, 136:24, 136:25, 137:10, 166:12 PAGE [3] - 3:2, 4:2, 4:14 pages [5] - 57:19, 136:22, 171:21, 171:23, 172:1 Palisade [8] - 145:16, 198:9, 198:11, 198:23, 199:4, 199:15, 200:2, 200:9 Palisades [22] - 52:14, 52:18, 60:16, 61:14, 63:20, 67:3, 75:9, 75:11, 80:16, 81:5, 81:7, 82:15, 140:19, 145:7, 163:4, 167:23, 167:25, 180:19, 180:20, 181:13, 199:12, 201:13 pallet [1] - 70:8 panel [1] - 72:17 panels [1] - 69:24 paper [1] - 161:4 parameters [1] - 38:17 parapet [3] - 84:14, 84:19, 85:4 parcel [1] - 60:15 Park [3] - 89:18, 145:15, 145:17 park [2] - 65:16, 202:6 parked [1] - 173:19 parking [42] - 10:1, 10:12, 12:14, 29:21,

41:19, 44:24, 48:17, 59:6, 59:9, 59:10, 60:18, 60:21, 61:7, 62:19, 63:2, 63:6, 63:19, 65:10, 65:15, 65:17, 65:18, 68:3, 68:8, 75:2, 77:2, 77:8, 77:23, 78:9, 81:17, 81:19, 82:1, 85:23, 85:24, 85:25, 116:16, 125:9, 129:4, 153:2, 195:11, 197:5, 202:3 parlance [1] - 16:3 part [38] - 7:9, 11:20, 12:14, 18:22, 19:9, 27:10, 35:4, 35:7, 49:20, 53:9, 58:11, 59:2, 59:12, 59:20, 64:14, 67:18, 68:24, 69:13, 83:18, 83:22, 83:23, 83:25, 84:15, 85:8, 116:2, 129:18, 139:14, 139:22, 145:7, 149:23, 151:9, 151:20, 152:25, 153:2, 153:11, 175:19, 187:10, 188:23 partially [1] - 181:5 particular [3] - 78:2, 122:12, 198:24 parties [3] - 24:3, 100:23, 206:13 partner [2] - 89:10, 203:9 parts [1] - 35:3 party [1] - 47:10 pass [2] - 196:21, 201:12 passed [1] - 185:6 past [6] - 51:22, 56:19, 101:25, 161:14, 180:10, 193:18 pasted [1] - 104:7 **PATH** [1] - 37:25 patronizingly [1] -185:24 pause [11] - 88:10, 88:13, 96:2, 103:4, 111:21, 112:14, 112:20, 142:20, 156:24, 163:17, 170:14 paved [3] - 41:18, 46:17, 48:17 pavement [17] - 20:22, 21:10, 109:9, 111:4, 116:18, 116:20, 118:9, 118:10,

Overlay [3] - 163:4,

167:23, 180:20

124:22, 125:11,
125:14, 126:4,
126:5, 126:16,
129:11, 142:7
paver [11] - 59:22,
62:9, 81:9, 81:12,
81:13, 82:9, 82:10,
82:22, 82:23, 83:19,
126:17
pavers [11] - 67:7,
82:7, 82:12, 83:10,
83:23, 124:19,
124:22, 124:24,
125:12, 125:25,
128:14
paving [7] - 81:16,
81:17, 116:2, 116:4,
165:24, 166:1, 177:6
pay [1] - 180:3
PDF [2] - 136:25,
137:14
peak [3] - 109:17,
128:25, 142:5
pebbles [1] - 48:3
pedestrian [12] - 9:15,
10:8, 14:13, 16:6,
17:11, 17:13, 60:23,
63:9, 64:17, 65:25,
66:3, 165:13
pedestrians [2] -
15:24, 67:2
Pelosi [1] - 185:11
people [11] - 24:7,
24:16, 33:23, 171:7,
173:1, 173:8,
400.00 400.4
182:22, 189:4,
182:22, 189:4, 193:12, 193:25,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] -
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10, 81:15, 81:16
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10, 81:15, 81:16 percolates [2] - 49:7,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10, 81:15, 81:16 percolates [2] - 49:7, 49:8
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10, 81:15, 81:16 percolates [2] - 49:7,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10, 81:15, 81:16 percolates [2] - 49:7, 49:8 percs [1] - 50:16
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10, 81:15, 81:16 percolates [2] - 49:7, 49:8 percs [1] - 50:16 perfect [2] - 103:9,
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10, 81:15, 81:16 percolates [2] - 49:7, 49:8 percs [1] - 50:16 perfect [2] - 103:9, 185:13
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10, 81:15, 81:16 percolates [2] - 49:7, 49:8 percs [1] - 50:16 perfect [2] - 103:9, 185:13 permeable [10] -
193:12, 193:25, 199:18 per [18] - 12:19, 29:1, 29:2, 30:4, 40:7, 40:10, 40:20, 41:4, 41:5, 53:22, 53:23, 68:17, 77:3, 116:22, 118:20, 138:19, 139:5, 149:4 per-square-foot [1] - 30:4 percent [15] - 30:8, 30:25, 36:10, 39:21, 59:19, 61:7, 66:17, 66:23, 68:24, 69:10, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10, 81:15, 81:16 percolates [2] - 49:7, 49:8 percs [1] - 50:16 perfect [2] - 103:9, 185:13

82:7. 82:22. 82:23. 83:23, 94:6, 109:9, 125:10 permit [1] - 66:17 permits [3] - 58:16, 58:18, 58:19 permitted [2] - 58:25, 81:7 permitting [2] -149:24, 149:25 Pershing [1] - 188:13 person [2] - 56:15, 78:22 personal [1] - 180:7 personally [2] -163:11, 180:10 perspective [3] -203:7, 204:3, 204:7 persuaded [1] - 15:12 pertains [1] - 163:2 pervious [11] - 118:9, 118:10, 124:19, 124:22, 125:14, 125:25, 126:4, 126:5, 129:11, 142:7 **PF** [1] - 1:4 **phase** [1] - 202:5 phasing [2] - 132:4, 132:7 **phone** [2] - 66:5, 66:7 photo [1] - 168:18 photographs [3] -57:9, 57:22, 162:15 physical [1] - 130:3 picked [1] - 139:9 picks [1] - 78:10 picture [2] - 167:7, 173:25 pictures [2] - 147:1, 163:6 pier [1] - 67:22 pink [2] - 114:12 **pipe** [1] - 150:9 pipeline [2] - 126:1, 126:13 **pit** [2] - 68:1, 68:5 pitched [1] - 81:19 pits [3] - 32:8, 68:4, 127:12 place [9] - 53:16, 75:16, 101:23, 125:20, 133:5, 175:20, 203:12, 204:2, 206:10 Place [9] - 62:21, 169:5, 181:8, 188:5, 198:11, 198:24, 199:3, 199:9, 199:15 placed [3] - 113:15, 119:23, 195:2

placement [1] - 15:24 places [1] - 166:15 placing [1] - 138:10 plain [2] - 145:24, 146:11 plan [53] - 4:19, 5:3. 9:17, 11:12, 11:13, 11:21, 17:23, 19:14, 19:17, 20:1, 20:2, 20:9, 21:2, 21:6, 64:1, 65:5, 68:7, 68:13, 69:9, 74:17, 90:3. 94:2. 94:3. 94:10, 94:23, 95:17, 95:19, 112:24, 113:6, 114:13, 116:14, 118:23, 118:24, 119:21, 120:20, 121:2, 122:21. 123:13. 125:5, 126:17, 143:8, 143:13, 151:8, 156:1, 161:17, 162:2, 163:1, 165:2, 165:21, 169:11, 169:12, 203:15, 203:20 **Plan** [2] - 4:16, 11:18 plane [2] - 133:21, 136:1 planned [1] - 191:24 planner [1] - 160:22 Planner [3] - 2:2, 2:4, PLANNING [1] - 1:1 **Planning** [4] - 2:3, 2:5, 2:7, 2:9 planning [18] - 87:5, 87:10, 89:15, 90:9, 121:3, 122:9, 132:12, 132:14, 150:15, 154:10, 181:10, 186:23, 190:24, 193:2, 194:19, 203:5, 203:18, 204:21 plans [26] - 7:9, 17:5, 18:3, 18:17, 19:21, 60:6, 90:25, 119:7, 121:25, 122:2, 124:21, 124:23, 152:23, 161:13, 161:14, 161:22, 164:15, 164:20, 165:20, 166:9, 166:21, 176:21, 177:2, 177:3, 187:1 planted [1] - 67:8 planter [2] - 64:24,

65:8 played [1] - 156:2 **plays** [2] - 70:1, 91:18 pleasure [1] - 56:15 plug [1] - 163:16 plus [4] - 83:9, 118:25, 119:9, 119:16 podium [1] - 69:14 point [13] - 18:1, 65:10, 65:19, 66:1, 74:9, 101:24, 102:3, 129:24, 155:22, 165:6, 184:3, 186:24, 203:6 pointed [3] - 99:21, 166:24, 176:13 pointing [1] - 138:5 points [1] - 199:4 pole [2] - 168:19, 169:11 policy [2] - 101:3, 101:5 politically [1] - 203:16 **pollutants** [1] - 118:3 pool [2] - 61:16, 80:5 porous [1] - 125:15 Port [1] - 37:24 portal [8] - 7:10, 16:9, 24:1, 57:23, 58:3, 98:14, 101:8, 170:9 portion [25] - 19:16, 29:8, 47:8, 59:15, 59:24, 62:4, 62:8, 62:10, 64:5, 64:15, 66:12, 66:16, 68:13, 68:19, 68:20, 69:8, 69:11, 71:24, 73:4, 73:8, 74:19, 81:16, 94:6, 94:7, 174:7 portions [1] - 86:5 posed [1] - 203:19 position [3] - 131:6, 182:25, 183:1 possibility [1] -154:16 possible [1] - 137:11 possibly [1] - 134:1 posted [2] - 98:14, 101:8 potential [9] - 19:2, 41:14, 42:3, 118:3, 130:6, 140:3, 140:14, 203:22, 203:24 potentially [8] - 77:6, 134:25, 135:3, 139:22, 155:24, 167:20, 180:21, 199:13 pound [1] - 40:7

pounds [6] - 29:2, 40:10, 40:20, 44:14, 53:22 **PPOD** [12] - 10:19, 18:17, 18:23, 20:16, 66:19, 130:2, 132:4, 132:12, 145:21, 175:20, 185:2. 187:10 practice [1] - 125:13 precedence [1] -201:15 precedent [1] - 200:14 precipitate [1] -139:20 precipitous [1] - 140:5 **PRECISION** [1] - 1:24 predominant [1] -64:6 preexisting [12] -58:3, 60:17, 60:19, 60:20, 60:24, 62:6, 62:11, 63:6, 63:10, 70:8, 81:14, 85:3 preliminary [1] - 5:3 premature [1] - 186:24 preparation [1] -28:15 **prepare** [1] - 158:15 prepared [10] - 10:20, 25:16, 26:10, 26:16, 26:21, 67:6, 92:19, 101:22, 158:13, 158:20 prerogative [1] -187:17 PRESENT [1] - 1:9 present [5] - 58:21, 90:20, 92:3, 92:11, 101:17 presentation [15] -4:17, 4:21, 4:22, 57:6, 57:21, 59:22, 59:25, 76:10, 87:14, 92:13, 95:22, 96:6, 100:7, 100:15, 182:1 presented [7] - 27:5, 53:17, 91:1, 92:4, 100:24, 188:16, 194:17 presenting [4] - 57:18, 63:3, 83:21, 87:5 preserve [1] - 181:13 president [2] - 89:9 pressure [2] - 40:13, 41:6 presume [1] - 132:17 pretty [6] - 76:2, 124:10, 140:10, 145:25, 147:1,

147:25 previous [3] - 52:15. 62:23, 69:23 previously [18] - 5:11, 10:7, 14:11, 18:25, 59:1, 65:8, 67:14, 68:2, 69:19, 71:19, 72:6, 73:18, 73:22, 75:15, 82:19, 148:12, 175:14, 184:22 Princeton [1] - 89:8 principal [2] - 56:12, 84:6 **printed** [1] - 135:12 privacy [3] - 64:23, 71:25, 72:1 privy [2] - 25:7, 81:1 problem [5] - 51:4, 92:21, 107:1, 137:19, 161:1 procedurally [1] -182:10 procedures [1] -152:23 proceed [4] - 6:17, 102:9, 108:6, 117:14 proceeding [13] -88:14, 93:2, 96:3, 96:25, 100:12, 103:5, 111:22, 112:15, 112:21, 142:21, 156:25, 163:18, 170:15 Proceedings [1] - 1:5 process [2] - 102:14, 149:23 produced [1] - 101:20 productive [1] -196:19 professional [8] -89:2, 102:20, 107:10, 116:13, 119:10, 121:19, 122:24, 155:15 professionals [8] -9:21, 18:15, 22:11, 22:16, 91:24, 149:21, 151:13, 154:12 profile [2] - 69:24, 73:6 profiled [1] - 72:17 project [43] - 8:10, 8:12, 9:21, 15:24, 20:5, 26:11, 27:1, 27:3, 28:16, 31:4, 31:18, 32:6, 52:9, 53:1, 54:1, 56:8, 58:8, 59:2, 62:15,

64:9, 67:25, 72:8, 73:4, 77:7, 80:19, 83:9. 83:15. 89:19. 113:1, 120:10, 124:17, 124:20, 128:18, 153:7, 154:21, 180:5, 181:12, 186:1, 186:13, 193:1, 199:8, 199:11, 203:14 projection [1] - 73:21 projects [10] - 52:8, 52:11, 52:12, 61:13, 146:7, 146:10, 149:14, 155:3, 165:9, 165:10 promote [1] - 127:22 promoting [3] - 126:2, 126:9, 140:23 proof [2] - 5:24, 6:6 propensity [1] -134:25 proper [1] - 182:16 properties [5] - 46:21, 47:2, 47:4, 82:14, 194:21 property [37] - 7:3, 7:19, 10:6, 27:15, 31:12, 32:2, 32:3, 60:13, 60:23, 62:9, 63:1, 63:21, 64:5, 64:22, 65:23, 65:24, 66:12, 66:16, 70:23, 74:19, 75:4, 81:2, 141:7, 146:20, 150:12, 166:8, 177:25. 194:23. 195:3, 195:11, 195:14, 196:21, 197:18, 198:1, 201:12, 201:18 proportional [1] -84:24 proposal [3] - 59:12, 64:14, 201:14 proposals [1] - 31:18 proposed [35] - 8:7, 8:12, 12:7, 12:16, 12:20, 19:19, 21:8, 27:3, 53:1, 53:18, 55:16, 56:8, 57:4, 58:22, 59:2, 67:25, 80:12, 83:16, 84:5, 90:7, 110:19, 116:14, 168:20, 175:11, 178:18, 180:5, 180:22, 181:1, 199:7,

200:12, 201:20,

201:25, 203:8, 203:14, 204:12 proposing [26] - 53:5, 53:9, 58:11, 59:1, 59:6, 59:8, 59:22, 61:21, 64:18, 64:22, 64:23, 64:24, 65:15, 65:20, 66:10, 66:19, 66:23, 67:12, 68:6. 69:19, 72:20, 80:17, 81:8, 113:9, 125:24, 126:2 protect [2] - 141:23, 167:24 Protection [5] -109:15, 163:4, 167:23, 180:20, 181:13 protocol [1] - 33:2 prove [3] - 129:12. 129:15, 142:4 provide [12] - 73:13, 119:6, 125:7, 126:14, 131:7, 131:16, 131:25, 132:14, 132:22, 133:8, 142:1, 147:15 provided [25] - 10:22, 13:7, 14:11, 14:23, 18:22, 90:22, 91:7, 91:21, 102:21, 125:2, 126:15, 126:19, 130:10, 130:17, 131:24, 132:11, 134:2, 134:18, 135:15, 146:24, 146:25, 147:16, 151:13, 159:21, 199:18 provides [2] - 113:3, 133:17 **providing** [2] - 9:23, 134:14 provision [2] - 124:21, 146:4 **provisions** [1] - 18:23 proximity [3] - 9:10, 163:9, 199:14 PSE&G [3] - 125:25, 126:8, 129:18 **PUBLIC** [6] - 4:1, 6:4, 93:8, 169:5, 176:15, 189:21 public [21] - 33:5, 86:17, 86:24, 87:1, 132:21, 141:4, 155:14, 164:3, 175:25, 176:2, 182:10, 182:12, 182:14, 182:22,

183:16, 184:4, 184:5, 187:21, 198:19, 200:11, 204:18 Public [1] - 206:5 publication [2] - 5:24, published [1] - 172:20 pull [13] - 35:21, 73:25, 78:23, 111:3, 111:16, 112:4, 112:11, 116:20, 135:2, 136:20, 137:4, 161:5, 169:15 **pulled** [1] - 75:9 **Pullman** [1] - 186:10 Pullman's [1] - 180:24 pulls [2] - 68:10, 124.25 pun [3] - 108:21, 108:25, 109:2 purely [1] - 187:6 purple [1] - 114:9 purported [1] - 108:13 purpose [6] - 109:22, 122:7, 122:12, 122:15, 122:17, 181:9 purposes [10] - 6:11, 11:5, 95:22, 100:16, 117:8, 117:10, 123:6, 143:7, 143:12, 148:16 pursuant [1] - 186:9 purview [3] - 90:8, 97:2, 162:24 push [1] - 199:12 put [23] - 13:18, 18:12, 20:24, 25:2, 28:22, 37:14, 40:2, 40:3, 75:16, 85:8, 98:17, 98:20, 111:25, 116:19, 134:7, 138:8, 174:2, 175:20, 175:23, 183:2, 183:14, 185:6, 201:8 putting [16] - 39:8, 43:23, 91:14, 110:3, 111:12, 112:18, 126:11, 128:1, 134:9, 134:10, 134:11, 138:10, 138:11, 138:12, 140:22, 163:23

Q

qualifications [1] -88:20

qualified [17] - 8:22, 9:1, 25:25, 26:7, 51:22, 52:1, 56:18, 56:23, 89:16, 89:23, 96:16, 96:18, 96:19, 108:17, 123:20, 147:15, 152:4 qualifies [1] - 155:3 qualify [4] - 28:6, 141:17, 155:13, 159:5 qualifying [2] -160:14, 179:15 quality [7] - 36:15, 38:16, 73:13, 109:16, 129:7, 129:12, 141:22 quantified [1] - 20:16 quantity [1] - 109:16 quarter [3] - 110:6, 110:16, 201:13 questions [27] - 10:17, 12:22, 12:24, 13:9, 19:11, 21:13, 21:22, 22:4, 23:12, 27:8, 51:3, 54:14, 62:23, 74:23, 76:14, 76:19, 78:7, 79:13, 80:2, 87:3, 120:10, 141:12, 145:1, 147:7, 151:4, 157:19, 162:8 quick [9] - 76:14, 83:12, 147:25, 162:6, 162:10, 165:3, 176:10, 179:6, 185:15 quicker [1] - 188:9 quickly [1] - 139:21 quieted [1] - 139:15 quite [2] - 74:24, 179:17 quotes [2] - 105:12,

R

105:15

R-1 [1] - 186:7 R-3 [13] - 58:15, 58:24, 59:7, 60:9, 65:4, 66:17, 66:20, 66:25, 68:11, 186:5, 194:20, 194:22 rabbit [1] - 123:11 radius [1] - 18:8 rail [4] - 139:23, 167:14, 196:20, 196:25 railway [3] - 52:15, 52:18, 75:18

rain [3] - 48:10, 140:20, 197:20 rainfall [1] - 140:20 rains [3] - 46:17, 49:5, 50:12 raised [2] - 7:3, 192:22 raising [1] - 12:10 ramp [1] - 64:17 random [1] - 78:4 randomly [1] - 78:10 range [1] - 19:20 ranges [3] - 20:9, 130:18, 130:20 rash [1] - 96:12 rather [7] - 107:4, 108:1, 144:6, 198:25, 199:2, 199:8, 199:14 rationale [1] - 15:4 Ravine [3] - 198:11, 198:24, 199:3 re [2] - 5:18, 6:10 re-notice [2] - 5:18, 6:10 reach [1] - 170:7 read [17] - 6:19, 16:2, 17:7, 39:11, 55:7, 106:13, 108:1, 108:3, 109:21, 109:22, 119:20, 133:2, 151:22, 154:6, 179:25, 185:20, 192:15 reading [3] - 18:3, 105:18, 106:25 real [3] - 83:12, 165:3, 176:10 reality [2] - 133:16, 201:21 realized [1] - 132:19 really [31] - 32:16, 60:6, 90:6, 103:11, 108:13, 109:7, 109:10, 110:21, 110:22, 120:6, 129:22, 133:24, 139:8, 139:10, 141:3, 154:21, 155:9, 175:25, 176:2, 185:18, 186:20, 192:25, 197:6, 202:10, 203:4, 203:6, 203:12, 203:15, 203:25, 204:2, 204:8 realm [1] - 45:20 rear [4] - 61:6, 61:15, 74:1, 74:3 reason [10] - 31:20,

42:11, 47:14, 77:12, 105:25, 106:20, 110:20, 113:2. 118:12, 167:9 reasons [6] - 9:22, 14:18, 16:15, 154:11, 181:12, 189:10 rebut [1] - 147:8 rebuttable [1] - 186:14 **Rebuttal** [1] - 3:6 rebuttal [6] - 100:13, 102:14. 102:24. 147:3, 147:17, 186:14 REBUTTAL [1] -148:19 receipt [2] - 5:23, 6:5 receive [1] - 151:14 received [5] - 22:19, 93:10, 93:16, 151:16, 155:5 recent [5] - 112:23, 120:13, 120:21, 121:22, 122:3 recently [2] - 75:16, 187:3 recess [1] - 101:25 recharge [12] - 45:24, 109:16, 129:2, 129:5, 129:7, 129:9, 129:13, 129:16, 153:2, 154:9, 159:10 recharging [1] - 46:13 recognize [1] - 189:9 recognizes [1] -109:24 recollect [1] - 177:17 recommendation [1] -38:19 record [28] - 5:14, 6:12, 6:18, 11:5, 13:18, 14:1, 24:15, 33:21, 79:5, 85:9, 85:20, 87:2, 88:11, 89:18, 91:5, 98:9, 98:17, 98:20, 119:3, 122:3, 131:10, 148:16, 151:17, 151:22, 184:11, 190:2, 190:6, 190:19 recording [1] - 33:22 recovery [1] - 35:22 **RECROSS** [1] - 23:19 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** [1] -23:19 rectify [1] - 99:16

recycling [1] - 194:25

red [11] - 12:15, 61:23,

61:24, 63:10, 64:2, 68:18, 113:4, 114:3, 118:25, 119:8, 114:12, 114:21, 119:9. 119:16. 198:25 redid [1] - 26:14 **REDIRECT** [2] - 22:2, 85:21 redirecting [1] - 46:10 regulations [7] reduce [4] - 30:21, 37:20, 141:24, 142:5 68:12, 108:12, reduced [3] - 39:1, 110:4, 127:6 71:11, 146:2 reducing [2] - 29:18, 120:7. 127:14 109:17 reduction [11] - 29:16, 29:25, 30:6, 30:7, 30:10, 30:12, 30:13, 35:6, 37:19, 38:13, 192:24 39:20 related [1] - 13:9 refer [1] - 135:8 relationship [1] reference [1] - 177:19 84:25 referenced [2] -176:20, 177:5 206:14 references [1] -177:22 referred [3] - 10:16, 43:25 28:11, 101:4 relitigating [1] referring [3] - 14:15, 162:20 26:21, 28:12 refers [2] - 177:14, 177:16 reflect [2] - 21:8, 201:18 reflected [2] - 19:24, 14:10, 23:5 24:8 rely [1] - 121:18 reflective [1] - 117:18 reflects [3] - 19:18, 148:15 84:24, 158:23 refusal [1] - 27:19 77:9 regard [3] - 10:12, 32:3, 146:19 regarding [8] - 9:9, 10:18, 74:23, 87:3, 117:2, 147:8, 198:19, 200:11 regardless [1] - 126:3 regards [1] - 202:2 region [1] - 154:10 Remote [1] - 1:7 regional [1] - 127:21 regrade [1] - 42:17 44:14 regular [1] - 83:19 regulated [30] - 110:7, 110:17, 111:8, 111:13, 114:11, 114:12, 114:23, 115:13, 116:15, 117:20, 117:21, 117:23, 117:24, 118:1, 118:5, 118:7,

118:18, 118:24, 124:7, 124:8, 124:9, 155:11, 159:19 regulates [1] - 83:18 regulation [1] - 106:10 20:17, 46:11, 59:8. regulatory [6] - 83:1, 83:4, 83:12, 90:5, rehashing [1] - 161:22 reinvent [1] - 100:21 reiterate [2] - 33:23, relative [2] - 206:12, relatively [1] - 132:5 relieving [2] - 42:25, relocate [1] - 24:20 relocated [4] - 14:12, 16:5, 166:7, 169:14 relocation [6] - 7:8, 9:14, 9:22, 13:9, remain [2] - 143:16, remainder [2] - 13:6, remained [1] - 148:12 remaining [4] - 7:18, 12:11, 42:20, 50:15 remains [1] - 180:17 remember [4] - 27:8, 139:6, 184:1, 184:2 remind [1] - 148:14 reminder [1] - 8:11 remove [2] - 36:13, removed [7] - 7:14, 15:8, 17:7, 20:21, 43:3, 132:2, 153:11 removes [1] - 42:16 removing [4] - 44:1, 44:11, 44:12, 153:1 rendering [4] - 67:5, 69:23, 84:3, 84:24 renderings [3] - 57:8, 57:22, 81:10

renewal [1] - 203:11 renters [1] - 203:22 repair [1] - 180:14 repaving [1] - 116:2 repeat [5] - 21:6, 148:16. 182:25. 191:10, 195:8 repeatedly [1] - 99:15 rephrase [2] - 17:12, replace [2] - 111:4, 116:18 replacing [2] - 110:7, 111:12 report [54] - 7:25, 8:3, 10:24, 18:19, 18:22, 19:10, 25:10, 25:16, 26:10, 26:14, 26:16, 26:20, 27:2, 27:7, 28:16, 32:1, 32:11, 32:24, 38:10, 40:22, 48:8, 52:23, 93:22, 98:11, 98:13, 99:22, 125:5, 126:18, 128:11, 133:2, 135:11, 135:13, 135:14, 135:19, 135:20, 136:4, 136:19, 136:21, 145:11, 146:16, 146:19, 146:20, 146:22, 147:2, 147:3, 151:9, 151:12, 158:14, 158:16, 158:20, 158:25, 170:20, 172:18 **REPORTER** [1] - 34:2 Reporter [3] - 1:22, 206:6, 206:19 REPORTERS [1] -1:24 **REPORTING** [1] - 1:24 reports [2] - 98:18, 187:7 represent [3] - 6:19, 21:1, 177:13 representation [2] -13:18, 20:4 representative [1] -182:20 representatives [1] -183:2 represented [4] - 30:5, 156:12, 169:10, 195:15 representing [2] -29:11, 175:12 represents [6] - 12:6, 12:10, 20:11, 42:22,

118:11, 118:17,

Scofield [1] - 23:24

173:17, 182:19 request [5] - 6:3, 16:19, 153:10, 165:7, 170:10 requested [3] - 15:6, 132:11, 191:7 requesting [1] - 8:13 require [1] - 141:20 required [18] - 5:20, 6:8, 19:15, 21:18, 66:25, 77:7, 87:8, 90:10, 95:8, 108:23, 109:18, 119:25, 121:25, 124:3, 124:5, 128:20, 153:19, 155:6 requirement [13] -14:11, 14:17, 15:5, 15:13, 15:14, 16:14, 16:16, 17:2, 20:16, 73:10, 74:16, 153:8, 159:11 requirements [6] -18:18, 141:19, 141:22, 152:6, 154:7, 154:8 requires [4] - 92:15, 108:24, 109:7, 116:15 reserve [3] - 100:12, 100:13, 102:5 reserving [1] - 102:7 resident [6] - 78:5, 180:10, 198:8, 202:9, 203:24, 203:25 residential [9] - 46:22, 58:12, 58:18, 61:4, 64:20, 66:22, 180:25, 185:19, 194:21 residents [10] - 65:22, 69:17, 78:15, 78:16, 78:24, 180:6, 181:11, 203:8, 204:2, 204:5 resigned [2] - 190:9, 191:4 resources [2] - 89:11, 89:12 respect [3] - 6:7, 98:7, 186:23 response [4] - 92:12, 96:16. 101:22. 130:12 rest [5] - 97:23, 109:13, 128:23, 168:22, 186:6 resting [1] - 35:14

result [1] - 25:2 results [1] - 39:8 retained [1] - 26:12 retaining [5] - 80:25, 81:2, 81:6, 139:24, 200:17 retired [1] - 187:3 returns [1] - 72:18 review [25] - 6:2, 22:18, 31:16, 52:23, 53:1, 92:22, 92:23, 93:1, 93:5, 93:20, 96:21, 97:1, 97:5, 115:22, 123:24, 141:13, 141:16, 148:24, 150:4, 151:16, 152:3, 160:22, 163:1, 165:2, 177:7 reviewed [13] - 5:21, 15:22, 17:5, 19:9, 27:1, 27:15, 47:5, 99:11, 115:19, 161:2, 161:13, 165:9, 187:7 reviewing [2] -164:20, 194:16 revise [1] - 155:18 revised [6] - 9:17, 17:5, 157:24, 158:5, 158:8, 166:3 revisions [1] - 164:22 rewritten [1] - 185:14 right-of-way [1] -155:14 **rip**[1] - 116:17 rise [2] - 58:16, 69:14 rises [2] - 75:22, 127:24 risky [1] - 180:21 Riverview [10] - 1:18, 13:25, 87:22, 161:11, 164:17, 179:7, 180:2, 188:14, 188:17, 189:8 RNA [24] - 33:9, 33:10, 156:13, 156:15, 156:19, 167:23, 175:21, 179:25, 183:6, 185:1, 185:2, 185:9, 189:21, 189:22, 190:4, 192:3, 192:5, 194:8, 196:9, 198:13, 200:4, 201:2, 202:19, 202:21 RNA's [1] - 187:7 Road [4] - 171:6,

173:18, 174:1, 175:4, 175:16, 199:8 roadway [1] - 174:3 Robert [1] - 185:2 rock [71] - 26:16, 26:18, 27:20, 27:21, 27:22, 28:1, 28:2, 28:4, 28:6, 28:7, 28:11, 28:13, 28:21, 29:12, 29:16, 29:18, 29:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:8, 30:14, 30:15, 31:24, 31:25, 32:9, 34:21, 34:23, 35:1, 35:2, 35:7, 35:16, 35:18, 35:24, 35:25, 36:1, 36:5, 36:6, 36:11, 36:15, 37:16, 38:5, 38:17, 38:22, 40:13, 41:3, 42:22, 43:14, 45:25, 46:1, 46:19, 49:5, 49:24, 49:25, 52:19, 53:21, 54:5, 54:19, 55:10, 55:12, 55:14, 55:21, 81:23, 127:24, 133:4, 138:19, 139:4, 140:17, 140:25, 145:5, 174:6 RODGERS [4] -200:23, 200:24, 201:3, 201:11 Rodgers [3] - 4:11, 200:24, 201:1 Roger [4] - 3:23, 87:24, 179:6, 184:25 roll [1] - 205:6 Roman [5] - 67:11, 67:21, 69:25, 72:12, 73:5 roof [27] - 59:14, 59:15, 59:16, 59:18, 59:19, 68:13, 69:7, 69:16, 69:18, 69:20, 70:11, 70:15, 70:19, 71:15, 71:18, 71:19, 71:21, 71:23, 72:6, 72:10, 84:6, 109:9, 142:2, 150:7 rooftop [2] - 59:13, 76:19 room [3] - 88:12, 157:8, 163:22 rose [2] - 72:17, 73:6 roster [1] - 183:4 rotate [2] - 77:15,

road [11] - 116:9,

116:16. 118:6.

125:17, 172:25,

rotates [1] - 77:21 rotating [1] - 65:5 roughly [1] - 53:23 row [2] - 119:15, 119:17 RQD [3] - 35:21, 36:10, 36:14 RTU [1] - 76:24 rule [1] - 127:8 rules [4] - 127:6, 152:23, 153:20, 194:20 run [2] - 29:14, 198:25 running [2] - 64:3, 140:24 runoff [1] - 150:9 runs [6] - 60:11, 60:12, 62:21, 63:11, 63:16, 64:25 Rutgers [2] - 88:21, 89:3 S

S-E-G-A-L [1] - 199:24 sack [2] - 44:13, 44:15 safe [3] - 188:18, 193:1, 204:2 safer [2] - 18:12, 63:4 safety [28] - 15:16, 29:23, 30:21, 38:7, 38:10, 65:25, 66:4, 66:7, 132:21, 141:5, 163:3, 165:8, 175:25, 176:2, 181:4, 192:25, 193:4, 195:6, 196:17, 197:10, 198:20, 198:22, 199:17, 200:11, 203:19, 204:2, 204:8, 204:13 sand [1] - 82:18 sandstone [2] - 35:25, 145:9 sandy [1] - 32:5 **SANTO** [1] - 1:14 Santo [2] - 96:22, 117:4 sat [1] - 98:19 satisfies [1] - 153:8 saw [1] - 138:21 scale [9] - 11:19, 70:25, 71:7, 73:3, 74:17, 84:17, 85:7, 85:8, 180:23 scaled [2] - 118:23, 119:6 science [2] - 89:13 scientists [1] - 38:24

screen [15] - 9:18, 11:7, 18:2, 26:21, 29:12, 64:24, 71:25, 72:1, 91:15, 104:1, 117:9, 136:5, 161:5, 172:5, 172:6 screened [2] - 71:25, 76:25 screening [1] - 76:19 screenshot [2] - 95:9, 171:24 sealed [2] - 121:1, 151:12 seams [1] - 34:22 seasonal [12] - 47:12, 47:21, 47:23, 48:8, 126:21, 126:24, 127:10, 127:11, 127:16, 127:18, 127:22, 128:7 sec [1] - 88:11 second [10] - 49:16, 68:10, 68:22, 94:20, 95:7, 96:1, 135:8, 142:18, 162:13, 205:3 secondary [1] - 66:14 seconded [1] - 205:5 section [12] - 29:7, 29:10, 29:13, 43:6, 43:12, 43:17, 68:14, 134:18, 138:16, 140:19, 155:11, 180:16 Section [4] - 137:9, 137:13, 137:22, 151:22 sectional [1] - 137:17 sediment [3] - 112:24, 119:24, 122:21 see [68] - 7:8, 7:12, 12:6, 26:18, 29:12, 31:17, 32:8, 35:22, 41:19, 42:6, 42:24, 44:19, 56:15, 61:6, 61:12, 62:3, 62:25, 63:22, 65:12, 67:20, 68:12, 69:8, 69:12, 71:22, 73:24, 74:7, 74:17, 80:6, 80:23, 91:18, 93:21, 96:11, 96:23, 97:16, 100:3, 107:17, 111:25, 112:3, 112:4, 112:7, 112:8, 112:25, 113:4, 114:8, 120:14, 126:23, 127:8, 134:4, 137:20, 138:11,

78:21

171:9, 171:12, 173:2

restore [1] - 180:14

445.40, 450.00
145:10, 152:20,
160:17, 161:8,
162:8, 169:2,
169:12, 170:16,
173:18, 174:6,
174:25, 182:22,
192:14, 193:25,
197:6, 197:11, 201:7
seeing [2] - 167:15,
_
203:14
seem [3] - 99:25,
193:1, 195:4
seepage [1] - 81:13
Segal [3] - 4:10,
199:24, 200:1
SEGAL [4] - 199:24,
200:2, 200:5, 200:8
sending [1] - 128:2
Senior [2] - 2:4, 2:8
sense [3] - 43:22,
165:14, 174:19
sent [2] - 5:24, 101:21
sentence [4] - 107:17,
107:21, 107:22
separate [2] - 110:25,
159:20
separated [1] - 134:5
separation [5] - 10:5,
14:16, 14:23, 15:16,
16:18
September [2] - 11:22,
76:3
series [9] - 57:22,
63:22, 67:16, 67:17,
68:23, 69:12, 69:24,
71:22, 73:12
serious [1] - 188:21
seriously [1] - 193:14
served [1] - 77:9
service [3] - 78:18,
110:18, 124:8
SERVICE [1] - 1:24
set [20] - 9:18, 11:21,
57:23, 58:3, 58:14,
64:10, 66:15, 66:21,
04 IU nn 13 nn / 1
73:2, 73:20, 121:2,
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2,
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24,
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2,
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3,
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3, 166:9, 201:15,
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3, 166:9, 201:15, 206:10
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3, 166:9, 201:15, 206:10 setback [7] - 65:4,
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3, 166:9, 201:15, 206:10 setback [7] - 65:4, 66:20, 71:5, 71:15,
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3, 166:9, 201:15, 206:10 setback [7] - 65:4, 66:20, 71:5, 71:15, 72:21, 73:19, 73:22
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3, 166:9, 201:15, 206:10 setback [7] - 65:4, 66:20, 71:5, 71:15,
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3, 166:9, 201:15, 206:10 setback [7] - 65:4, 66:20, 71:5, 71:15, 72:21, 73:19, 73:22
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3, 166:9, 201:15, 206:10 setback [7] - 65:4, 66:20, 71:5, 71:15, 72:21, 73:19, 73:22 setbacks [2] - 67:18, 68:23
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3, 166:9, 201:15, 206:10 setback [7] - 65:4, 66:20, 71:5, 71:15, 72:21, 73:19, 73:22 setbacks [2] - 67:18, 68:23 sets [3] - 70:22, 75:23,
73:2, 73:20, 121:2, 121:25, 122:2, 122:9, 164:24, 165:21, 166:3, 166:9, 201:15, 206:10 setback [7] - 65:4, 66:20, 71:5, 71:15, 72:21, 73:19, 73:22 setbacks [2] - 67:18, 68:23

seventh [2] - 70:20, 73:20 several [1] - 89:7 **sewer** [3] - 109:25, 150:10, 166:2 **shading** [1] - 114:23 shadow [4] - 75:21, 75:24, 76:4, 76:6 shadows [2] - 75:22, shall [5] - 130:4, 151:24, 166:1, 185:21, 185:22 shallow [1] - 28:20 shape [2] - 114:25, 115:6 **shaped** [1] - 60:9 share [4] - 101:18, 107:25, 188:16, 188:17 shared [1] - 93:3 sheer [11] - 29:17, 29:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:8, 30:20, 30:21, 35:2, 35:3, 35:5 sheet [14] - 9:18, 81:18, 82:13, 113:2, 116:7, 120:14, 122:1, 122:4, 122:12, 122:18, 122:19, 137:8, 137:13, 138:9 **Sherman** [1] - 188:5 **shifted** [1] - 9:19 shoes [1] - 106:21 shoring [2] - 50:18, 50:24 **Shorthand** [1] - 1:22 SHORTHAND [1] -1:24 **shortly** [1] - 16:9 show [14] - 7:17, 90:19, 91:12, 91:13, 91:15, 94:9, 111:16, 135:25, 136:5, 173:20, 174:24, 175:1, 175:5, 175:12 **showed** [2] - 160:20, 170:22 showing [16] - 11:7, 17:25, 57:7, 67:6, 94:20, 95:8, 105:6, 105:7, 106:9, 162:14, 164:21, 165:19, 167:3, 171:5, 174:8 **shown** [10] - 10:7, 19:20, 21:4, 41:24, 81:10, 105:5, 116:6,

139:21, 203:20,

203:21 shows [8] - 9:18, 112:5, 112:22, 116:7, 135:20, 164:15, 166:3, 173:15 sic [8] - 6:11, 15:4, 16:4. 44:2. 151:22. 151:23, 171:25, 205:1 side [24] - 18:5, 27:23, 31:12, 60:14, 65:6, 68:18, 72:17, 74:12, 120:7, 138:14, 140:9, 141:4, 168:4, 168:6, 172:5, 172:6, 174:6, 185:25, 197:5, 197:9, 201:23 side-by-side [1] -197:5 sides [2] - 16:20, 96:20 sidewalk [3] - 59:11, 64:16, 65:7 sidewalks [2] - 115:3, 197:2 **sideways** [1] - 133:13 sign [1] - 24:1 signatories [1] - 24:16 signed [2] - 121:1, 151.11 significant [1] - 132:6 significantly [1] -177:1 signify [1] - 12:13 signs [2] - 165:13 silent [1] - 194:24 silt [1] - 166:6 SILVA [1] - 2:8 similar [11] - 52:9, 52:20, 61:18, 61:19, 68:17, 70:4, 73:18, 80:18, 84:17, 85:7 simply [3] - 21:7, 104:11, 155:18 sink [1] - 184:24 site [105] - 4:19, 5:3, 9:17, 10:21, 11:21, 12:7, 12:8, 12:21, 14:22, 17:18, 18:24, 20:20, 26:15, 27:18, 27:23, 28:9, 32:6, 32:12, 32:15, 32:22, 41:15, 46:16, 47:7, 48:2, 48:7, 49:20, 50:13, 52:16, 52:21, 53:2, 54:1, 55:13, 58:9, 58:14, 58:22, 58:23, 58:24, 59:23, 60:1, 60:8, 62:4,

62:6, 62:7, 62:10, 64:1, 66:18, 69:4, 74:16, 74:18, 74:23, 74:25, 75:10, 75:20, 81:15, 81:16, 83:6, 90:3, 90:16, 90:25, 94:3, 94:6, 94:7, 94:10, 94:22, 95:17, 95:19, 108:17, 108:18, 110:25, 111:2, 119:2, 119:5, 127:22, 128:10, 128:17, 129:3, 129:8, 129:16, 130:4, 130:5, 131:23, 132:2, 132:5, 134:12, 138:7, 140:8, 152:17, 159:12, 159:20, 163:10, 165:2, 167:5, 167:12, 167:19, 167:22, 175:9, 175:11, 178:17, 178:18, 194:20 sites [2] - 74:24, 167:25 sits [2] - 58:14, 126:12 sitting [8] - 46:24, 49:4, 50:9, 60:9, 67:3, 134:16, 190:20, 190:23 situation [1] - 75:13 six [8] - 61:4, 61:7, 62:17, 64:7, 65:3, 77:18, 78:10, 203:11 **sixth** [4] - 70:16, 72:21, 73:19 size [4] - 81:24, 137:20, 155:3, 186:12 sized [1] - 72:12 sizes [1] - 59:3 skinned [1] - 67:11 **sky** [1] - 63:16 **slab** [3] - 21:10, 40:16, 40:18 slice [1] - 119:10 slide [15] - 39:9, 57:5, 57:21, 58:1, 60:20, 61:8, 61:9, 65:21, 66:1, 74:8, 81:12, 84:3, 104:12, 134:25, 201:17 **Slide** [2] - 4:21, 4:22 slides [8] - 4:18, 4:21, 4:23, 57:21, 90:19, 164:25, 169:19, 169:21

slideshow [2] -

161:10, 162:12 slight [1] - 12:10 slightly [7] - 7:10, 17:24, 55:14, 62:25, 68:21, 76:5, 199:4 slip [3] - 133:21, 135:4, 136:1 slipping [1] - 80:24 slope [52] - 26:17, 26:18, 26:19, 27:17, 27:22, 28:2, 29:4, 29:9, 29:11, 29:23, 30:24, 31:12, 31:25, 37:3, 38:11, 39:16, 41:10, 42:13, 42:19, 43:6, 43:13, 43:17, 44:2, 44:6, 44:10, 46:12, 46:20, 48:14, 48:15, 48:21, 49:6, 49:11, 49:21, 49:24, 50:6, 60:15, 60:16, 61:13, 63:20, 75:11, 82:14, 128:3, 133:13, 133:14, 134:20, 134:24, 138:14, 138:25, 139:1. 139:19. 139:20, 140:7 slowed [1] - 42:21 slowly [2] - 74:13, 184:10 small [8] - 67:1, 71:12, 73:21, 74:4, 82:11, 119:21, 132:5, 201:22 smaller [1] - 110:12 snow [1] - 197:20 snows [1] - 46:18 so.. [1] - 162:18 society [1] - 170:21 Society [2] - 170:22, 172:19 Sofia [2] - 4:12, 202:15 software [2] - 39:23, 40:4 soil [39] - 20:24, 21:18, 26:15, 29:11, 32:4, 35:13, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 40:21, 42:8, 42:22, 43:3, 43:4, 43:23, 44:1, 47:7, 48:1, 48:3, 48:4, 48:25, 81:22, 112:24, 119:24, 122:20, 130:8, 130:9, 130:13, 130:14, 133:18, 133:19, 134:10, 135:1, 135:16,

65:18, 89:10

405.47 400.0
135:17, 138:6,
195:21
Soil [1] - 27:13
soils [2] - 130:5,
130:11
Soils [1] - 40:22
solid [2] - 35:9, 54:17
someone [2] - 187:24,
195:9
sometime [2] - 23:6,
173:23
sometimes [1] - 50:1
somewhat [1] - 93:24
somewhere [2] -
49:22, 140:4
son [1] - 194:14
sorry [31] - 5:6, 11:1,
28:25, 30:2, 37:15,
38:15, 39:8, 43:10,
54:24, 82:4, 94:18,
97:13, 104:10,
109:1, 112:6,
114:17, 119:12,
129:21, 135:19,
136:12, 141:10,
163:15, 169:8,
170:12, 171:1,
171:16, 173:10,
176:17, 178:21,
178:24, 187:25
sort [5] - 18:18, 20:19,
109:7, 154:10, 163:1
sounds [2] - 95:21,
103:1
south [17] - 7:11, 9:19,
17:17, 46:22, 46:23,
60:11, 60:13, 60:24,
61:2, 61:9, 62:16,
67:10, 74:21, 75:2,
75:7, 169:11, 175:6
southeastern [1] -
69:8
southern [6] - 59:23,
62:10, 64:15, 65:9,
66:12, 74:12
southwest [1] - 166:2
space [14] - 65:16,
65:17, 69:7, 69:16,
71:20, 76:23, 77:4,
77:8, 77:20, 77:21,
77:23, 78:10,
120:23, 195:11
spaced [1] - 201:21
spaces [15] - 10:12, 59:6, 59:9, 59:11,
59:6, 59:9, 59:11,
60:22, 61:7, 65:11,
65:18, 68:6, 68:8,
77:2, 77:18, 78:9,
82:8, 82:9
SPEAKER [5] - 6:4,

```
93:8, 169:5, 176:15,
 189:21
speaker [1] - 202:24
speaking [8] - 28:23,
 33:11, 53:10, 98:6,
 156:19, 161:19,
 180:1, 194:1
speaks [1] - 167:4
special [1] - 78:12
specific [10] - 17:2,
 38:2, 38:15, 42:2,
 104:15, 104:17,
 132:15, 136:23,
 142:9, 173:16
specifically [3] - 45:9,
 45:10, 187:9
spend [1] - 164:14
spent [2] - 93:5, 97:15
spit [1] - 77:22
splits [1] - 71:8
spoken [3] - 28:15,
 28:16, 180:6
spot [1] - 186:5
spread [2] - 53:19,
 53:20
spread-footing [1] -
 53:19
square [36] - 29:1,
 29:2, 30:4, 40:7,
 40:10, 40:20, 41:4,
 41:5, 53:22, 53:23,
 58:22, 59:3, 59:4,
 59:17, 64:10, 67:1,
 69:7, 70:12, 71:9,
 71:13, 71:21, 72:7,
 72:15, 81:25, 82:3,
 94:5, 110:16,
 110:18, 118:24,
 119:8, 119:19,
 119:21, 124:4,
 177:24, 178:10,
 178:11
squares [1] - 94:4
SRF [1] - 39:12
stability [19] - 26:17,
 29:4, 31:24, 35:13,
 35:15, 38:12, 41:10,
 42:19, 43:8, 43:16,
 43:19, 44:6, 45:25,
 46:4, 46:12, 47:15,
 47:22, 138:14,
 195:20
stable [6] - 34:23,
 42:12, 48:16, 54:6,
 54:7, 54:17
staff [1] - 204:21
stages [1] - 53:15
staging [2] - 75:4,
 75:6
```

stall [1] - 78:1

```
stand [3] - 36:14,
 87:24, 197:14
standard [9] - 54:3,
 64:20, 69:3, 74:11,
 83:1, 185:19,
 185:22, 185:23,
 187:12
standards [5] - 83:4,
 85:13, 109:11,
 110:3, 110:14
standing [1] - 156:16
standpoint [3] - 80:20,
 127:15, 175:25
start [10] - 62:5, 90:5,
 90:8, 145:4, 148:9,
 163:20, 192:2,
 198:13, 201:2,
 202:19
started [2] - 50:22,
 89:8
starting [1] - 61:2
state [9] - 31:9, 83:3,
 83:17, 91:6, 91:17,
 92:15, 154:6, 187:12
State [6] - 83:13,
 89:18, 145:15,
 145:17, 206:6,
 206:19
statement [10] - 16:4,
 54:16, 86:24,
 179:24, 182:3,
 182:8, 185:7,
 185:15, 204:20,
 204:21
statements [3] -
 198:19, 200:10,
 201:7
states [4] - 17:4,
 47:25, 89:1, 110:24
station [1] - 37:25
statute [1] - 187:10
stay [2] - 49:7, 81:11
staying [2] - 10:1, 18:6
steep [1] - 140:10
steeper [1] - 139:11
stenographically [1] -
 206:9
stepping [1] - 65:3
steps [1] - 72:22
stick [1] - 157:11
still [18] - 8:23, 13:8,
 30:25, 79:9, 113:1,
 118:11, 119:2,
 119:7, 119:25,
 126:6, 136:1, 139:5,
 147:10, 165:17,
 165:21, 195:18,
 201:6, 201:24
stockpile [2] - 132:7,
 132:8
```

```
stone [1] - 125:15
stop [5] - 163:23,
 164:9, 165:12,
 165:13, 201:6
storage [3] - 19:16,
 46:9, 159:17
store [1] - 125:17
stored [1] - 44:23
stories [10] - 58:19,
 64:7, 80:17, 84:7,
 84:10, 84:11, 84:13,
 84:20, 85:4, 85:6
storm [1] - 129:1
stormwater [47] -
 44:20, 44:22, 44:23,
 45:2, 45:4, 45:23,
 46:7, 46:11, 47:21,
 72:9, 83:7, 83:23,
 90:10, 95:10,
 103:20, 108:11,
 109:22, 115:20,
 126:2, 126:6,
 126:12, 126:18,
 128:2, 128:6, 128:8,
 128:10, 128:14,
 128:19, 140:23,
 141:24, 145:21,
 149:4, 151:8,
 151:21, 152:10,
 152:18, 153:6,
 154:5, 154:25,
 155:6, 157:21,
 157:22, 158:21,
 159:1, 159:6, 159:17
Stormwater [1] -
 104:4
story [16] - 53:12,
 58:10, 59:17, 61:11,
 62:12, 62:17, 64:5,
 65:2, 68:14, 74:20,
 80:14, 84:25, 85:1,
 134:11, 195:3,
 201:22
straight [1] - 76:3
straightforward [1] -
 69:6
Street [5] - 17:19,
 18:8, 18:11, 169:1,
 169:3
street [19] - 9:15,
 16:21, 33:3, 60:11,
 62:20, 62:21, 64:19,
 64:21, 65:6, 74:10,
 113:9, 150:10,
 152:21, 197:3,
 199:9, 199:10.
 199:11, 201:19,
 202:8
streets [3] - 171:16,
 171:17, 196:18
```

strength [9] - 29:17, 29:22, 30:8, 30:18, 30:20, 30:22, 35:2, 35:3, 35:6 strenuously [1] -100:1 stress [7] - 28:25, 30:3. 31:23. 38:13. 42:25, 43:25, 44:11 **strictly** [4] - 68:14, 84:16, 159:19, 161:23 strip [1] - 65:25 strobe [1] - 66:2 strong [1] - 36:2 stronger [1] - 44:16 strongest [1] - 54:20 **structural** [6] - 8:6, 28:17, 40:12, 51:15, 61:22, 75:14 structure [27] - 53:20, 58:7, 58:12, 59:24, 61:11, 62:8, 62:18, 62:19, 63:25, 64:6, 64:7, 65:1, 69:15, 76:6, 80:5, 80:12, 80:14, 84:5, 85:3, 85:11, 126:2, 126:6, 129:17, 133:5, 134:11, 134:12, 168:3 **structures** [3] - 61:1, 63:23, 126:8 stucco [1] - 73:11 studies [1] - 171:3 study [6] - 45:1, 75:21, 166:10, 166:11, 177:11, 177:13 style [5] - 67:11, 67:21, 70:1, 72:12, 73.5 subject [2] - 159:4, 177:25 submit [2] - 92:15, 121:25 submitted [14] -11:21, 101:6, 101:8, 121:2, 122:8, 128:12, 136:5, 151:9, 152:24, 156:1, 164:16, 166:22, 166:25, 170:1 Subsection [2] -104:3, 108:11 subsequent [1] - 16:8 substantial [1] - 180:4 subsurface [2] -45:24, 129:14

suffered [1] - 175:17

sufficient [1] - 9:23 suggesting [1] -122:14 suggestion [3] -14:17, 17:1, 17:20 summarizes [1] -18:19 summary [3] - 130:4, 177:16, 177:18 summertime [1] - 76:1 sun [1] - 76:1 **sunlight** [1] - 202:3 superior [1] - 187:17 Supervising [1] - 2:2 supplement [1] -10:23 support [5] - 28:22, 53:19, 189:8, 189:10, 189:11 supported [4] - 28:19, 28:20, 46:5, 53:21 **supporting** [1] - 39:19 **supportive** [1] - 44:9 **supposed** [3] - 39:19, 45:6, 128:16 Supremo [2] - 168:10, 168:11 surface [40] - 12:7, 21:7, 21:8, 35:12, 35:19, 41:17, 41:18, 41:23, 42:4, 43:5, 43:20, 46:17, 48:13, 48:25, 49:7, 49:8, 50:15, 54:20, 60:21, 110:18, 114:11, 114:24, 115:3, 115:13, 116:16, 117:20, 117:21, 117:23, 117:24, 118:4, 118:7, 118:11, 118:18, 118:25, 119:9, 119:19, 124:9, 135:3, 138:18 surfaces [17] - 41:14, 104:13, 110:8, 111:8, 111:9, 111:13, 114:10, 114:13, 118:2, 118:5, 118:17, 122:23, 124:16, 134:1, 140:24, 146:4 surrounding [1] -185:25 survey [6] - 12:20, 29:9, 63:10, 63:23, 138:19, 139:5 susceptible [1] - 38:5 suspect [2] - 182:23, 183:1

swear [1] - 184:9 swimming [2] - 61:16, 80:5 sworn [18] - 8:18, 25:21, 51:18, 56:10, 88:6, 148:12, 160:9, 179:10, 184:13, 188:3, 189:18, 191:20, 194:3, 196:5, 198:6, 199:23, 200:22, 202:14 SWORN [1] - 4:1 system [40] - 12:14, 46:10, 59:23, 62:10, 64:18, 65:14, 65:18, 66:13, 67:22, 67:24, 69:25, 72:15, 73:2, 74:4, 77:13, 78:21, 79:5. 81:10. 81:12. 81:13, 81:17, 81:18, 82:22, 82:24, 83:20, 83:24, 125:11, 125:12, 125:14, 126:4, 126:5, 126:12, 128:6, 128:9. 128:14. 128:16, 129:6, 129:9, 150:6, 154:16 systems [1] - 142:7

130:4. 152:3. 170:13

technically [2] - 74:3,

technique [2] - 83:8,

75:11

84:1

ten [1] - 44:13

tenant [1] - 78:2

term [3] - 114:20,

125:11, 179:16

terms [16] - 90:10,

104:15, 104:17,

104:20, 107:20,

108:23, 122:15,

127:3, 130:14,

141:4, 146:2,

166:16, 193:3,

197:10, 200:15

terrace [1] - 71:12

tested [1] - 33:15

testified [32] - 8:18,

14:9, 17:15, 18:16,

18:24, 19:4, 23:21,

56:10, 76:21, 88:6,

118:9, 126:22,

148:13, 149:2,

89:14, 90:12, 90:14,

25:21, 34:18, 51:18,

127:12

Terzaghi [1] - 38:20

test [3] - 35:17, 36:17,

160:9, 179:10, Т 184:13, 188:3, 189:18, 191:20, T-A-R-A-N-T-I-N-O [1] 194:3, 196:5, 198:6, - 51:20 199:23, 200:22, **TABLE** [1] - 3:1 202:14 table [13] - 48:7, 48:9, testify [7] - 19:1, 24:7, 49:3, 49:9, 50:4, 84:4, 95:18, 116:1, 50:7, 114:6, 127:12, 123:9, 180:11 127:20, 127:21, testifying [10] - 49:17, 127:23, 177:15 84:15, 84:16, 84:18, takedown [1] - 28:18 92:20. 106:10. talks [2] - 29:16, 111:1 123:16, 160:13, tall [3] - 84:20, 84:21, 179:14, 202:17 85:4 testimony [35] - 5:7, taller [2] - 84:13, 5:11, 13:7, 33:5, 84:14 33:13, 34:4, 45:20, tallest [1] - 180:25 47:20, 87:6, 87:10, tandem [1] - 65:17 88:2, 90:2, 96:18, **Tarantino** [9] - 3:11, 96:20, 103:11, 51:16, 51:19, 51:22, 106:14, 107:14, 52:6, 52:22, 54:12, 125:2, 126:18, 55:3, 56:2 133:11, 139:7, TARANTINO [5] -144:11, 145:19, 51:19, 51:24, 52:2, 147:8, 147:15, 54:19. 56:4 148:21, 149:7, taxpayer [1] - 156:16 159:21, 176:6, team [3] - 9:21, 75:15, 185:17, 187:1, 96:15 190:22, 198:13, 199:18, 206:8 technical [4] - 18:18,

testing [2] - 13:22, 129:15 text [1] - 107:25 thankfully [1] - 180:6 that'll [2] - 102:15, 176:5 **THE** [1] - 34:2 theirs [2] - 94:9, 94:12 themselves [2] - 82:7, 118:19 there'll [5] - 55:11, 68:4, 77:20, 78:17, 141:1 there've [1] - 141:6 therefore [5] - 63:14, 110:1, 120:4, 126:23, 186:22 they've [6] - 46:24, 161:13, 180:7, 189:1, 189:2, 189:10 thickness [1] - 55:20 thinner [1] - 72:13 third [1] - 69:1 thousand [8] - 175:10, 177:24, 178:9, 178:10, 178:13, 178:14, 178:17, 184:24 three [30] - 27:16, 27:25, 37:11, 61:11, 62:12, 62:17, 65:13, 66:11, 67:5, 74:20, 80:14, 80:17, 84:11, 84:13, 84:20, 85:1, 85:4, 85:6, 90:18, 158:10, 162:3, 184:9, 188:1, 188:7, 192:2, 196:13, 198:16, 200:7, 201:10, 201:22 three-and-a-halfstory [2] - 62:17, 74:20 three-dimensional [1] - 67:5 three-story [5] -61:11, 62:12, 80:14, 85:1, 201:22 threshold [4] - 110:2, 155:15, 159:8, 193:4 thrive [1] - 192:18 throughout [1] -197:23 throw [4] - 100:22, 108:21, 131:9, 131:11 tireless [1] - 192:11 tis' [1] - 49:15 title [3] - 11:12, 11:17,

titled [1] - 137:13 today [14] - 16:9, 22:25, 23:1, 24:1, 24:7, 63:3, 80:24, 98:10, 128:12, 165:5, 193:10, 203:13, 203:19, 204:11 tone [2] - 70:4, 185:25 tonight [35] - 8:12, 8:23, 14:7, 19:12, 26:4, 51:23, 56:20, 58:9, 58:25, 76:11, 87:2. 87:6. 87:21. 91:7, 92:14, 92:19, 93:6, 96:12, 96:13, 133:11, 140:1, 157:5, 157:6, 160:15, 161:17, 163:23, 164:6, 170:7. 180:1. 183:2. 188:15, 194:13, 201:6, 201:8, 202:24 tons [1] - 41:4 took [21] - 5:7, 22:21, 27:13, 28:23, 29:7. 29:14, 30:1, 35:22, 36:2, 47:8, 53:12, 97:22, 101:23, 110:13, 129:23, 138:6, 163:11, 165:5, 173:24, 173:25, 175:4 **top** [22] - 28:4, 30:16, 34:19, 35:8, 43:4, 46:19, 48:23, 48:25, 49:4, 49:7, 69:17, 70:16, 72:25, 73:19, 75:17, 126:8, 129:17, 129:18, 138:25, 139:4, 150:8 topography [1] -50:15 TORRES [32] - 1:11, 13:2. 51:13. 54:15. 54:21, 78:8, 78:16, 78:25, 79:3, 79:8, 79:10, 91:8, 97:8, 97:13, 98:8, 98:22, 99:1, 99:5, 102:12, 170:24, 172:4, 172:10, 178:9, 178:14, 178:23, 183:11, 183:17, 183:20, 191:5, 191:9, 191:13, 205:12 Torres [3] - 97:14, 101:4, 205:11 total [15] - 21:3, 58:20,

137:20

59:17, 65:15, 65:18, 118:15, 119:4, 119:8. 119:16. 124:14, 124:15, 159:15, 159:20 totaled [1] - 124:7 totality [1] - 40:19 touch [3] - 10:16, 32:24, 81:22 toward [4] - 37:2, 37:3, 133:13, 174:16 towards [6] - 28:2, 28:3, 31:11, 31:13, 77:13, 155:14 tower [1] - 202:1 traced [2] - 113:17, 114:10 tracks [1] - 168:3 traditional [1] - 199:5 traffic [26] - 9:15, 9:22, 14:18, 15:16, 22:5, 22:11, 22:16, 24:24, 87:2, 132:24, 165:13, 166:10, 166:11, 166:16, 177:11, 177:13, 177:15, 197:2, 198:22, 198:23, 199:12, 199:17, 202:3, 202:9, 202:11 **Traffic** [1] - 7:6 Transcript [1] - 1:3 transcript [3] - 6:20, 106:14, 206:8 transfer [1] - 120:18 transition [1] - 10:3 transportation [7] -9:13, 14:21, 15:10, 22:20, 23:22, 23:24, 24:3 travels [1] - 46:18 tray [2] - 12:14, 78:21 trays [1] - 77:21 treasurer [2] - 180:3, 183:12 treatment [2] - 129:8, 129:12 tree [7] - 64:19, 64:21, 67:8, 74:10, 195:13, 195:16, 195:18 tree-planted [1] - 67:8 trees [1] - 66:11 trench [2] - 111:4, 116:21 tri [1] - 131:8 tri-axle [1] - 131:8 tricky [2] - 199:2, 199:14

triggered [1] - 142:13 triggering [2] -119:15, 120:25 triggers [3] - 118:14, 119:11, 120:5 trip [2] - 166:16, 177:16 trouble [2] - 49:15, 112:13 truck [2] - 132:24, 202:7 trucks [6] - 21:18, 131:4, 131:8, 142:15, 181:7, 202:5 true [2] - 120:8, 206:8 truth [1] - 97:17 truthful [1] - 20:17 try [4] - 112:18, 144:3, 170:10, 170:25 trying [9] - 29:6, 70:5, 100:20, 120:8, 129:20, 140:21, 143:22, 154:2, 167:24 Tuesday [1] - 1:7 **Tully** [1] - 89:6 turn [2] - 97:11, 181:7 turned [1] - 98:17 turning [1] - 9:15 turns [1] - 74:14 twice [1] - 191:14 two [49] - 27:17, 27:21, 32:7, 35:3, 36:1, 37:21, 39:7, 40:24, 52:11, 52:17, 57:5, 59:3, 59:5, 59:10, 60:5, 63:6, 63:8, 64:11, 66:3, 66:13, 68:17, 68:19, 68:21, 69:2, 69:3, 70:10, 70:13, 70:17, 71:17, 72:25, 73:17, 76:18, 95:4, 97:15, 101:21, 110:9, 111:9, 111:11, 118:17, 134:20, 165:19, 185:25, 186:8, 196:16, 196:23, 197:3, 197:4 two-bedroom [3] -68:19, 68:21, 71:17 two-bedroom/twobath [1] - 69:3 two-bedrooms [4] -59:5, 70:10, 70:13, 70:17 two-dimensional [2] -

60:5, 73:17

two-family [1] - 186:8

two-way [1] - 197:3

type [4] - 28:6, 28:13, 58:17, 197:25 types [5] - 53:4, 53:7, 53:14, 118:17, 146:6 typical [1] - 38:22 typically [8] - 36:9, 48:2, 75:5, 75:22, 76:1, 152:18, 177:7, 177:8 **typing** [1] - 156:9 typo [1] - 177:21 typos [1] - 177:12

U

U.S [1] - 89:20 ultimate [1] - 150:18 ultimately [1] - 83:15 unchanged [1] - 13:8 uncomfortable [1] under [28] - 50:17, 86:16, 87:9, 88:2, 90:8, 95:8, 108:10, 109:18, 109:22, 110:2, 119:8, 125:16, 126:1, 127:7, 127:8, 127:9, 128:15, 128:17, 128:19, 130:2, 148:12, 148:15, 154:22, 154:25, 155:6, 183:9, 183:16 underground [7] -48:20, 49:17, 126:12, 150:6, 150:9, 155:19, 155:23 underlined [3] -104:20, 105:11, 107:3 underneath [3] -125:15, 127:21, 154:17 understood [6] -15:17, 78:6, 87:11, 117:3, 123:25, 164:7 underwater [1] -155:22 unfair [2] - 21:1, 130:21 unforeseen [1] - 193:6 updated [4] - 22:24, unique [3] - 74:25, 23:1, 24:8, 25:1 75:13, 186:4 **uphold** [1] - 181:12 unit [3] - 71:9, 71:10, uploaded [3] - 16:9, 77:3 24:1. 93:11 units [19] - 58:12, 58:19, 58:25, 59:1, **upper** [4] - 64:11, 61:4, 61:11, 62:17, 66:21, 68:12, 74:18

177:15, 177:17 University [2] - 88:22, 88:24 unless [2] - 54:8, 151:25 unlikely [2] - 154:19, 156:2 unplugged [1] -111:18 unprecedented [1] -193:2 unstable [1] - 180:17 unusual [1] - 53:25 unwise [1] - 154:13 **up** [82] - 7:15, 8:1, 8:14, 11:2, 11:3, 22:4, 29:1, 29:10, 29:12, 33:2, 35:21, 37:22, 38:16, 48:4, 48:9, 52:19, 56:7, 57:12, 60:2, 64:10, 65:11, 68:9, 70:9, 76:15, 85:20, 86:3, 86:9, 87:18, 87:24, 91:14, 94:9, 97:10, 103:7, 111:3, 111:16, 112:5, 115:25, 116:17, 116:20, 117:8, 117:17, 118:13, 118:16, 119:5, 119:18, 123:15, 127:24, 129:6, 134:7, 136:20, 137:4. 138:12. 138:25, 139:23, 140:18, 147:7, 148:3, 151:4, 161:5, 167:12, 167:16, 168:3, 169:15, 170:8, 170:11, 175:1, 175:23. 182:25, 183:15, 183:18, 183:21, 183:24, 184:4, 187:22, 189:16, 191:10, 193:25, 195:7, 203:7, 203:11, 204:3

upsetting [2] - 101:19

76:22, 77:6, 77:7,

166:14, 166:15,

166:17, 167:2,

upwards [1] - 58:25 urban [1] - 2:6 URL [1] - 172:17 utilities [3] - 111:2, 113:10, 116:20 utility [3] - 63:12, 68:6, 168:19 utilizing [1] - 53:16

valid [1] - 106:7 value [2] - 35:21, 144:21 van [1] - 65:16 Vandermark [10] -3:14, 8:9, 56:11, 56:14, 57:3, 57:17, 76:13, 79:19, 79:25, 85:17 VANDERMARK [16] -56:11, 56:12, 56:16, 56:21, 56:24, 57:20, 76:20, 77:3, 77:16, 77:19, 78:14, 78:17, 79:2, 79:4, 79:9, 79:17 variance [1] - 87:8 variances [1] - 8:13 varies [1] - 73:21 various [2] - 79:5, 161:13 vast [3] - 153:3, 154:7, 155:2 vehicle [25] - 104:13, 110:8, 110:17, 111:8, 111:13, 114:11, 114:13, 115:4, 115:13, 116:16, 117:21, 117:23, 118:1, 118:5, 118:7, 118:11, 118:18, 118:25, 119:9, 119:17, 122:23, 124:8, 124:9, 124:16, 159:14 vehicles [6] - 9:16, 15:25, 65:10, 65:20, 118:3, 181:7 vehicular [1] - 74:8 verbal [1] - 107:14 verse [2] - 103:15, 104:9 version [2] - 158:2, 193:11 versus [4] - 103:12, 110:25, 122:18, 129:23

vertically [1] - 133:4

68:17, 69:6, 76:19,

tried [1] - 185:24

trigger [1] - 118:19

via [4] - 26:15, 65:21, 78:20. 80:6 vibrations [1] - 44:4 Vice [2] - 1:10, 205:7 **VICE** [9] - 6:21, 13:3, 34:8, 51:12, 57:13, 102:16, 102:19, 204:24, 205:8 video [2] - 6:20, 106:15 Videoconference [1] -1:7 VIDYA [1] - 1:11 view [5] - 74:6, 76:25, 154:11, 162:2, 181:2 viewed [1] - 6:20 Village [2] - 170:20, 172:18 visibility [1] - 73:7 visible [2] - 72:2, 72:4 visual [2] - 66:2, 100:6 visualizing [2] -196:25, 197:4 visually [1] - 196:16 Vito [3] - 4:3, 184:6, 184:14 voiced [1] - 182:21 volume [11] - 20:13, 20:19, 20:21, 20:22, 20:25, 21:16, 126:11, 130:22, 132:1, 153:8, 159:17 volumes [1] - 130:24 voluntarily [2] - 64:9, 70:24 volunteered [2] -59:15, 72:7 vote [1] - 190:10 voted [2] - 191:1, 191:3 voting [1] - 181:14

W

W-E-I-S-S-M-A-N [1] -160:11 wait [4] - 41:10, 111:24, 144:2, 187:23 waiting [1] - 186:18 waiving [2] - 100:25, 102:23 walk [6] - 12:3, 25:17, 27:6, 57:4, 140:11, 201:12 walked [4] - 98:10, 98:13, 140:8, 140:9 WALKER [3] - 198:7, 198:14, 198:17 Walker [3] - 4:9,

198:7, 198:8 walking [2] - 66:6, 140:11 walks [2] - 18:22, 159:9 walkways [1] - 115:4 wall [9] - 28:17, 47:11, 65:21, 65:25, 67:10, 74:8, 80:25, 81:3, 200:17 walls [2] - 81:7, 139:24 WANG [5] - 202:15, 202:16, 202:21, 202:25, 203:3 Wang [5] - 4:12, 15:3, 152:17, 202:15, 202:18 wants [5] - 92:8, 102:4, 102:5, 144:19, 184:5 WARD [23] - 2:2, 33:21, 94:16, 111:23, 112:3, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 115:16, 115:19, 116:1, 116:11, 137:6, 170:10, 171:20, 171:24, 172:1, 205:7. 205:9. 205:11, 205:13, 205:15, 205:17 warning [3] - 10:8, 65:25, 175:14 washed [2] - 174:4, 180:13 washing [1] - 197:21 Washington [2] -170:20, 172:18 washout [1] - 180:15 water [47] - 46:2, 46:7, 46:10, 46:13, 46:18, 48:3, 48:7, 48:9, 48:10, 48:20, 48:23, 48:24, 49:4, 49:5, 49:9, 49:14, 49:17, 49:19, 49:24, 50:2, 50:6, 50:10, 50:14, 81:13, 81:20, 82:6, 82:12, 82:17, 89:11, 89:12, 109:16, 125:19, 126:9, 126:24, 127:10, 127:11, 127:20, 127:21, 127:22, 127:23, 129:7, 129:11, 133:11,

133:16, 140:23,

141:21, 154:12

waterfalls [1] - 49:18 waters [1] - 82:16 weaker [1] - 35:7 wealthy [1] - 189:4 website [1] - 93:12 wedge [3] - 134:19, 135:17, 138:6 weed [1] - 124:24 weekly [1] - 202:6 weigh [2] - 24:14, 44:13 weight [3] - 28:24, 30:1, 40:13 Weissman [10] - 3:20, 4:21, 4:22, 160:6, 160:11, 160:13, 160:19, 163:6, 172:14, 178:7 WEISSMAN [36] -160:10, 160:16, 161:19, 161:23, 162:4, 163:8, 163:11, 164:12, 167:8, 167:11, 168:6, 168:10, 168:13, 168:25, 169:4, 169:8, 170:12, 170:16, 171:1, 171:18, 171:23, 172:7, 172:12, 172:16, 172:24, 173:4, 173:7, 174:12, 174:15, 174:19, 174:24, 176:25, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 179:2 Weissman's [1] -87:21 well-spaced [1] -201:21 west [11] - 18:5, 27:18, 27:23, 31:13, 62:22, 69:2, 75:23, 76:6, 77:13, 168:4, 168:6 western [2] - 68:18, 71:9 wheel [1] - 100:21 where'd [1] - 143:3 whichever [1] - 81:18 whole [3] - 29:3, 30:17, 174:6 whoops [1] - 127:7 widens [1] - 175:3 wider [1] - 72:13 width [7] - 61:25, 63:12, 63:18, 63:21, 66:8, 134:21, 134:23 wife [1] - 194:14

window [6] - 67:24, 69:25, 72:16, 72:19. 73:1, 74:4 windows [1] - 72:14 Wisconsin [1] - 88:24 wise [1] - 9:22 wishes [1] - 102:24 witness [19] - 13:19, 25:14, 45:16, 56:7, 87:22, 103:22, 107:8, 113:21, 142:24, 147:17, 147:19, 147:21, 148:3, 160:6, 160:14, 179:6, 179:15, 186:17 WITNESS [1] - 3:2 witnesses [5] - 8:5. 96:19, 96:21, 147:12, 147:24 wondering [2] - 14:10, 33:14 wood [2] - 169:3, 169:9 Wood [10] - 62:21, 168:9, 169:5, 169:6, 181:8, 198:11, 198:23, 199:3, 199:9, 199:15 word [2] - 177:10, 185:21 words [4] - 105:4, 105:10, 106:8, 121:11 works [4] - 79:8, 79:9, 101:14, 137:2 worry [1] - 165:19 worrying [1] - 203:15 worst [1] - 36:24 worth [1] - 180:13 wrap [1] - 202:4 writ [1] - 187:17 writing [2] - 25:2, 25:3 written [8] - 10:23, 151:14, 152:2,

Υ

153:15, 154:3, 155:5

wrote [2] - 16:5, 27:2

152:14, 153:6,

yard [5] - 20:24, 61:6, 61:15, 65:4, 71:15 yards [6] - 20:14, 131:1, 131:2, 131:8 year [3] - 161:14, 194:14 years [16] - 46:24, 61:20, 79:7, 80:23, 89:7, 89:10, 158:10, 162:3, 176:21, 180:10, 192:16, 192:17, 196:15, 197:18, 197:23 **yell** [1] - 148:10 **York** [1] - 38:1 **yous** [1] - 97:21

Ζ

zone [1] - 67:9 Zone [7] - 58:15, 58:16, 58:24, 60:9, 65:4, 66:25, 186:5 zoned [3] - 186:6, 194:20, 194:23 Zoning [1] - 89:16 zoning [12] - 66:22, 87:9, 139:6, 139:13, 162:21, 176:1, 187:9, 190:8, 190:20, 190:24, 194:23, 203:17 Zoom [2] - 1:7, 33:24 zoom [1] - 39:11

wildly [1] - 200:12